



# **Sergei Kremlev**

## **Against the Kremlin. Beria is not on you!**

*Text provided by the copyright holder. [http://www.litres.ru/pages/biblio\\_book/?art=2849775](http://www.litres.ru/pages/biblio_book/?art=2849775)  
Kremlev S. Against the Kremlin. Beria is not on you!: Yauza-press; Moscow;  
2011 ISBN 978-5-9955-0315-6*

### **Synopsis**

"Stalin is not on you!" - how many times in our hearts we repeated this curse, looking at the "liberal" Kremlin Sabbath, at the shameless rampant corruption and theft, at the betrayal of Russia's vital interests. In this book, the leading historian and publicist of the patriotic forces, the author of the bestseller "Beria. The best manager of the 20th century" and the first publisher of his sensational diaries goes even further, throwing down an open challenge to the self-proclaimed "elite" of the Russian Federation, passing judgment on the Kremlin's temporary workers and proving irrefutably that only one person today could clean out these "Augean stables", wash "dirty laundry" » the Kremlin and save Russia - the one whose name still terrifies all crap, thieves and Judas; the one who once already beheaded the "fifth column" and the enemies of the people; the one who was the only worthy heir of the Leader is Lavrenty

Pavlovich Beria. The most "obscene" book of the leading Stalinist historian! Kremlin vs Kremlin! The truth of Stalin's repressions against the murderers of the USSR and Russia! A merciless verdict against  
the anti-people regime! Beria is not on you!!!

# Content

From the	4
Author Part One	6
Chapter	6
1 Chapter	8
2 Chapter	14
3 Chapter 4	18
Chapter	21
5 Chapter	24
6 Part Two	26
Chapter	26
1 Chapter	28
2 Chapter	31
3 Chapter	40
4 Chapter	45
5 Chapter	92
6 Chapter	98
7 Chapter	118
8 Chapter	132
9 Chapter	139
10 Chapter	147
11 Chapter 12	155
Instead of an afterword	163

# Sergei Kremlev

## Against the Kremlin. Beria is not on you!

*A citizen who sees that the political clothes of his country are worn out, and at the same time is silent, does not agitate for the creation of new clothes, is not a citizen loyal to the Motherland - he is a traitor. He cannot be forgiven even for the fact that he, perhaps, is the only one in the whole country who sees the wear and tear of her clothes. His duty is to campaign no matter what... Mark Twain*

### From the author

Our historical epoch hastened to be declared "the end of history." They say that humanity in its social development has reached the absolute pinnacle, the most perfect model of the structure of society - the liberal model. Socialism is declared a fatal mistake, a delusion of mankind, which, thank God, the world has survived as a contagious disease and is now supposedly on the road to recovery.

The main hotbed of this "social disease" - the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

But why exactly with the destruction of the USSR did global stability collapse? Why is the world increasingly getting war instead of peace?

Why did the liquidation of the socialist system in the USSR and in the countries of the former socialist camp not lead to a new flourishing of the former republics of the USSR and the former members of the

CMEA? Why has the world, having got rid of the Soviet "Empire of Evil", not become smarter and kinder, but instead falls more and more into Evil, while America openly aims at world domination, which does not bode well for either the peoples of the world or Russia?

What's the

matter? All of these questions can be answered honestly. Below they will be given. But do they exhaust the list of the most important Russian (important, however, not only for Russia) issues? And isn't it time for Russia to think about other questions, to which quite clear and intelligible answers can be given today? Here are some of these questions: What

happened in Russia during the 20th

century? And what happens in the 21st century? What was life like in the USSR? Why did socialism fall? Who benefited

from the fact that twenty

years ago the Soviet Union collapsed (rather than disintegrated)?

What have the last twenty years of Russian history given to the common people in Russia? What will the people of Russia have in the next ten, even five years, if Russia continues to be headed by the capitalists from the "party" "United Russia" and the like?

Why is the Stabilization Fund of Russia not used in the interests of Russia? Why thirty years ago people in Russia laughed and rejoiced, but today they are dying like flies? Was anyone

in the USSR afraid that he might get at home what Serbia, Iraq, Libya received from the West?

Does the fate of the peoples of Serbia, Iraq, Libya threaten the peoples of Russia today?

Tomorrow? What is more likely in Russia, which has renounced socialism and Soviet power - the spread of the village of Kushchevskaya to the whole country or a calm life without fear even in the village of

Kushchevskaya? How will the current children of Russians grow up and will they have a future in Russia - at least some, if the power in the Kremlin retains its current character?

What ruling party does the people of Russia need - United Russia or the Communist Party? party? And is there a real Communist Party in Russia? Is Russia a rich country or a poor one? And if it is rich, then why are there so many poor people in it? Is capitalism capable of giving Russia and the world constructive ideals and goals? The questions can go on, but is it worth it? Isn't it time not to ask, but to answer? And the answer is to act. That's just what to do with one more question: "How to act and around whom and what unite?"

To understand the essence of a phenomenon, it is sometimes useful to conduct so-called thought experiments. For example, think about what policy they would choose, how they would act, who would Stalin or Beria rely on if they were at the head of Russia today?

And do Russia need them in the new historical conditions? Well, we'll talk about that as well, building a bridge from our real past to our possible future. It's time. We were told a lot about Russia, which

"we lost", although in fact Russia was stolen from us. They stole not gogorukhinskaya - cinematic-mythical, but real - Union, Soviet, Socialist ... They stole it not overnight - the theft dragged on for a good thirty-odd years, from the late 50s to the early 90s of the last century.

But what is there - having taken off your head, you don't cry for your hair. The main thing is not that they lost we either didn't lose some kind of Russia there, stole it from us or didn't steal it ...

The main thing is, what kind of Russia do we need to find? And how

to look for it? So that the answers to many pressing questions of our life are correct, and this book has been written.

*Sergei Kremlev (Brezkun)*

# **Part one**

## **Russia, which was stolen from us**

### **Chapter**

#### **1 A glass of water for three kopecks**

As already mentioned, they stole from us the wrong popular, in fact, never existed Russia, over which Stanislav Govorukhin and his ilk shed tears. The real Russia was stolen from us, which from 1922 to 1992 existed as the Union Soviet Socialist State. And even such a hater of Russia as adviser to US presidents Zbigniew Brzezinski did not deny that the USSR was a new historical form of existence for Russia.

But when was Soviet Russia stolen from us? At the beginning of 1992, in Belovezhskaya Pushcha? No, in those days, the thieves' operation was only completed, and the hidden theft of Russia began in the late 50s and even earlier - in the second half of them. The 20th Congress of the CPSU, Khrushchev's false anti-Stalinist report - this is

1956, the beginning ... And

then it's off and on! The defeat of the "anti-party" group of Molotov - Malenkov - Kaganovich, I do not accept Khrushchev's adventurism in 1957 ... A

leapfrog of economic "reforms" first by Khrushchev, and then by Brezhnev ...

The emergence of an increasing number of "moles" and selfishness in the highest structures of power Malenkov in the 70s ...

Funeral "hearse race" of three elderly General Secretaries of the Central Committee CPSU in the first half of the 80s ...

And, finally, bringing the political killer Gorbachev to power in the second half of them.

However, Gorbachev and his accomplices failed to kill Russia, but they managed to steal it from us. And it's time to return the stolen country to its rightful owner - the people of Russia. But more about that in due time. Now, at the beginning of the book, a little about that Russia, which was

gradually stolen from us. That Russia had many good signs: Gagarin's smile; a free ticket to Artek for a pioneer boy and free housing for his family; air and train tickets for students at half price; young Alla Pugacheva - without millions of "bucks", but with millions of sincere fans; carefree, even in the difficulties of long-distance trips, tourist companies traveling across the vast country from the Baltic to Baikal and from Baikal to the Amur; the sovereign loneliness of a couple of sentries at post No. 1 near the Mausoleum; the joy of many people in cities and towns, without saying a word, took to the streets after midnight to hug together after our victory in the Olympic Grenoble in 1968 over the team of Canada, consisting of "almost professionals" headed by Father Brown as a coach ...

After the Grenoble victory with a score of 2:1 (the legendary Starshinov and Firsov threw the pucks), Vladimir Vysotsky wrote: helped."

"First flattened, and then a band-aid" is about the famous professional defender from the Canadian National Hockey League Brever, whom our guys "took" then for a power reception no worse than Brever himself did with others. After that, Brever played out the match with a band-aid on a cut eyebrow.

Yes, there is something to remember – in contrast to the current “parties” under laser smoke and the stupefying itch of vuvuzelas in stadiums, which have turned into places of mass temporary insanity and outright unbridledness.

But for some reason, I now recall with special acuteness a modest glass hundred kan about fourteen facets in the sink of a street soda machine.

Without syrup - for one penny, with syrup - for three. You could get double syrup - for this it was necessary to fill the glass after the first portion of the syrup not completely, throw it into slot machine (domestic, by the way, production) another coin of three kopecks, get a second serving of syrup and a glass full to the brim, and then enjoy the fragrant and deliciously sweet fizzy drink - where there is coca - and Pepsi-Cola!

Today, after all, this is perceived as a fairy tale or fiction: to approach in any city Union on the summer street to the soda machine, casually rinse in the sink with three with sluggish streams of water a glass from which hundreds of people have already drunk before you only this day, put a glass under the tap, throw a coin into the slot and then calmly drink the bubbling “prickly” water - this is if without syrup - or calmer with syrup. And not think about different AIDS, hepatitis and other rubbish that accompanies our current “civilized” life.

And indeed, it did without consequences - from decade to decade. Such was the physical, so to speak, sanitary-medical cleanliness of public life in the USSR.

Day and night, glasses standing in machine washes often helped street lovers to “think for three”. In the absence of their own dishes, nighttime bastards used public ones, but then honestly returned them to their place.

Such was the moral, human purity of public life in the USSR - even at the level of the fool. And is it worth it to be surprised! **After all, even the Soviet bum was morally superior and nobler than any “new Russian”.**

This is how we used to live in the country that was called the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. And this is not social nostalgia, but the historical truth of the country whose the core was the great Rus'.

Rus', which was stolen from us along with the Soviet Union.

By the way, after all, the original Russian coin of three kopecks was stolen from us - one name remains. From time immemorial in Rus', each coin had a colloquial “nickname”: a kopeck - “semishnik”, two kopecks - “kopeck piece”, five kopecks - “pyatak”, ten - “hryvnia”, twenty - “two hryvnia”, fifty - “fifty dollars” and the ruble - “solid”.

Three kopecks were called “altyn”, from which came the “nickname” of a coin of 15 kopecks - “five-kopeck piece”.

“There wasn’t a penny, but suddenly - altyn,” they used to say in Rus' ... Yes, they stole from us and Altyn, and Rus' itself to boot.

However, we will talk about this later.

## Chapter 2

### Marx's theory and the practice of capital

The Romans said: "Ab ovo", which literally means: "From the egg", but figuratively: "From the very beginning". Russia is part of humanity, and in order to understand today Russia, it is necessary to cast at least a short glance into the past of a person.

The origins of human history are lost in times a good million years before ours. era. However, you can not climb so far, but start with the theory of Marx - if only because that almost the entire Russian and world history of the twentieth century passed under the sign of his ideas.

Yes, now Marx is not honored in the world and in Russia, but why did it happen? And why earlier Marx's ideas attracted many people, but in the end they did not triumph, but fell? And did they fall?

Already in ancient Rome, the elite knew what the haves had to do in order to sit on the backs of the have-nots. "Divide et impera" ("Divide and conquer!") - this principle of the elite is not one thousand years old.

In 1848, Marx put forward a great slogan already for the masses of the people: "The proletarians of all countries, unite!" The words are human and moving. But they were terrible. disastrous for the elite. There was and is no slogan more dangerous for the elite. Elite can to rule by dividing. The slogan of Marx and Engels, authors of the Communist Manifesto, immediately laid a mine under the power of the elite: if the working people in all countries realized in time that all together they are a force, then no one could resist such a force.

Marx's logic was clear and deadly for the bourgeoisie. If the production of material goods has acquired a completely social character, if a world division of labor has arisen and now the whole world depends on each other, then why preserve such a character? distribution of the public "pie", when a handful of owners get almost everything, and mass of workers - almost nothing? Wouldn't it be more correct to change social relations so that everyone receives his share of public goods not in accordance with the number of shares, but in accordance with the personal labor contribution that he made to the creation of these goods?

Such a replacement must be carried out on a world scale, replacing world capitalism with world socialism. Then there will be no luxury in the world - for a few, but from the world will disappear poverty and poverty - for the vast majority. Life according to the principle: "Man to man - wolf" - will be replaced by life according to the principle: "Man to man is a friend, comrade and brother."

This is how Marx argued.

However, Marx was read not only by the proletarians, but also by the capitalists. And as it turned out, the capitalists read Marx more carefully than the proletarians. Therefore, World Capital is rapidly realized that in order to ensure that the proletarians do not unite everywhere, all over the world, and do not replace the power of Capital with the power of Labor, everything must be done to ensure that the proletarians unite.

And for this it was necessary to unite as closely as possible and as soon as possible for all the capitalists peace.

They did it - even before the beginning of the twentieth century.

The ruling "elite" of various nation-states could engage in deadly fight among themselves for colonies, for sales markets, for profitable territories, for profits, for influence in a particular region ... But in one issue, the capitalists of all countries since Marx have always acted and are acting in unison, in concert and without disputes - in the question disunity of the popular masses both within their own countries and on a global scale.

"Divide and rule!" - this is what the capitalist elite learned long ago and firmly.



In 1864, the Marxists created a mass organization of workers - the First International (International Association of Workers), and already there Capital introduced its agents

goods of influence.

Shortly after the defeat of the Paris Commune in 1871, the First International ceased to exist, but the Marxists began work on organizing the Second International. It arose in 1889, after the death of Marx and six years before the death of Engels.

Alas, after the death of Engels, the role of agents of influence of Capital in this International quickly became the leader. The second international of Bernstein, Kautsky and other renegades Marxism turned out to be the main lever of influence of Capital in the growing labor movement.

A "labor aristocracy" arose, and capital responded to the intensification of strikes by creating strikebreakers who took up work when the main workers were on strike. The agents of influence of Capital among the organized workers corrupted them ideologically, distracted the workers from realizing the true goals and objectives of Labor in its opposition to Capital.

Marx stated that the main questions of the life of a society are how it is divided among its members. the total social product, that is, everything that people have produced together, and who shares this product?

Marx showed that the division of the material goods of society under capitalism produces one who has in his hands the main means of social production - mines, factories, factories, large farms, etc.

Under capitalism, all productive forces are in the hands of the capitalists. They and share the benefits according to the principle of Popandopulo from the famous operetta "Wedding in Malinovka": "This is for me, this is for me, this is also ... for me, this is for you, well, and this and everything else is also for me."

If the people want an honest division, they must take the rights to the social productive forces for themselves.

In order to transfer the rights to the productive forces and to the total social product at the disposal of the whole society, it is necessary to change the social relations, a new political system is needed, which, in place of the "sacred" right private property would put the right of each member of society only to that part of the social "pie" that he earned by *personal* labor.

This idea was put forward by the Marxists: the working people of all countries must unite for in order to establish a just and humane political system in the world. That is a system in which public wealth is minus what is necessary for the development of the economy, etc., as well as for providing for the younger generations who are not working old people and the sick - would be distributed exclusively according to work.

Marxism has never preached "equalization" and equal distribution for all. Marxism put forward the idea of not equal, but fair distribution of public goods. A such can only be distribution according to the principle: "From each according to his ability, to each according to his work"!

Accordingly, the main thing in the idea of unifying the workers was **a political** moment: the task of **the political** unification of the working people with the aim of acquiring by the working people **political** power in society.

The capitalists, on the other hand, succeeded in curtailing the working-class movement onto the path of economic demands, that is, demands for better working conditions and higher wages. These the demands were only inconvenient for Capital, in contrast to the political demands, which were deadly for Capital, because they put an end to a society where power belongs to private owners.

Today, the statement is in use that Marx, they say, was mistaken and the development of society went ostensibly "not according to Marx". But this is nonsense! Yes, the proletarians of all countries never united. But what about Marx?

Let's take this example... A great doctor came to a sick person, made a correct diagnosis and prescribed a healing treatment. The patient disregarded the doctor's orders.

Can the doctor be blamed for not healing?

Another example... A man has the makings of a champion and a great coach offers him train. The coach gives instructions, the person ignores them. And then he blames the coach for that he did not become an Olympic champion.

Stupid?

Undoubtedly.

Isn't it stupid to accuse Marx of making a mistake and that everything is going "not according to Marx"?

No, everything goes just according to Marx! He advised the working people to take control of society into their own hands and replace world capitalism with world socialism, and for this to become possible, preliminary to unite in the struggle for a new, just life. But it was not the proletarians who listened to Marx, but the capitalists, and now they have long united in struggle against the proletarians.

That is why Capital managed to survive even when the historical period of life of capitalism has expired.

By the beginning of the 20th century, capitalism had exhausted its creative potential and began to acquire an increasingly antisocial character. Now the entire world. Back in the 90s of the XIX century, most of Asia and Africa was not directly divided into colonies, and ten years later the political map of the world was heavily colored in the colors of England, France, Holland, Belgium, Italy, Germany... In the USA and in a number of industrial countries Europe, the capitalists have succeeded in diverting the organized workers from their political aims, having improved the situation of a significant part of European and American workers at the expense of resources extracted by Capital from the mercilessly, brutally exploited colonies in Asia and Africa and banana republics of Latin America. Even then the West began to exploit and tsarist Russia.

The future colonizer of Africa Cecil Rhodes (after his name for a long time part of Africa was called "Rhodesia") in 1895 told the journalist Strand that the empire "is a question stomach", and explained: "If you do not want a civil war, you must become an imperial hundred."

By the way, today the world capitalist elite has gone in the business of corrupting and the division of the working masses in the world is even further - the working people of the countries of the "golden billion" receive high wages, but about half of it is not earned by them, but is withdrawn by Capital from the working people of the Afro-Asian and Latin American countries (and now also among the working people of Russia). Africans, Asians, Hispanics, Russians and etc. are underpaid, but Americans and Western Europeans are overpaid. Mechanics simple, but so far effective.

The capitalists were able to develop among a significant part of the workers nationalist feelings. As a result, when World Capital began the First World War for the redistribution peace and influence in the world, the workers armed with Capital did not turn their bayonets against Capital, but began to beat each other by the millions.

Only Lenin and his associates in Russia put forward the slogan: "War to war!" and offered the peoples to turn the imperialist war into a class war, into a war for the establishment of a just social system throughout the world. But even this call was not heeded by the proletarians of all countries.

As a result, the First World War, unleashed by Capital, cost the peoples of the world huge losses. Its brief result for ordinary people was expressed in the following figures:

Military killed 10,000,000 Missing  
(presumed dead) 3,000,000 Civilians killed 13,000,000  
Wounded 20,000,000 War orphans left  
9,000,000 War  
widows left 5,000,000 Refugees  
10,000,000

There are forty-six million killed and wounded here alone ! Add to this an additional population deficit due to a decrease in the birth rate and an increase in mortality - 20 million non-births and deaths. This is already **sixty-six million** victims of the First World War! If this is not a global genocide, arranged then by Capital on such a scale for the first time, then what is genocide? The loss of life in World War I was horrendous. The

material losses were no less terrible. There are notes "A Look at World History" written by the future first prime minister of independent India, Jawaharlal Nehru. Nehru wrote them in the form of essay letters for his daughter, the young Indira Gandhi, while sitting in various prisons in English India. April 1933 found him in the Naini Central Prison, from where he reported:

"The Americans estimate the total expenditure of the Allies at 40,999,600,000 - almost forty-one billion pounds, and the expenditure of the German states at 15,122,300,000, over fifteen billion pounds sterling..."

Total total - not less than fifty-six billion pounds! In modern prices, this is at least five trillion dollars! And not today's, worthless, "green" papers of the US Federal Reserve System, but dollars backed by real assets! This figure expressed the loss of peoples. But the same figure showed the income of

the elite. In other words, the diamonds of secular ladies after the end of the war shone with the brilliance of human tears, and gold jewelry - with human blood.

In fact, the First World War showed that capitalism can no longer live without huge military spending, without financing the future destruction of the material results of human labor. Masses of many millions of people died at the front, and millions of people in the rear of the belligerents, tightening their belts, produced funds destruction of their fathers and sons.

The elite at this time asked balls and enjoyed privileges. Capitalism became increasingly synonymous with death, and after the First World War world capitalism has become a living historical corpse.

The objective task of the peoples was to bring this corpse to the ground as soon as possible. However, Capital has already learned how to cleverly deceive the masses, and capitalism has managed to continue its existence in the form of a cadavre (from the French "cadavre" – "corpse"), that is, a living dead, poisoning the entire

social life of the Planet with its fetid breath. Twenty years after the end of the First World War, the cadaver of capitalism organized the Second World War. She brought with her new tens of million new huge material losses, new expenses of peoples in the tens of trillions of dollars and ... new trillions of super-profits for the "elite" who profited from the war.

After the Second World War, it was the cadaver of capitalism that started the arms race and to this day is forcing the peoples of the planet to spend trillions of dollars on meaningless military targets.

In 2001 alone, the world spent \$700 billion on military needs. In just one year! Today, this figure should probably be doubled. And here are the data on US military spending only for participation in wars and interventions of 1900-2001 (in 2001 prices):

World War I: \$555.6 billion World War II: \$4.53 trillion  
Korean War: \$385.6 billion Vietnam War: \$826.8 billion  
Lebanon, Grenada, Panama: \$0.35 billion Iraq War:  
8 \$ .5 billion in 1991 alone Southwest Asia operations  
1991-2001: \$9.9 billion

dollars.

All this could be spent on the construction of new cities, the improvement of villages and villages around the world, on the education of healthy, developed, educated generations of earthlings. And all this was spent on ruins, on mass deaths and grief, everything vanished into the ashes of fires and gunpowder smoke. This is the price already paid and

still being paid by humanity to the golden calf. Mankind pays its world "elite" as the price for its civilizational laziness and cowardice... For the fact that it did not find the strength to get rid of capitalism at the moment when capitalism began to turn into a living corpse, into the main and, in fact, the only the reason for the stupid, worthless life of the "golden billion" of earthlings and even more stupid, miserable, semi-vegetative and plant life of the remaining billions. Let the reader try to guess - where and when did the following happen? So:

"No one else even bothers and pays no attention to the many corpses lying on the streets. The inhabitants of whole villages look as if they had just left Belsen (Hitler's death camp) ... The old people dried up like mummies, rickety children, with swollen bellies and hungry eyes. People eat every other day ... potatoes, if they can get it ... "

This is not a description of the famine in the Russian Volga region in 1921. This is an excerpt from an article published in the June 15, 1951 issue of The Economist in London, about the famine in India, a long-standing English colony still reeling from the charms of English "civilization." The Economist wrote at the time that the number of victims of the famine could reach five million people and that "in the West this would cause a political explosion."

However, in the West they preferred to keep quiet about *this* - after all, the famine was in India, not in Russia. The Economist, on the other hand, is not a publication for the "electoral cattle", but for the "elite".

Half a century has passed since that famine in India. But the planet still dies every year millions of people from hunger. The reason is the same - the preservation of capitalism in the world.

All the painful problems of humanity, absolutely everything: the impoverishment of the environment, the depletion of natural resources, environmental disasters, social crises, terrorism, hunger and starvation deaths of tens of millions of people every year, crime, drug addiction, the destruction of morality, AIDS and other diseases - all this has its root cause is the fact that mankind did not have enough determination to part with the world

capitalism already after the First World War, which for the first time exposed the animal essence of capitalism from now on, or at least after the Second World War, which even more clearly revealed the anti-social nature of the capitalist cadaver.

***As a result, the world is ruled by a living***

***corpse.*** The question is, how can the world be in this case, if not the way it is, that is, perverted and perishing? The 2011

Japan disaster was the result of a seemingly purely natural disaster. However, if humanity had already been united into a single socialist family, then, most likely, it would have become possible, firstly, to create a system of giant breakwaters along the tsunami-prone coast of Japan, and secondly, a more rational settlement of peoples on the planet. Indeed, in the world of world socialism, the same Japanese would not own the Japanese Islands, but the entire Planet would belong to the extent that it would belong to all the other peoples of the world. Vladimir Zhirinovskiy is a buffoon, but he often says very intelligent things. That

is why the fact of his proposal to the Japanese to settle, having taken Russian citizenship, in Siberia, the Urals, etc., is interesting. There are times when the peoples of the world should own not one or another piece of the Planet in the form of national territories, but the entire Planet in its entirety. Another thing is that only world socialism, which does not fit into the narrow forehead of the Zhirinovskys, can create such a state of affairs without growing interethnic tension, without conflicts, etc.

But even Vladimir Zhirinovskiy, a politically rotten and historically ignorant man (to this day he praises Tsarist Russia and considers the October Revolution a misfortune for Russia), declares that the world economy "should turn to man." But only the world socialist economy can turn to a Man, and not to a shopping participant and a TV consumer!

But it is unlikely that the Zhirinovskys will ever understand this. Will Russia understand - in time?

And the rest of the world... Of course, even if all the countries of the world start building a new socialism tomorrow, poverty, illness, and social vices will not disappear overnight. And with all our will, we will not be able to use for creative projects those ***tens of trillions of dollars*** that have been spent to destroy the living corpse of private property over the last hundred years of existence.

But the longer this corpse rules the world, the more the world will be this corpse. poisoned.

And even now Russia is half poisoned by it. Former active ally of Yeltsin, his Minister of Press and Information Mikhail Paul Toranin in 2011 in his book "Power in TNT" wrote:

"After all, the Yeltsin oligarchy, an inhuman cannibalistic system, fell on communal Russia not out of nowhere. It lay down and rests on bayonets to this day. Increasingly strengthening, impudent and chewing the country.

It was not the Kremlin who said so, it was the former loyal Yeltsin Poltoranin who said so about today's Russia - the new subject of the living capitalist dead man.

And in this particular case, Kremlev completely agrees with Poltoranin.

## Chapter

### 3 Lenin's Chance for Russia

Even after the First World War, the peoples of the world failed to unite in their own interests, and world capitalism survived. Only one nation has managed to rise to the point of understanding the necessity of establishing a new order of life in which there is no place for the living corpse of private property and its adherents. This people turned out to be the Russian people, who, under the leadership of Lenin, carried out the Great October Socialist Revolution. And then - during the years of the revolution and the Civil

War, and now - almost a hundred years later, tubs of dirt were and are being poured on Lenin, on his ideas and his work. Someone is dirtying Lenin, fulfilling an anti-social order, someone is not from a great mind. The former member of the CPSU and a major party journalist, Mikhail Poltoranin, already mentioned above, writes about the historical role and significance of Lenin as follows: "... the lawyer Vladimir Ulyanov (Lenin) ... created the Gulag and drowned half of Russia in blood ..."

There is no word of truth here. Vladimir Lenin saved Russia, and this is proved not only by Lenin's life, but also by his death. Russia buried Lenin in a way that it had never buried anyone either before or after, except for the second great national leader, Stalin. In the severe January frosts of 1924, tens of thousands of people stood on the way of the funeral procession from Gorki to Moscow, hundreds of thousands passed in front of the coffin, and tens of millions wept. Lenin's call gave Russia 250,000 new communists, and in 1924 the membership card of the All-Union Communist Party of Bolsheviks meant not privileges, but additional duties and new responsibilities.

Any blasphemy against Lenin is false. The truth is that Lenin led Russia away from the fate of a semi-colony, if not a colony of the West. The external debts formed during the First World War left no other fate for capitalist Russia.

Tsarism had already destroyed the country by the beginning of 1917. By the end of 1916, the state debt of tsarist Russia amounted to 64 billion gold rubles (more than 50 percent of the national wealth!). External debts "pulled" 16 billion, and 9 billion (three state budgets) were short-term debts. The mediocre "temporary" "rulers" only aggravated the situation during the six months

of their "reign" and added new ones to the royal debts. "Russia would probably be mortgaged to foreign banks," wrote the American B. Hopper in the

1930s. This is what Lenin saved Russia from, giving her a chance for freedom, independence and comprehensive development.

Lenin and the Bolsheviks did not promise the people rivers of milk in the jelly banks, but directly said that Russia was in a state of ruin and much work had to be done to overcome it. Lenin wrote:

"The war gave the Russian people a bitter, painful, but serious science - to organize, to be disciplined ... To learn how to work - the Soviet government must set this task before the people in its entirety. We have the material both in natural resources, and in the reserve of human strength, and in the wonderful scope that the great revolution gave to folk art in order to create a truly mighty and abundant Rus'.

Rus' will become such if it casts aside every despondency and every phrase, if, gritting its teeth, it gathers all its strength, if it strains every nerve, stretches every muscle ... "

This is how the Bolsheviks spoke to the people.

And only the Bolsheviks could lift Russia from its long-standing side and firmly put it on its feet. Sometimes you find

confirmation of this thought in a very unexpected way ... In Russian satirical literature there is an underestimated, but original and even in some respects lonely (except for Saltykov-Shchedrin) name - Panteleimon Romanov. The writer is smart and caustic, both before 1917 and after 1917 he was inclined to describe exclusively negative features of the Russian national character, but not because he did not love Russia, but precisely because he loved her and wanted her to develop great features. its national character, outliving the disgusting.

In 1916 - **before the revolution** - Panteleimon Romanov published a sadly accurate study "The Russian Soul", where the main character, Professor of Moscow University Andrei Khristoforovich Vyshnegradsky, having come to visit his brother Avenir in the village, says to him: - You know, when you look around and you see how you ride from your stomachs here, and the men are completely illiterate, wild, and also, probably even worse than yours, ride, every year they burn and live in the mud, when you look at all this, you feel that every corner of our endless earth is screaming about one thing: about a radical break, about light, about discipline, about culture ... As you can see, this is all very much in tune with the thoughts of the Bolshevik Lenin. The level of development of prerevolutionary Russia can also be judged from the memoirs of the American professor Samuel Harper (1882–1943), who studied Russia for more than forty years and first came to us in 1903.

After a trip to the Tver province, already after the revolution of 1905-1906, Harper wrote:

"... the peasants in the villages lived a truly primitive life ... This was my first acquaintance with the Russian countryside, and ... the impression was very depressing ... "

Here are more recent entries:

"In the villages there has always been a danger of catching some kind of disease. Bedbugs and fleas were common... We also traveled to areas where cholera was spread in a weak form. Precautions against skin diseases and syphilis were not always effective, which caused concern ... "

This is how the social "lower classes" of Russia lived. As for the "tops", another example can be given. Harper, as a correspondent for American newspapers, together with Asso Shielded Press representative Beech Conger, attended a court ceremony in Peterhof on the occasion of the marriage of the Swedish crown prince to Grand Duchess Maria, the tsar's niece. When Harper and Conger returned to the capital, Conger said curtly: **"It was a terrible bunch of imbeciles."** But these imbeciles possessed enormous wealth, owned all of Russia.

At the beginning of the 20th century, the average inhabitant of the Russian Empire had *one teaspoon* of sugar per day! The peasant did not see sugar at all. In a report to the Fifth Congress of Authorized United Noble Societies in 1909, its author V. Gurko wrote:

"The export of grain does not come from wealth, but from need, it comes at the expense of the nutrition of the population. Our people, as you know, are a forced vegetarian, that is, they almost never see meat.

Under the tsar, a lot of grain was exported at the expense of the hungry belly of the peasant, and not at the expense of large-scale commodity production of grain. When the First World War began, this weakness of Russian agriculture manifested itself very quickly. And not the Bolsheviks, but the tsarist great-

On November 29, 1916, the government first introduced the concept of "compulsory surplus appropriation" by issuing a decree "On the apportionment of grain bread and

fodder." To understand the correctness of Lenin and the salvation of Bolshevism for Russia, it is enough to know how such an outstanding son of Russia as Vladimir Efimovich Grum-Grzhimailo (1864-1928), Russia's largest metallurgist, assessed the situation. In 1924, in a private letter abroad, he wrote:

"... The heads of the revolution, of course, knew where they were going, and now they are slowly but steadily squeezing and shaking the public, forcing the loafers to work. Their task is difficult, so difficult that one should be surprised at their patience and endurance. The process is long, painful, but necessary. Whether Russia remains an autocratic state or becomes, to the delight of our "friends," a colony and a colored race, manure for the prosperity of civilized peoples, depends on its successful resolution. I lost literally everything I had during the

revolution. In the troops of Kolchak I lost my son and nephew. Nevertheless, I do not doubt for a moment that the victory of the Reds and the failure of Kolchak, Denikin, Yudenich, Wrangel, and so on. and so on. there is good. The whole nation was sick, from the day laborer to the minister, from the beggar to the millionaire - and, perhaps, the intelligentsia was more infected than the common people. She was a distributor of this infection of laziness and idleness. The iron law of necessity compels us to learn to work, and we will learn to work. And if we learn how to work, then we will be both rich and cultured. Then we will bless the revolution and forget all the grief that it brought us with it. I consider the modern system historically necessary for Russia. The Romanov Empire brought up a disease in the Russian people, which ended

in an explosion - a revolution. The modern government is slowly but steadily leading the Russian people to recovery. Treatment is always painful, medicine is always bitter, but you have to take it and do what the doctor orders. I have always feared ... that foreign interference would prevent the Russian people from recovering from the disease that the Russian people fell ill under the stupid management of the last Romanovs. No

matter how bitter it is for us, I am quite sure that the disasters we are experiencing will make us a great and courageous, cultured working people.

Grum-Grzhimailo wrote this in a private letter, and Lenin wrote in Pravda about the same for the whole country:

"To move forward, to collect stone by stone a solid foundation of socialist society, to work ... on the creation of discipline and self-discipline, organization, order, efficiency, harmonious cooperation of the forces of the whole people - such is the way to create military and socialist power. We do not need hysterical impulses. We need the measured tread of the iron battalions of the proletariat."

In this ideology there was already the germ of a new social consciousness and completely new, higher type of Russian patriotism - Soviet socialist patriotism. And in the new patriotism there was a guarantee of the creation and development of a new, unprecedented Russia. By the way, the question is relevant for today's Russia: "What is the guarantee of our smart and



a confident future - in operetta Cossacks, in Christian-leavened "racial" "patriotism" or in the revival of Soviet patriotism?

## Chapter

### 4 From Lenin's Russia to Stalin's USSR

Lenin's program for building a new Russia fit into four words: "Industrialization, collectivization, cultural revolution." In the early 1920s, this was a bold dream, so bold that the English science fiction writer (!) Herbert Wells called Lenin in 1920 a "Kremlin dreamer." However, after twenty years Lenin's dreams became a reality in Stalin's USSR.

Here is the evidence from the outside. In November 1932, The Nation, an American magazine published in New York since 1865, wrote:

"Four years of the five-year plan have brought with them truly **remarkable achievements**... The face of the country is literally changing beyond recognition... This is true of Moscow with its hundreds of newly paved streets and squares, new buildings, with new suburbs and a cordon of new factories on its outskirts. This is also true of smaller towns... The Soviet Union mass-produced an infinite

number of items Russia had never produced before: tractors, combines, high-quality steels, synthetic rubber, ball bearings, powerful diesels, turbines... telephone equipment, electrical machines for mining, airplanes, automobiles, bicycles, and several hundred types of new machines... For the first time in history, Russia mines aluminium, magnesite, apatite, iodine... and many other valuable products. **The guiding points of the Soviet plains are no longer crosses and church domes, but grain elevators and silos.** Collective farms are building houses, barns, pigsties... The workers are learning to work on the latest machines. The peasant boys build and maintain agricultural machines that are bigger and more complex than anything America has ever seen. Russia begins to "think in machines". Russia is rapidly moving from the age of wood to the age of iron, steel, concrete and motors."

This is industrialization, and only the beginning of it. By 1941, Soviet Russia had changed nilas unrecognizably and powerfully in comparison with itself ten years ago.

Collectivization... Without the collectivization of agriculture, it was impossible to feed the new industrial country. A private trader, a fist, could not feed her, and did not want to. The American Dr. Emil Joseph Dillon traveled the world a lot, lived in Russia from 1877 to 1914, taught at Russian universities, traveled around the country, had wide acquaintances from aristocrats and ministers to revolutionaries. Russian fist Dr. Dillon Otse

nival like this:

"Of all the human monsters that I have ever met during my travels, I cannot name another as vicious and disgusting as the Russian fist." Briefly and precisely. The tsarist failed "reformer"

Stolypin saw the support of the old system precisely in the fist. As for Stalin, even the émigré historian Georgy Fedotov wrote in January 1936:

"Stalin opened wide the door to the life of professional practitioners... Stalin's true support is the class that he himself called "noble" people... This new ruling layer includes... Chekists, commanders of the Red Army, the best engineers, technicians, scientists and artists of the country... The new Soviet patriotism is a fact that is pointless to deny. This is the only chance for the existence of Russia." This was the strength of Stalin - in new people, in the mass of Russian patriots of the highest formation -

Soviet patriots. And these patriots themselves became possible as a result of the enormous transformative cultural and educational work of the Bolsheviks and all the healthy forces of the new Russia. The Cultural Revolution in Russia became the third point of support for the new government. Only

new, educated and developed people could build a new, powerful country.

The successes of the new Russia - with all its mistakes and miscalculations, inevitable in a grandiose and unprecedented business - were obvious. How could this be achieved in a country that until recently had been half-destroyed by two wars, half-plundered by the interventionists and poorly developed? Who made it possible?

At one time, in real time, the whole world asked these questions in surprise. And the Marxist Bolsheviks did not hide the secret of their success, publicly explaining over and over again that the people who have rid themselves of the corrupting and divisive influence of private property, the people who do not work for the owner, but feel themselves to be the owner, are capable of fabulous *accomplishments* in fantastically **short** terms. At the same time, quite real prospects were even more exciting and dizzying. spinning.

The strength of Stalin and the strength of Soviet patriotism manifested itself already in the years of the socialist reconstruction of Russia, but these two forces showed themselves especially clearly during the war years. The era of Stalin provided the Russian State with greatness, and provided it not at the expense of the Gulag, barrage detachments and fear, but at the expense of trust in the creative, creative forces of the people, headed by Stalin.

June 25, 1945 at a reception in the Kremlin in honor of the participants in the Victory Parade, Stalin made a toast that we need to know and remember:

"... I would like to drink to the health of people who have few ranks and an unenviable title. For people who are considered "cogs" of the state mechanism, but without whom we are all marshals and commanders of fronts and armies, to put it bluntly, we are not worth a damn thing. Any "cog" went wrong - and it's over. I raise a toast to simple, ordinary, modest people, to

the "cogs" that keep our great state mechanism in a state of activity in all branches of science, economy and military affairs. There are a lot of them, their name is legion, because they are tens of millions of people. These are humble people. No one writes anything about them, they have no title, few ranks, but these are the people who hold us like the foundation holds the top.

I drink to the health of these people, our respected comrades!"

This was said by the leader of the people with pride for the people. Today, the "democrats" declare that "it is impossible to revive Stalin", that "those who stands for it, we must squeeze the slave out of ourselves drop by drop."

What anti-historical nonsense! Can a tyrant be preoccupied with the education of the masses? A developed people for a tyrant is death! And in the era of Stalin, the world's best system of both public and higher education was created. She made the United

States after the launch of the first Soviet satellite of the Earth to reconsider their own approaches to

education! In his last work, *The Economic Problems of Socialism*, Stalin wrote in 1952:

"It is necessary to achieve such a cultural growth of society that would ensure to all members of society the comprehensive development of their physical and mental abilities, so that members of society have the opportunity to receive an education sufficient to become active agents of social development ..."

That's how! Stalin considered the education of the masses to be the main "nerve" of social life. Stalin saw in the education of the people not just a means of ensuring its economic prosperity, but above all a means of creating an intellectually and socially active society! Stalin wrote in

1952:

"It would be wrong to think that such a serious cultural growth of the members of society can be achieved without serious changes in the current state of labor. ***To do this, first of all, it is necessary to reduce the working day to at least 6, and then to 5 hours*** (highlighted in italics by the author. - S.K.). This is to ensure that members of the society have enough free time to receive a comprehensive education. For this, it is necessary, further, to introduce compulsory

polytechnic education, which is necessary to ensure that members of society have the opportunity to freely choose a profession and not be chained for life to any one profession ... "

Does this sound like the thoughts of a tyrant, a dictator? Stalin was not a theoretician-publicist. He had enormous social weight, was the head of a mighty state. And if he wrote about the need to move in the future to a five-hour working day, then this was not a good wish of a dreamer, but a promising task for society. State

directive for the future! Stalin's Russia

was supposed to become - in his view - a country where the guarantee of true freedom would be mass fundamental education. At the same time, Stalin turned out to ***be the only*** head of state in world history, except for Lenin, who ***practiced theoretically*** set grandiose social tasks, the condition, and not the consequence of the fulfillment of which was a five (!) hour working day!

Stalin understood perfectly well that "it is necessary to go through a series of stages of economic and cultural ***re-education*** of society, during which labor, from a means of only maintaining life, will be turned ***in the eyes of society*** into the first vital need ..."

Stalin spoke not about the coercion of society, but about its re-education! Only a great humanist could speak like that, and Stalin was one! He was ***a practical*** humanist, asserting the rights of humanism in the world in the most significant way - the cause of building Russia as a Power of Good.

It is not his fault that Russia and the outside world did not appreciate the potential of world historical development, which was laid by the ideas and deeds of Stalin and the efforts of the Soviet people in the era of Stalin.

## Chapter

### 5 Down the stairs leading up

The era of Stalin does not cover even thirty years - from the end of the 20s to the middle of the 50s ... In 1929, the first five-year plan began, but then the country was still at a crossroads - many laughed at Stalin's plans even inside the country, not to mention external the world. They stopped laughing very soon, and quite quickly many began to cry. I mean not only the repressions in the leading strata of the USSR - for the most part justified (no one thinks about how all sorts of conspirators, above all Trotskyists, would flood the country with massive blood if they came to power in the USSR). There is no doubt that the internal enemies of Russia also wept, but the Western money spent on the conspiracies of these internal enemies also wept. Those who allocated this money for subversive work in Russia also wept. Alas, the era of Stalin was not destined

to last long. Already the third five-year plan, which began in 1938 and ended in 1942, was interrupted by the war. Since 1944, the restoration of what was destroyed by the Nazis and their allies began. And only from the end of the 40s, Stalin's Russia began not so much to restore, but to create something new. And by the end of the 50s, the era of Stalin ended (formally, it ended even earlier - at the 20th Congress of the CPSU in 1956). The USSR in the era of Stalin grew and grew

stronger. However, the Western capitalist "elite" in its actions to disintegrate the labor movement and socialism has always been guided by the principle of the Abwehr (German intelligence service): "There are no scum, there are cadres." And the cadres of the "fifth column" inside the USSR began to be selected long before the start of the Great Patriotic War. And they were chosen wisely. Capital had both the means, and experience, and education, so the inexperienced new system made mistakes very often and, without realizing it, sometimes hit on its own, deftly substituted by *strangers*. For example, just before the war, potentially dangerous elements were relocated from the Baltics to the interior of the country - they were not evicted by "millions", of course, but tens of thousands of Lithuanians, Latvians and Estonians were forced to change their place of residence. However, after the arrival of the Germans in Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia, collaborators turned out to be in abundance, because the hidden enemies of the Soviet government sat right in the organs of this very government and many of their honest compatriots suffered in 1940-1941 not "because of Stalin", but from -for agents of Western imperialism.

The concept of "agent of influence" became widely known in post-perestroika times, but the agents of influence themselves were introduced into the party and state structures of the new Russia already at the dawn of Soviet power. This is not the place to talk a lot about this, give concrete examples, etc., but I will briefly say what I have said more than once: Stalin's thesis about the aggravation of the class struggle as the USSR advanced towards communism was absolutely correct. The forms of the class struggle changed, but its essence did not change - the preservation of the power of the "elite", which is impossible under world socialism.

When socialism was only a theory, the peoples had to be decomposed so that socialism would not become a reality. When socialism became a reality, the peoples had to be decomposed in order to eliminate socialism as a system, as a new form of statehood. What the West in the Soviet Union (and also, of course, in the countries of the socialist camp) has been seriously engaged in since the 40s of the last century.

As a result, after the death of Stalin in the USSR, two completely opposite processes.

On the one hand, the USSR successfully and impressively developed in all directions, and the general goal of the country was to build an effective humane socialist society on the principles of equality, friendliness and freedom of an educated people.

New cities grew rapidly in the country - the entire USSR was one continuous construction site. ***Life was a ladder to the future, and this socialist ladder led Russia up and down up.*** The economy annually received new powerful combines, plants, factories, mines. Science - new powerful research facilities, original scientific personnel. Defense - nuclear missiles.

Education and culture flourished - Soviet cinema of the 1950s, 1960s and even 1970s was especially successful. "Different Fates", "Maxim Perepelitsa", "Spring on Zarechnaya Street", "Carnival Night", "Hussar Ballad", "The Living and the Dead", "They Are Flying cranes", "Silence", "Prisoner of the Caucasus", "Beware of the car", "Seventeen moments of spring", "Only "old men" go into battle - these and many others, diverse, but equally talented and warmed by the humanity of socialism, films use popularity even in Rossiyanie.

But, on the other hand, the processes of latent degradation were growing in the country. And this there were consciously organized and encouraged processes, and the general goal was the dismantling of socialism in the USSR. In 1958, a certain Alexander White was very perspicacious (however, most likely he was not only smart, but also a knowledgeable person) wrote some essay. All the accuracy and depth of it became apparent after forty years - when Russia was already in full swing unfolded anti-social Yeltsin bacchanalia. White warned that the West was launching a real war of annihilation against Russia, but now the strikes were planned not from outside, but from within.

So it, alas, happened in practice. A small but significant example ... Today everything they can't send an English spy from the Russian Foreign Ministry to distant places Obukhov - he "mows" everything under a schizophrenic. But after all, this Obukhov's dad is also Obukhov, and also from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation, and earlier - from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the USSR. And this pope took an active part in the preparation of the first treaties between the USSR and the USA, which initiated the process of weakening Russia's nuclear missile power, which today has become catastrophic (for Russia) turns.

An example of a related "tandem" turned up under my arm by chance, but similar There are many large and small examples of hereditary spiritual, and even direct betrayal of the interests of the Motherland today! The name of the Obukhovs is legion!

And this legion, lined up in a multi-column "fifth column", gradually led Russia astray, and more and more often we did not climb the ladder of socialism to new heights, and descended down it. Although she led us up.

Such are the things.

The main thing is to create conditions for decay, and when decay has begun, it captures all the potentially rotten parts of the social organism. There were agents of influence, and there were just selfish selfish, careerists, hypocrites. They went to the Communist Party not for special responsibility to the people and the Motherland, but for the future warm place, a satisfying life. They sang: "First think about the Motherland, and then about yourself," but no longer about the Motherland what they thought secondarily, but did not think about it at all. And this was not the worst and most terrible option - when they were indifferent to the interests and fate of the Motherland. by the most a terrible option was a direct betrayal of the interests of the Motherland, direct work on its collapse and going down instead of going up.

And this option is direct, although for a long time hidden treason has increasingly become for "elites" the most desirable and acceptable.

Treason and active stupidity of the Khrushchevites ...

Brezhnev's treason and sluggish complacency...

Gorbachev's treason and active chatter... A lot has

been written about all this today by the former "foremen" themselves, and intelligent analysts, and stupid "analysts", and Western curators. I, by God, have no desire to chew on all these confessions, revelations, revelations and facts - let's leave room for more pressing topics. Speaking of the past, it is important for us today to understand that not in a day, not in two, but over a period of more than thirty years, a powerful and promising allied Soviet Russia was stolen from us, and in 1991-1992 it was completely stolen.

After Stalin's death, Soviet Russia had everything - except competent leadership - to climb the ladder of socialism higher and higher. Peru of the ancient Japanese poet Issa (son of a peasant) owns a brilliant haiku:

Quietly, quietly crawl,  
Snail, along the slope of Fuji.  
Up to the very heights.

In the same way - but not like a snail, but like an eternally young creator, socialist Russia could rapidly rise to the very heights. Already the Russian Soviet poet Vladimir Mayakovsky wrote:

We grow up to a  
hundred years without  
old age, Year by year  
grow Our cheerfulness ...

This is how it could have been.

It didn't work out... They stole our Russia from us. And the current "Russia" slipped - instead of the Russia that was stolen from us. In Ukraine they

say: "Bachili eyes, what they bathed, now I'll get enough, I want to start climbing" ("The eyes saw what they bought, now eat your fill, even if it climbs out"). Alas, the sad truth of our days is that the peoples of the USSR and Russia did not see what they were "buying", they did not see what they slipped and slipped into them. But at least now we need to figure it out! You can't be blind today. Well,

now let's talk about the "Russia" that was slipped to us, and something else ... But, perhaps, before talking about the "Russia" that was slipped to us, it doesn't hurt to clarify something in advance.

## Chapter 6 Russia, which must be sought

Russia, which we had and which was stolen from us, was powerful, free and a hanging country with enormous natural and civilizational wealth.

That Russia—"Rossiania", which now exists, is an inhuman cannibalistic system. The definition is already familiar to the reader, but let me remind you that this is not my definition. This is how Mikhail Poltoranin, an active member of Yeltsin's first "team", defined the country of Putin and Medvedev, Yeltsin's heirs. I will give one more of his descriptions of

our (?) current "country": "... up to 70 percent of the economy no longer

belongs to Russia. Combines, factories, mining enterprises, and pipelines built in Soviet times remain in place as they were. But all this is de jure not ours. Ours is dirt and fumes from them, deposits of hazardous waste, thousands of corpses of Russian people after accidents and explosions. And the territory is also not ours, it is divided between new and old foreigners: on some part one oligarch set up his concentration camp for the population, on another part another. There, the sadistic orders of the landowner Saltykova and the serf-owner Troekurov compete with each other ... The permissiveness of parasites and the lack of rights of the working people are growing. The supreme power bathes in narcissism, the bureaucracy is

idle, spurred on only by bribes, society is anxiously waiting for something. And the degradation of morality, culture, science, everything else is complete

move..."

This was written by one of those who stood at the origins of the processes that gave us the current "Rossiania", one of those who, together with other "state" "figures" of the post-Soviet Russian Federation, shares direct responsibility for the situation that he himself is now describing.

I don't know why Poltoranin was drawn to such revelations and denunciations - he does not show much remorse for what he and his colleagues have done. Or maybe it hurt his soul - after all, Poltoranin was elevated from the people precisely by the Soviet government. Taras Bulba, in his speech delivered to the Cossacks on the eve of the decisive battle with the Poles, spoke of the traitors to the Motherland as follows: feelings; and someday he will wake up and grab his head, cursing loudly his vile life, ready to atone for the shameful deed with torments.

Maybe this is also about Mikhail Poltoranin? It is

possible, of course, that Poltoranin - a tough adventurer by nature and a former master of sports in wrestling - is simply trying, in anticipation of possible upheavals, to "seize the site" in advance, to enlist the attention and support of a mass audience.

However, the true reasons that prompted Poltoranin to make terrible revelations about the situation in Russia (his 2011 book is full of them) are of little interest to me. It is important that even such dubious public figures as Poltoranin, who were "covered in soot and worship", state today:

"Russia is descending step by step into hell."



One word is missing here, and it is significant that Poltoranin does not dare to mention it. demand. Not just Russia is descending into hell, but capitalist Russia is descending into hell.

Moreover, capitalist Russia is only capable of sinking more and more into hell - a step step by step.

Someone may object that the developed capitalist West is not very similar to hell for many millions of its inhabitants in different countries. Yes, external prosperity is provided, but only external, I will talk about this later, in the third part of the book. And you need to know and remember that the most important factor in the current prosperity of the Western countries there were direct overpayments in earnings to the broad masses of these countries due to direct underpayment in earnings for workers in Asia, Africa, Latin America, and now also in "Russia" and "CIS countries".

In the USA, for example, the wages of the average American consist of no less than 50 per cent of the sums which are withdrawn by Western capital from the wages of the natives of the "developing" countries of the "Third World." That is, the prosperity of the West, even at the level of the broad masses has an unnatural, essentially thievish character.

For Russia, this option is impossible, not even for ethical reasons, but because that the systemic role of capitalist Russia in the world of capitalist globalism is to be robbed by the West. Nothing else for capitalist Russia West and the current Kremlin was not foreseen.

The question is, what kind of Russia should we look for? If not capitalist, then which one?

The answer is obvious: taking into account all the mistakes and miscalculations of the past, we must look for a new socialist Russia.

To some, this may seem like a beautiful dream today, but it is quite a possible variant of our near future. After all, socialism is capable of creatively transforming society in a matter of years, almost instantly by historical standards. In my time I will talk about this in more detail, but now I will briefly remind you that socialist Russia was given to the creation of a little more than thirty years in total, if you count from the beginning 30s to early 40s and from 1945 to mid 60s. Then the hidden began the dismantling of socialism and the growing degradation associated with it.

Due to what, grandiose, unprecedented successes were ensured not only in the economic Mike, but also in the matter of culture and education of a new person?

Of course, due to the fact that only socialism gives rise to the enthusiasm of the masses and reveals all the creative forces of the people who felt themselves the master of the country.

And if the people are not betrayed by the elite, the people under socialism performed miracles and are able to perform miracles.

We'll talk more about this.

## **Part two**

# **"Russia", which was slipped to us**

## **Chapter**

### **1 Russia or "Russians"?**

So, Soviet Russia was stolen from us, and anti-Soviet Russia slipped. And, starting a conversation about the one that was slipped, it is necessary, perhaps, to clearly explain why, when it comes to the current Russian Federation, I talk about "Russia" in quotation marks or use the name "Rossiania" ...

Russia is a huge historical geopolitical space . It has long been inhabited by many large and small peoples, united by a common historical destiny and the mutual interweaving of diverse social ties under the hand of the triune Russian people, which has three branches: Great Russian, Ukrainian and Belarusian.

Russia is one-sixth of the planet, stretching from Kaliningrad and the Baltic islands of Ezel and Dago in the west to Cape Dezhnev, the Commander and Kuril Islands in the east, and from the North Pole at the tip of Russia's Arctic sector to southern Kushka in Turkmenistan. Russia is a country in which

the beautiful city of Kyiv stands - the mother of Russian cities. Russia is a country in which there is Potemkin's Odessa, Suvorov's Sevastopol, there is the city of Yuryev, set up by Yaroslav the Wise in the Baltic states, later called Tartu. In the twentieth century, historical Russia was

already developing as the USSR, the Soviet Union. The fact that the USSR was historical Russia was recognized even by an ardent hater of Russia and the USSR, a longtime adviser to US presidents, Zbigniew Brzezinski.

So what does that geopolitical castrato and systemic bastard, who self-appointedly assumed the name "Russia" after 1991, have to do with this historical Russia? Absolutely none, except perhaps for the fact that this castrato sneers at the idea of a great united and indivisible Russia and ruins its future.

Masters of psychological warfare, Western and homegrown curators of the first and subsequent "presidents" of "Russia", persistently tried and are trying to erase the word "Soviet" from the minds and souls of the peoples of Russia, and the word "Russian" too. These curators are trying to replace the idea of the unity of peoples with the "idea" of farcical "independence".

So is it possible to call Russia a strange "country" that arose on the ruins of the Russian Soviet Federative Socialist Republic - an integral part of the USSR? Is it possible to talk about the future of Russia until it recognizes itself again as Soviet Russia - the great gatherer and defender of peoples? And if you remember how often the unforgettable Boris Yeltsin

addressed us "dear Russians", the name "Rossiania" comes to mind by itself. And not just me. Russia

was stolen from us, and instead of it they slipped "Rossiania".

Can we take our Motherland out of quotation marks and talk about Russia again without reservations and

without

feelings of bitterness? Yes, sure! But here much depends on many things, and for now we continue to go down the stairs leading down.

However, even from this ladder, we can soon fly upside down. Moreover, there are enough people who want to push us completely down. And these willing people have enough free or unwitting allies inside Russia.

## Chapter 2

### Three allies of Russia's enemies

Enemies of Russia in their desire to destroy us have many different allies - including three very important ones.

Firstly, a significant ally of the anti-Russian forces of the World Evil has become a significant part of the "domestic" "intellectuals" - first of all, "creative" and, above all, hundred-personal. She is an old pimple on the body of the people, which originated in Soviet society in the Khrushchev-Brezhnev era. That is why it will be necessary to speak about the intelligentsia separately - at the right time and in the right place.

The second ally of the enemies of Russia is the eternal "racist" stupidity, today reached its highest form - "Russian" stupidity. ongoing social the stupidity of the masses is one of the main reasons for the ongoing crisis in Russia. No wonder it is said: "The shell of iniquity floats in the ocean of stupidity." And this means that the Russian people it's time to get smart.

Having wised up, we must look for the forces of unification in Russia. But the difficulty of the moment is that today there is no force around which one can unite - the Communist Party of the Zyuganov model in the face of its leadership, it rotted "in the bud" (which will be discussed later), and the rest of the "party" were rotten in the beginning.

***But in order for a center of unity to arise, the desire of the people for unity and action is required. Otherwise, and further "shells" of all sorts of "United ...", "Fair" and other anti-people "Russias" will swim in the boundless ocean of nationwide gloom post.***

Alas, over the past decade and a half, the enemies of Russia have a third ally - perhaps the most important, the most significant. It is, sadly, Gennady Zyuganov - the current "communist No. 1" of Russia. But something needs to be clarified here...

The political position of the author of this book has been and remains crystal clear and is as follows.

Russia has only two paths: either to a new socialism - as an effective guarantor of its great future, or to death, direct death, with the disintegration of the state - in the case of the preservation of the capitalist regime in Russia.

The only social force capable of uniting and organizing all healthy forces in Russia to work in the name of new socialism and new Soviet power can be only a Marxist-Leninist-Stalinist Communist Party.

***Only the Communist Party! Only she!***

***But is there such a party in Russia?***

The history of Lenin's Bolshevik Party is known for the exciting and, as they say now, iconic episode. From June 16 to July 7, 1917, the First All-Russian Congress of Soviets. The Bolsheviks did not yet have the influence in Russia that they had received by autumn of 1917, the Socialist-Revolutionaries and Mensheviks prevailed at the congress, and among over 1,000 deputies there were only 105 Bolsheviks.

In his speech at the congress, the Menshevik Tsereteli stated: "In Russia there is no poly political party, which would express its readiness to take over power entirely.

And then Lenin, right from the hall, threw his famous statement to the masses: "There is such the consignment! Our Party does not renounce this: it is ready to seize power in its entirety at any moment!"

Is there such a party in Russia today? Is she ready to take full power - if will the people give her this power?

Well, on the one hand, in Russia there is a legally registered Communist Party, the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, whose ordinary party mass and local party activists which *potentially* correspond to the historical tasks facing the communists as the political vanguard of society.

On the other hand, the peoples of Russia can only hope for the Communist Party of the Russian Federation.

Only on her!

In addition, only the Communist Party has huge potential reserves to replenish its rows. There are quite a few people in Russia who support the Communist Party in one way or another and with activation of the leadership of the Communist Party are ready to join its ranks. Hundreds of thousands of people are members or non-party activists of the party, local party activists work disinterestedly (which is just natural for communists) and sometimes selflessly.

The Communist Party also has a massive support base. Actually, only the Communist Party has it. This is revealed even in rigged elections, when millions vote for the Communist Party of the Russian Federation under the conditions of informational terror. This would be even clearer on truly free elections. In addition, approximately 30-40% of voters who do not go to the polls are also potentially supporters of the Communist Party, like those deceived our fellow citizens who vote for all sorts of "United ...", "Fair ..." and other "Liberal Democratic ..." "Russia".

In other words, if there is a genuine Communist Party in Russia, then only it can and must win convincingly in free elections! At the same time, Russia has all the conditions for the existence of a genuine Communist Party in it, including the rank and file of the Party already working in the Party and local Party activists.

***At the same time, the current leader of the Communist Party Gennady Zyuganov and his entourage in the Central Committee The Communist Party of the Russian Federation and the Duma faction of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation not only do not correspond to the tasks of the Communist Party, but they are the worst publication of the Social Democrats, who for a long time, since the Second International of Bernstein and Kautsky, have had the only task: agents of Capital in the leftist movements, corrupt them and divert them from effective political work.***

Zyuganov - personally and as a phenomenon - does not allow Russia to have a genuine Communist Party as an organized force, both in words and in deeds, working exclusively in the name of solving the political task of restoring Soviet power in Russia.

Therefore, not Medvedev, not Putin, not the oligarchy, but Gennady Zyuganov and his politics are today a stumbling block on the path to the new Soviet power.

This will be discussed in more detail below. In the meantime, I want to emphasize once again the antisocial essence of the majority of the "Russian" intelligentsia, primarily the humanitarian one.

The claims of domestic intellectuals to some kind of ideological and spiritual "leadership nation" are as old as they are groundless. The public face of this social stratum, and previously not very attractive, today looks even uglier. After all, in the end, the moral social background public life is created not by the speeches of Medvedev and Putin, but by everyday television and radio programs, talk shows, author's programs, etc. mass media projects, as well as various kind of articles, essays, books.

And this background can be assessed as disinformation noise, carefully supporting

among the masses there is confusion and vacillation.

Before the Russian intelligentsia, as well as before all the developed and educated people of the world in general, a simple and humane task was set long ago: "To sow reasonable, good, eternal" ...

And how is it carried out in Rossianiye? And

how much noise there was about great Russia! But instead of the great, one and indivisible Soviet Russia slipped "Russia" in quotation marks to the peoples. The intellectuals are silent.

What can be said about the current "Russia" - "Rossiania"? The

way she lives is in front of everyone. And although a lot of things in this "Russia" are carefully hidden from the masses to this day, it is already clear to everyone that she lives badly and dirty. But how will the current "Russia" live on, what are its prospects? Well, all this can and should be discussed in detail.

## Chapter

### 3 Down the stairs leading down

The world of "classical" Western capitalism is full of painful problems. These problems arose gradually in the Western world and are more or less old-fashioned. The situation is different with the sore problems of "Rossiania" and other former republics of the USSR. They created their painful problems "out of nothing", having everything (except for the competent and responsible authorities to the people) in order to never have them.

Many sore problems for the former republics of the USSR were generated by social and historical cretinism within the "Rossiania" itself, but it should be noted that the peoples of the national republics of the USSR also tried a lot for this. And 2011 marks 20 years since the collapse of Soviet power and the USSR itself.

What are the results of the first anti-Soviet twenty years? There is only one answer: deplorable, vile and disastrous in all areas, with the exception of the creation of the largest detachment of billionaires in Rossiya. Even Yeltsin's faithful Mikhail Poltoranin defines the current system as "cannibalistic." During the incomplete

twenty years before the war, from 1923 to 1941, the Soviet Union made the most impressive civilizational and economic breakthrough in world history. Even the post-war "Japanese miracle" cannot be compared with the "Russian miracle" both in terms of the pace and scale of transformations, and because Japan used, firstly, US assistance, and secondly, there was no sabotage factor in Japanese society. and external subversion (which greatly complicates our development) and thirdly, Japan during the post-war economic breakthrough was not burdened with defense spending.

But on the eve of the war, the USSR was forced to allocate up to 40% of the budget for preparing to repel external aggression, and even after the war, the defense budget was forcedly large.

Nevertheless, the USSR for all periods of its peaceful history until the "Gorbachev" 1985 only increased its GDP and its comprehensive capabilities. On the Internet,

you can find links, for example, to the data of the former adviser to V. Putin A. Illarionov on the ratio of the GDP of Russia (USSR) and the United States for the period from 1885 to 2005. The resulting graph clearly shows that in the Russian Empire this indicator (relative to the level of the United States) was almost constantly decreasing, but in the period from 1929 to 1940 - during the years of the first Stalinist five-year plans, there was a continuous impressive increase. Moreover, it must be remembered that tsarist Russia was bogged down in foreign debts and its economy was increasingly passing into the hands of Western investors, while the USSR created and developed an economy that belonged

to the peoples of the USSR. The first twenty post-war years of the development of the USSR, from 1945 to 1965, were more impressive than the pre-war years, especially if you remember that:

- in 1945, almost the entire European part of the USSR lay in ruins; -
- immediately after the war, we were forced to divert huge funds for defense purposes (primarily, for the creation of a nuclear missile shield); - Nobody helped the Soviet Union, but the Soviet Union helped many, especially China; - the development of the USSR was already

hampered by the new "fifth column", the reborn part of the leadership and the stupidity of the Khrushchevites. Even the second post-

war, "Brezhnev" twenty years of the USSR, from 1965 to 1985, changed the country in material and educational terms dramatically for the better, although the growth rate weakened - the "reform" of 1965, the decomposition of the "elite" and subversive work "moles" in the economy.

On average, the USSR for 63 years, from 1922 to 1985, developed twice as fast as than the United States, and only after Gorbachev came to power did the ratio curve. The GDP of the USSR and the USA immediately crept down sharply. The well-known blogger Sergei Lopatnikov, commenting on the schedule of A. Illarionov, writes:

"If in the 18 pre-war years the position of the USSR regarding the American level has improved three times, then for 12 post-Gorbachev years per capita GDP almost tripled. The country has failed again "African" level of 18% of the US per capita income. A fall practically the same as as a result of Hitler's attack on the USSR.

The integral situation in the next eight post-Gorbachev (that is, Yeltsin-Putin-Medvedev) years only worsened. ***The very first anti-Soviet twenty years of "Rossiyaniya" became the twentieth anniversary of total insanity and growing social abomination. And if anti-Sovietism and capitalism persist in Russia, there will simply be no second anti-Soviet twenty years for "Rossiania" - collapse will come earlier.***

An indicative sign and a product of our Time of Troubles is the Moscow law-liberal Novaya Gazeta. It is a kind of collective mirror of the internally rotten "Russian" "intelligentsia". But even Novaya Gazeta publishes in every issue stunningly revealing data about the comprehensive decay and decrepitude of the "Russian" society. However, such data now and then even get into television news reports, etc., they can be found in dozens of semi-official and even official publications, including academic journals.

Therefore, I will not use extensive statistics to illustrate the foregoing - all the "charms" of the life of a geopolitical and spiritual castrato, called the "Russian Federation", are in full view. They write about them, I repeat, even officialdom, about them reported even semi-official TV commentators, not to mention the hosts and participants in various television discussions.

It will perhaps suffice only to *briefly* assess what the current "Russians" in qualitative terms? Especially - if you compare it with the USSR!

***The foreign policy situation*** is incomparable! The Soviet Union was not just one from two superpowers! He had a genuine authority in the world, due not only to military (like the United States), but also moral strength (which the United States did not have).

The foreign policy of "Rossiyaniya" deserves only contempt. Even in an obvious situation, when it was necessary to accept South Ossetia and Abkhazia into the Russian Federation, the Putin-Medvedev Kremlin could only look back at all sorts of "uncles" because of frontier. And he looks back at them all the time.

***The internal political situation*** is unstable and poorly managed in the future transition to the uncontrollable. In the summer of 2010, the Kremlin actually watched with indifference as Russia burned out, without having mobilized all national governments to fight the fires. resources. Virtually no conclusions have been drawn after the disaster. The state is more and more it is emphatically indifferent even to the vital social needs of the people.

The geopolitical situation is deplorable.

The USSR was surrounded by a protective belt of friendly states. Around "Russia NII" - a belt of states unfriendly or hostile. At the same time, a number of states without much difficulty to turn them into friendly ones, but the current Kremlin does not need this, because the West does not need it. From time immemorial, historical Russia has been a gatherer of peoples, and the USSR fully adopted this age-old Russian tradition. current The Kremlin is afraid of the idea of a new reunification like hell incense.



**Human rights**, in comparison with the situation in the USSR, are not secured and close. Soviet people lived in an atmosphere of confidence not only in the future, but confidence in his well-being to the grave. "Dear Russians" from young to old live alone by day.

On a presumptuous official or leader in the USSR when uniting collective efforts, it was possible to find justice at least in the party bodies, which played a role, although and insufficient, ineffective, but *at least some* real feedback between officials and people.

Today, any complaints sink like a stone in a swamp. Higher power publicly breeds hands and invites the "drowning" to deal with their own salvation.

Good Uncle Styopa, a policeman, intending to spend hundreds of billions on this rubles, the current Kremlin is replacing Derzhimorda with a policeman, turning his regime a police officer in the truest sense of the word. At the same time, even the Armed Forces are beginning to supply police equipment. For what?

**The economy of "Rossiania"** is increasingly turning from the former second in the world into a colonial "screwdriver". Labor productivity is two or three times lower than the Soviet level almost everywhere. The shortage of qualified workers in the near future will turn into a national catastrophe, and the current Kremlin is only capable of pharisaically shrug their hands and proclaim empty calls.

The level of wages compared to developed countries is offensive, even taking into account the fact that in the countries of the "golden billion" up to 40 percent or more of wages fall on the part stolen by Capital from the native workers of the countries of the "third world" to appease the workers of the leading countries of the West.

In the 80s, the USSR ranked third in the world in the production of machine tools, after Japan and France, one RSFSR produced up to 80 thousand machine tools per year. In 2007 their production in the Russian Federation amounted to 14 thousand. The fact that the Russian Federation still has an aerospace industry, some kind of mechanical engineering and other high technology industries, is explained not by the success of the regime, but by the huge margin of safety that has been laid in almost all *areas*. the life of society in the classical Soviet era.

The word "*almost*" is used above for good reason. There is one such most important sphere of economic activity, which, by its very nature, cannot have a large supply of strength and especially brutally mangled by the current regime. This sphere is smart, If treated with a master's attitude, it is capable of flourishing literally in a matter of years, and in the same way, in a matter of years, it degrades with a vile attitude towards it.

I mean, of course, agriculture. It has been destroyed and is being destroyed. regime quite deliberately. In the USSR, a well-working collective farmer on an average collective farm was not only provided, but was well provided. From the village there was a constant flow of capable graduates of rural schools to any higher educational institution of the USSR. Here's what's happening today villages, for example, Transbaikalia (from the newspaper "Soviet Russia" dated January 13, 2011):

"The salary is only a thousand rubles a month. It would be nice if they still paid them, but we don't see this money either ... Drunkards suck out pensions from the elderly parents and pay off with the sellers of the potion, then sending their children to beg, to wander ... "

This qualitative social picture hardly needs to be accompanied by digital data on the decline of agriculture - the figures are quite consistent with those described above.

**Interethnic relations** in the USSR in some republics stood at times sharp, but the most difficult conflicts of that time were childish quarrels compared to what

we have in "Rossiyaniya", in its relations with the rest of the national republics and in the relations of the republics with each other.

If we compare the problems, for example, of such a national region as the Mountainous Caucasus, existing in the socialist Soviet Union and shaped by "Russian" capitalism, one can understand how corrupting and destructive capitalism influences the aggravation of national problems.

In the socialist (albeit formally in some respects) Dagestan, Chechnya, Ingushetia, Karachay-Cherkessia, Ossetia, Kabardino-Balkaria had many problems, but these were primarily developmental issues. "Russian" capitalism in just two decades has almost completely destroyed the results of the painstaking creative work of socialism on Mountainous Caucasus, but it gave rise to a complex of the most difficult problems that could start again solve only the new socialism.

The same can be said, by the way, about world interethnic problems. Capitalism cannot but create them as sick, filled with hatred and strife.

**Defense ...** The nuclear missile power of the USSR completely ruled out the threat of any war against the peoples of the USSR. Today, even Estonia claims the territory of Rossiya. The state of the Armed Forces is depressing, and a symbol of military-political cretinism and at the same time, the corruption of the current government can be considered a procurement project from French landing ships of the Mistral type. Absolutely unnecessary for Russia, they tear off from the budget those hundreds of billions of rubles, which allegedly are not enough for strategic defense, and tie "Rossiyaniya" to NATO.

Previously, the army was the flesh of the flesh of the people and there was no more loved by the people in the USSR person than a conscript.

Today, television channels present the modern army as a collection of semi-idiot with a complete lack of protest from military leaders, including the "Supreme Commander" himself.

However, for the "Russian" Armed Forces, the current Kremlin reserves, it seems, the role of the future executioners of their own people. But in the USSR, not only winged phrase, but also the real norm of life was the principle "The people and the army are united!"

**Science...** Today, the Skolkovo project is becoming a symbol of the degradation of the former Soviet science and the degradation of government approaches to science. This is a stillborn child. At the expense of huge subsidies, they are trying to revive the "Russian" capitalist cadavers, while the existing scientific centers and schools are being destroyed by the current Kremlin with the rapture of a sadomasochist.

Let me give you a few numbers. Funding for science declined from 4.7% of national income during the Soviet Union to about 0.4% in the early 2000s, and today, despite to official enthusiasm, flavored with shameless lies, the situation is only getting worse. In the fall of 2004, President Putin, at a meeting of the State Council, acknowledged that over the past 10 years, funding for science has decreased by 10 times, that it is 200 times lower, than in the USA. This was said almost at the end of Putin's first presidential term, and it was said about the reduction in spending on science compared to 1994, when the national income "Russians" was no longer comparable with the national income of the RSFSR and the USSR.

The losses of the Russian Federation only from the emigration of scientists amounted to at least 200 billion dollars, and taking into account the losses from the actual cessation of the development of science and higher education, this figure should at least triple!

**Culture** in "Rossiyaniya" has fallen to such a low level that today the figures of "culture" and the producers of supposedly "cultural values" all the time turn out to be people so intellectually primitive and spiritually corrupted that the depravity of the mind, souls and bodies are no longer considered debauchery.

Looking at the current "Russian" "virtuosos" - businessmen from the concert piano, Svyatoslav Richter and his fellow artists are turning over in their graves. But in the background current "cultural traders" such as Anfisa Chekhova or Ksenia Sobchak, even these business shifts from classical music look almost like successors to the traditions of the same Rich tera.

**Television**, especially cable television, is turning into an almost total anti-social force that destroys the individual, society, and any positive social ties.

A simple comparison of the Soviet New Year's "Blue Lights" and the current New Year's television "vinaigrettes" and "Olivier" puts everything in its place and special comments does not require.

**Public education** is increasingly reduced to compulsory singing and non-compulsory physical education. As for higher education, if in the USSR students studied, sometimes working part-time, then in "Rossiyaniya" students work, somehow learning. According to a number of surveys, Moscow State University is one of the top five universities in the world under the USSR moved to the bottom of the top hundred.

**Health care and medicine...** Once upon a time, in the time of Stalin, the West looked with envy at how a completely new type of healthcare was developing in the USSR - preventive medicine. Today, according to various estimates, either every third, or even every the second diagnosis in the Russian Federation is obviously incorrect.

It is fitting to replace the Hippocratic oath with an oath to Shylock, Shakespeare's merchant, who demanded a pound of living human flesh in payment of a debt. However, the cadaver of capitalism, ruling today in "Rossiyaniya", made the metaphor a reality, turning it into a commodity living human organs and baby bodies.

**Housing ...** The average period of its free receipt in the USSR was ten years. At it was real in the USSR to implement such a housing program by the year 2000, when the problem of housing would be solved almost completely. Today, for 90 percent of "dear Russians", housing is one of the most painful problems, as well as a good rest not on "six acres", but at the resort.

**Physical culture and sports ...** Soviet sports were one of the brightest features of life USSR and the world, and outstanding Soviet athletes even outwardly personified the creativity of the new system.

Football players Lev Yashin, Oleg Blokhin and Slava Metreveli, gymnasts Larisa Latynina and Lyudmila Turishcheva, weightlifters Yuri Vlasov and David Rigert, athletes Valery Brumel and Valery Borzov, boxer Valery Popenchenko, hockey players Vsevolod Bobrov, Boris Maiorov, Anatoly Firsov conquered compatriots and foreigners not only with their sporting successes, but also with the special charm of the Soviet character.

*Dozens of world and European championships were held annually in the USSR . For "Russia Research Institute" such events from the very beginning of its "existence" have become rare. The World Cup, which has long been turned from a sports event into a mass media event, which is supposed to be held in Rossiyanie, turns out to be a bright patch on a dilapidated rags*

"Russian" "sport". Anyone needs the Olympics in Sochi, but not the peoples of Russia.

Today, "Russian" "sport" is personified by several urgently nurtured cream Lev subsidies champions advertising consumer goods and the Kremlin regime.

Soviet football and hockey fans enthusiastically discussed the course of the USSR championships, and "Russian" - England and Honduras.

**Childhood...** The slogan "Children are the only privileged class in the USSR" in The Soviet Union was not formal. Caring for children began long before they were born. – from antenatal clinics, from the organization of maternity hospitals, dairy kitchens and maternal and child health care. Palaces of Pioneers, stations for children's technical creativity

facilities and youth stations, a developed system for improving the health of children in summer pioneer camps - all this was in the USSR familiar, surprising details of life.

Nowadays, the concept of "physical education" as a sign of childhood is becoming a thing of the past, remaining in the life of "Rossiyaniya" only as an optional school subject, replacing history, geography, physics ... Soviet boys, having time to study these and a good dozen other subjects, also massively participated in competitions clubs "Leather Ball" and "Golden Puck". Indeed, in general, children's leisure was filled and

varied, not castrated by eternal sitting in front of the TV and not spoiled by computer games that disfigure the soul and mind. Even the natural attraction of children to physical activity turns into a caricature in the form of children's packs on roller skates or skateboards. As a result, not a normal atmosphere of communication is formed, but the public loneliness of the child.

**Ensuring a dignified old age.** In the USSR, the average pension was at the level of 120 Soviet rubles, accounting for about 60 percent of the average wage. And this is not counting about 20-30 rubles a month of subsidies from public funds to pay for housing, medicines, travel, etc. The average Soviet pensioner, and even more so a family of two pensioners, could live a completely full life due to pension provision only. Today, with an approximate coefficient of increase in the cost of living in nominal rubles by 150 times,

the average pensioner in the Russian Federation must receive a pension of at least 20-25 thousand "Russian" rubles in order to keep it at the level of the former Soviet one.

In reality, the average pension is not even half, or even a third, or even less of this amount. Accordingly, pensioners can be considered the category of the population most robbed by the Kremlin and the "Russian" state. However, the information terror of the print and electronic media, which kills the ability to think in the elderly, does not allow them to realize the vileness of the situation in the field of social security for old age.

Oddly enough, it is precisely the "Russian" pensioners, especially rural ones, who have become a stable part of the voters who vote for Putin, Medvedev, United Russia. But just pensioners have something to compare with.

The recognition of the pilot-cosmonaut of the USSR, twice Hero of the Soviet Union is characteristic George Grechko:

"... my acquaintances, people ... elderly, today they are afraid of rising prices, criminal lawlessness, slipping into ice and getting a fracture, or that an icicle not removed by public utilities will fall on their heads ..." Thirty years ago, similar fears to Soviet

people, even the most advanced wagon  
Rasta and did not come to mind.

Grechko continues:

"And most of all [they fear] injustice. The Russian is used to surviving. We fear not so much poverty as that we will not be treated as people worthy of respect."

Well, with the "dear Russians", the current Kremlin and all other "Kremlin", up to the rural ones, they act just like with people who are not worthy of respect.

But do the majority of "dear Russians", as well as "independent Ukrainians", and "independent" Georgians, Armenians, Balts, etc., deserve respect - at least some? The outside world is now looking at the Russians with a thinly concealed mixture of contempt and bewilderment.

Well, they deserve

it. The above is a very incomplete, of course, sketch of that comprehensive degradation of society, brought by the first twenty years we lived without the Soviet Union.

Moreover, these bleak results of the first anti-Soviet twenty years of "Rossiania" we have against the background of the obvious and complete, *comprehensive* incompetence of the authorities at all levels - from the Kremlin to the village.

However, it is necessary to speak not only about incompetence, but also about the fundamental, organic hypocrisy of the authorities, about their not only inability, but also unwillingness to act outside and within the country in the interests of the country. In the interests of that multinational people of Russia, which, in accordance with paragraph 1 of Article 3 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation, is "sole source of power" in the Russian Federation and which, in accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 3 The Constitution "exercises its power directly, as well as through state authorities and local self-government bodies".

This is the real "Russians" ...

And what are the prospects for "this country"?

Well, it is not difficult to understand that the prospects that logically follow from the first anti-Soviet twenty years of "Rossiyaniya" are only collapse, disintegration and collapse (decrepitude) in all directions of public life.

More will be said about this.

The Soviet Union was able to cope with any problems. When in 1970 in a number southern cities, including Odessa, Kerch, Astrakhan, unexpected outbreaks cholera (it is possible that this was a trial subversive action of bacteriological war), immediate and effective measures were taken without much noise. The development of the epidemic was nipped in the bud. The country did not even know about this danger.

And what could turn out today the emergence of a focus of the same cholera in Nizhny Novgorod, for example, Sormovo or in Kazan Shaimiev, and even in the ex-Luzhkovskaya, now Sobyanskaya, Moscow?

The fires of 2010, perhaps for the first time, exposed the Kremlin's inability to effectively act at critical moments with the mobilization of all the forces and capabilities of society. The living corpse of private property does not want to go to the grave and prefers to send to the grave of others.

Today in "Rossiyaniya" can be considered as already formed two of the most dangerous for future stability of the syndrome ("syndrome" is a combination of quite characteristic signs of any disease). These are the "Kushchevskaya syndrome" and the "Manezhka syndrome".

The first syndrome means not just criminals in power, but such criminals in authorities that do not even have the ability to organize a stable government. On the other hand, professional criminals have rich experience in organizing criminal associations, that is, to put it simply, gangs.

The second syndrome was clearly manifested during the youth riots on Manezhnaya Square in Moscow. It means that the power of an unsuccessful popular uprising is ripening in society, and the traditional Russian revolt - bloody and senseless due to the political illiteracy of the driving forces of this revolt.

Guessing the reality of new unrest, the Kremlin is preparing for the future as best it can - deciding in advance on the mass shedding of the blood of the rebels and showing, like the rebels "Manezhki", historical and political ignorance.

Those who are nineteen or younger today were born in the remake Rossiya Niya. That is, from the very beginning of their lives they were brought up in an antisocial atmosphere created by the state itself. This atmosphere is deliberately designed to form new generations of "dear Russians" as social idiots or antisocial "wolves".

Far from everything, the efforts of the Yeltsin and then the post-Yeltsin Kremlin turned out to be successful - and today many millions of young and relatively young

citizens brought up in the traditions of Soviet humanity and ready for a new collectivism. However, thanks to the efforts of the authorities and television, millions of young "citizens" have also been raised in the country today, who completely or almost completely lack any civic feelings and, above all, a sense of civic responsibility.

The combination and mutual influence of two social syndromes - the "Kushchevskaya syndrome" and the "Manezhka syndrome" may in the future give rise to a new and catastrophic quality of such a long-forgotten phenomenon as "MAKHNOVSHCHINA"!

This neo-Makhnovism can absorb up to ten million young anti-social "wolves" plus crime at all levels. The Makhnovshchina of the times of the Civil War, compared with the neo-Makhnovshchina, will then seem like child's play.

Many "dear Russians" from that caricature likeness of the "middle class", which does not have millions of dollars, but got hold of several million rubles and sends their offspring to study abroad, are already now afraid of an uncertain future and hope sooner or later from the dying "Rossiania" emigrate. However, these hopes are hardly justified.

Who needs in the same Europe, and so potentially fraught with instability, the masses of social klutzes from "Rossiyaniya" who have not been able to really arrange the life of their richest

Motherland? Perhaps the emigrating masses of these fools will be ready to accept Asia, Africa or Latin America? Perhaps ...

But, perhaps,

only in order to peel them off as sticky there and complete the social education of the former "dear Russians". That is, the most likely outcome of the

activities of the current Kremlin is the complete collapse of all significant social ties and total chaos. What then? The answer to this question is suggested

by the very

essence of the regime established in the Russian Federation after 1991. From the very moment of its inception, the political regime in the Russian Federation had many signs of an occupational regime and has not changed its character to this day. If the governments of the West act in the interests of the West, then the government of the Russian Federation has also almost always acted and is acting in the interests of the West. No wonder the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Russia is often referred to as the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in

Russia. In relation to the Russian state, which until 1992 existed in the historical form of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the current Kremlin is pursuing the line of the occupier Hitler, that is, it supports and implements the idea of the consistent dismemberment of the single Union State into separate "states"-bastards.

In relation to the economic potential of Russia, the current Kremlin is implementing the line of the head of the Nazi economy, the occupier Goering, that is, it consistently adheres to the policy of destroying the national industry and turning Russia into a raw materials appendage of the West.

In relation to the peoples of Russia, the current Kremlin supports and implements the idea of the occupier Himmler that Russians need to be deprived of a full-fledged education, it is enough for them to be able to read and write.

In terms of information, the current Kremlin adheres to the line of the occupier Goebbels, according to which the lie, in order for the masses to believe in it, must be monstrous. That is, in its main provisions,

the post-Yeltsin Kremlin coincides with the most dangerous occupying forces in the history of Russia - with the German Nazis who unleashed aggression against Russia.

However, at the moment, the occupation essence of the regime is masked by the formal sovereignty of the Russian Federation. And as long as the West is dealing with a de facto occupying, but formally sovereign regime capable of independently carrying out the systemic occupation of Russia by the West, the West has no need for a direct occupation of "Rossiania". In addition, for the time being, the Russian Federation has

at its disposal such nuclear weapons that are capable of deterring and neutralizing the threat of any direct aggression against the Russian Federation, including US nuclear aggression. **However, the Kremlin is actively and**

**consistently destroying the material military-technical base of national sovereignty - nuclear missile weapons and the nuclear weapons complex. As things stand, they will lose their power in five or six years. The threat of neo-Makhnovism will also become real by about the same date.** And if the Putin-Medvedev regime turns out to be unable to contain the neo-Makhnovism that has arisen, the

direct occupation of Russia under one flag or another - NATO, EU or UN, may turn out to be the most acceptable option for the West.

Under the pretext of restoring stability "in the interests of the dear Russians themselves" it will also be possible to significantly and quickly reduce the number of these same "Russians".

The prospect is gloomy, but for the current "Rossiania" it is quite possible. And in any case, one way is possible for this "Russia": more and more down the stairs leading only down.

So far, the Kremlin enjoys the credit of the majority of the population, if not trust, then the credit of *patience*. So far, the majority is still ready to vote for the Kremlin's candidates. But this credit of "confidence" is fragile, because it does not have a solid mass base. Even according to official statistics, 14% of "dear Russians" live on less than 3,400 rubles, and another 30% on no more than 7,400 rubles a month. This level is officially defined as poverty. Another 40% of the population lives below the official poverty line (below 17,000 rubles per month). Total - 84%. Well, the poverty of the philosophy of the "tops" determines the physical poverty

of the masses. But if the second type of poverty - material poverty under certain conditions is easily eliminated, then the first type - the poverty of the spirit and mind of the Kremlin is not eliminated in principle.

This is how the current Kremlin is arranged - it is a citadel of destroyers, and only destroy lei.

## Chapter 4

### About people, nonhumans and social necrophilia

The thousand-year-old Russian Pantheon is great and majestic, it is made up of hundreds and thousands of battle heroes and heroes of scientific research, civic service and artistic creativity, state activity and the struggle for a better life for the people. And even the most the least of the heroes of this Pantheon looks like a titan of spirit and mind compared to any of those who claim today in "Rossiyaniya" for the role of "masters of life" and "salt of the earth."

Today, almost exclusively anti-social elements of society are brought to the forefront of public life. And it is deadly for society. Man like the biological species is unquestionably the highest type of social animal: precisely outstanding abilities for unity and mutual assistance at one time singled out our distant ancestors from the rest of the animal world. However, in today's "masters of life" the antisocial traits of their nature are, I would say, innate (in other words, for them congenital pathology of the soul, that is, an unhealthy deviation from the norm). These *not quite* special people, even the appearance is different from the usual, their faces are different - devoid of human warmth and brilliance of eyes. Their eyes are not even cold, but dead, and this is not surprising, because they are the flesh of the flesh of the living corpse of capitalism.

The predatory beast is not to blame for the fact that it is a predator and takes life from time to time other carriers of life. However, with *nonhumans* in human form, things are different. Objective circumstances do not force *non-humans* to strive for and occupy leadership positions, to conduct television discussions, to create spiritually flawed "artistic" works, etc., in other words, to play certain prominent roles in human society.

Therefore, although moral concepts are fundamentally inaccessible *to non-human people* (so just as vegetarianism is fundamentally inaccessible to a predator), it would be socially wrong and unacceptable to release *nonhumans* from social responsibility. *Nonhumans* can't allow to strive for a different social position, except for the ordinary and inconspicuous.

Over the millennia of civilizational development, humanity has developed quite clear criteria for moral and not only assessment of the social behavior of certain members society. And when an assessment according to these criteria reveals a *non-human in Homo sapiens formally*, this should have certain organizational and legal consequences for *nonhumans*. IN USSR, if a person stole, he could, after serving his sentence, become at least a great scientist or a great composer, but hold positions related to material or financially responsible, he had no right under the law!

Something similar in a normal society should be provided against *nonhuman people*. They can improve in gardening, in work as machine operators, milkmaids, janitors, etc., but they must be deprived of the right to influence the life of society, especially in the sphere of managing certain aspects of society's life.

The last thought, by the way, is not so unexpected. In the newspaper "Soviet Russia" in 2011, an open letter was published by a former member of the editorial board of Komsomolskaya Pravda in Soviet times, editor of the department of literature and art, Yuri Geiko to his former friend, Yeltsin's son-in-law Valentin Yumashev, former captain of the Scarlet sails "Komsomolskaya Pravda".

This letter is a very curious document of our Time of Troubles, and I will refer to it later. So Yuri Geiko, in particular, writes:



"Valya, I have no complaints against Putin. And even more so to Medvedev. Because they are conscientious continuers of the work started by you: by Yeltsin, by you, by your team.

Namely - stuffing pockets, plundering RUSSIA AND TURNING IT INTO A GIANT HONDURAS. If they do not set such a goal, but

simply imagine capitalism and market relations as such, then they are ***professionally unsuitable*** (*bold italics mine. - S.K.*). Yuri Geiko's reasoning is politically naive and full of

intellectual illusions about the possibility of a different, non-brutal pattern, capitalism. However, about the professional unsuitability of the current "Kremlin sufferers" everything is said correctly. Those who are professionally unsuitable for one or another type of professional activity do not have the right to engage in it. After all, it would never occur to anyone to put the great, but blind Homer on the captain's bridge of an ocean liner. So is it acceptable to have incapable of governing, professionally unsuitable persons at the head of a great country? Moreover, the same Putin and Medvedev are not Homers, and the tasks of the people in their posts are more serious than those of the captain of any ship. The only socially justified action of the current inhabitants of the Kremlin and all

those who are systematically connected with them would be their immediate resignation from their posts, their voluntary resignation, followed by the appointment of free elections.

By the way, with the thoughts of Yuri Geiko, there is something in common with such, for example, reasoning  
nie:

"If a psychological task were set: how to make people of our time ... commit the most terrible atrocities without feeling guilty, then only one solution is possible: it is necessary that there be the very thing that is, it is necessary that these people be governors ... officers, policemen, that is, so that, firstly, they are sure that there is such a thing called public service, in which you can treat people like things, without a human, brotherly attitude towards them, and secondly, that people of this very public service were bound in such a way that the responsibility for the consequences of their actions with people did not fall

for whom separately ...

Things can be handled without love: trees can be cut, bricks can be made, iron can be forged without love; but you can't treat people without love... It's true that a person can't force himself to love... but it doesn't follow from this that you can treat people without love, especially if you demand something from them... If you don't feel love for people, sit still... do themselves, things, whatever you want, but not people ... "The last words are directly addressed to the

current inhabitants of the Kremlin, although they were said more than a hundred years ago by Leo Tolstoy in his novel "Resurrection". Let me remind you that the title of the novel does not mean the day of the week, but the process of the spiritual resurrection of the protagonist, Prince Dmitry Nekhlyudov.

And if we have already mentioned Leo Tolstoy with his aggravated attitude to moral problems, then it should be noted that there is one more ethical moment that is worth mentioning. The current *non-humans* who have come to the "top" in the last twenty years cannot be classified as traitors and criminals. And that's why ... They always betray *their*

*own*. That is, those who were once with you on the side of Good, Justice, Honor, Mind and Conscience, and then out of self-interest or for human (precisely

betrayed *human*) weakness and crossed over to the other, dark side of Evil, Injustice, Disgrace, Ignorance and Shamelessness.

However, the current "Russian" "elite", even that part of it that has surfaced at the top back in formally Soviet (for example, in Brezhnev) times, never was for the Country Soviets and its peoples. She could not betray her homeland because she was always a stranger to her! Initially, genetically, biochemically and psychophysiologically, she belonged to categories of moral degenerates, moral freaks.

The idea that moral claims to such special human beings there are no reasons to present, it is possible and necessary to develop in the direction that they are not worth it viewed not only as traitors, but also as criminals.

Who is a criminal? This is a person who *has crossed* a certain line between worthy behavior and behavior unworthy, criminal.

A moral crime is the crossing of the line between Good and Evil, between natural norm and immorality.

A legal crime is a crossing of the line between the norms of the law and actions prohibited by law.

But is it possible to consider those who have always been beyond the bounds of morality as criminals? law? But the representatives of the current "Russian" "beau monde" have always been immoral. They are just as unable to be guided by the interests of the people who trusted them, just as the crocodile is unable to look back. They are incapable of human reactions in the same way that the notorious Terminator of Arnold Schwarzenegger is not capable of them, and even less.

With the impassivity of a killer robot, they destroy everything powerful, kind and humane in the life of the land on which they were born, but which never became their homeland. With the shamelessness of a professional card sharper, they support everything and everything that destroys, depletes and humiliates the Fatherland. They have always been on that, dark, sinister side of society. They have never served the people and therefore cannot betray them.

Another thing is that some kind of their isolation from society is in the highest interests of the social protection is needed. However, I do not mean executions, prisons, and the like. What already mentioned above, I mean, for example, a kind of ban on the profession for those who does not justify the trust of the people and abuses them in personal or clan interests.

And now it would probably be useful to say a few words about such a specific phenomenon in the life of the current world - including "Russian" - liberal society as social necrophilia.

In the narrow medical sense, necrophilia (*Greek*: "love of the dead") refers to specific sexual or mental perversions.

However, in the 20th century, this term received a broader interpretation. In particular, he was used by the famous German-American sociologist and neo-Freudian psychologist Erich Fromm in his book *Anatomy of Human Destructiveness*. Fromm gave the definition of necrophilia is not in the medical, but in the characterological sense:

"This is a passionate attraction to everything that is dead, sick, putrid, decaying; at the same time this passionate desire to turn all life into inanimate, passion for destruction for the sake of destruction; as well as an exceptional interest in everything purely mechanical (non-biological). Plus it's a passion for violent breakup natural biological connections ... "

It is unlikely that Fromm himself realized that he gave a brilliant characterological definition the modern world "elite", entirely consisting of non-humans. However, observations

Fromm is so interesting, and his conclusions are so deadly for the capitalist "elite", that one simply cannot resist a few quotes from Fromm's book:

"A relatively elusive feature of the necrophilic character is a special lifelessness in communication. And here the point is not in the subject of discussion, but in the form of the statement. A smart, educated necrophile may talk about things that would be very interesting in themselves if not for the manner in which he presents his ideas. He remains...cold, impassive. He presents his topic... lifelessly... Such people cannot smile and speak at the same time, their smile is inorganic –... some kind of automatic grimace, symbolizing the American custom of "radiant" communication."

But the same is said about the manner of public behavior of almost all modern capitalist politicians in all countries of the world, and hence the capitalized "Russia", with the only amendment that the current politicking necrophiles can hardly be called smart and educated. Even President Bush Sr. gave the

impression of a man. President Bush Jr. already had all the pronounced behavioral signs of a political necrophile, which is not surprising - the process of decay of the living corpse of capitalism can only intensify and, of course, intensifies. And here is a description of a different behavior given by Fromm:

"The opposite type of character, a biophile (*the Russian*

*equivalent is* "a lover of life. - S.K.), on the contrary, can talk about an experience that is not very interesting in itself, but he gives it so interested and lively, which infects others with its good mood.

This is the behavior of, for example, Lenin, Fidel Castro, and indeed any person oriented toward creation. Incidentally, the outwardly unemotional demeanor and speeches of Stalin was, nevertheless, full of interest in life and addressed to life. It is no coincidence that in the article "Our Aims," written in 1912 as an editorial for the first issue of the newspaper Pravda, Stalin, stating that the purpose of Pravda would be "illuminating the path of the Russian working-class movement with the light of international social democracy" and "sowing the truth among the workers about friends and enemies of the working class," he continued (*emphasis in bold italics mine. - S.K.*): "In

setting such goals, we by no means intend to gloss over the differences that exist among the Social Democratic workers. Moreover: we think that a powerful and **full of life** movement is unthinkable without disagreements – only **in the cemetery** is "complete identity of views" possible!.."

Such a statement, opposing a dull cemetery full of movement, could only be made, using Fromm's term, by a convinced biophile, a consistent antagonist of the ideas of social necrophilia and conformism.

Fromm accurately concludes: "The necrophile acts on the group like a cold shower or a "silencer" of all joy, like a "walking melancholy" ..." This is about the current "Kremlin inmates". Lenin, Stalin and other prominent leaders of communism affected the audience in exactly the opposite way, inspiring people and mobilizing them to fight for new life.

Fromm also defines social necrophilia as an expression of love for the obsolete, as a heightened craving for things, for possession, for property. Fromm writes that "to have" in a social necrophile dominates over "to be", possession - over being. But, therefore, in

In this respect capitalists and supporters of capitalism are classical necrophiles. And this was well expressed in the well-known and popular at one time in the West slogan "Better to be dead than red." It is

better for a normal person to be alive than dead. And therefore, if Russian society does not want to die completely, we must learn to make a correct diagnosis to politicians in order to understand whether a politician is sick, God forbid, with a disease that is deadly for a normal society - social necrophilia.

We will probably have to return to the topic of non-human people. This topic is very poorly developed, although it is very important. And I will try to fill this gap - albeit to a small extent - in the next chapter.

## Chapter 5

### Elite, but not that ...

What if some kind of supercomputed tomograph was created that could decompose man into atoms and take into account all, even atomic and molecular, features of this or that another organism? And what if it were possible to pass all modern humanity through such a hypothetical tomograph, and then create a generalized computer portrait standard person?

I think it is possible that amazing things would be discovered.

It would very likely turn out that certain groups of people are fundamentally different from the norm at a very subtle level, not detectable by modern diagnostic methods. In the place where the molecules of conscience, honor, compassion, etc. are located in a normal person, these special human individuals would not have found absolutely nothing, since the place where normal human feelings and concepts should be instilled in a person as he develops, these individuals would have, so to speak, *polished* in the womb.

It would turn out that these special people are fundamentally different from other people. by the subtle biochemistry of their metabolic processes, by psychophysiology, by a number of genetic traits. And therefore, what is impossible for the vast majority of people (for example, to hit a mother, to lie or betray a friend or Motherland for profit, to rob an orphan, to look indifferently at the suffering of a neighbor, etc.), these special people do not seem only possible, but also the only correct one - if it is personally beneficial for them.

What is unnatural for others is natural for them. Moreover, this is not some kind of psychopathology, not a mental deviation from the norm, but a more subtle deviation from a nature that is still poorly understood, but undoubtedly has a place to be.

If such a tomograph existed, then mankind could be convinced that big capitalists and oligarchs, bourgeois politicians, figures of both pop "art" and and refined "pure" art, top managers, fashion couturiers, film directors, producers and "stars" - in a word, the entire modern "elite" of all kinds of the entire liberal world, including the "Rossiyaniya", with the rarest exceptions, refers to these special individuals, which are fundamentally different in biochemical and genetic respects

from the rest of humanity.

Perhaps it would also turn out that this particular group should also include that peculiar ny detachment of mankind, which was aptly identified as "office plankton".

Science has not yet created a supertomograph similar to the one described above. On the other hand, the Russian people, whose social experience goes back thousands of years, seems to have managed to reveal a terrifying, in fact, socio-biological phenomenon even without a fantastic CT scanner of the 22nd century. And this collective discovery of the people was reflected in such ancient product of human society, like language. In particular, the Russian language has the words "freak", "geek", "subhuman", "werewolf", "ghoul", "vampire" ("bloodsucker"), "vur dalak" ... There are also expressions: "Moral freak", "There is a freak in the family", "Mother will sell", "He will not regret his own father", "Spit in the eyes, he will say - God's dew", "No shame, no conscience", etc.

All these are language definitions developed by the people for specific *nonhuman people*. And all these definitions absolutely accurately express not quite human the nature of the modern "Russian" "elite". After all, only possessing by nature absolute moral deafness, you can do to the Motherland and its peoples what is done

For two decades in a row, "Russian" non-human people from among the current "elite" have been hovering over them for two decades in a row.

I must say that the word "elite" has always evoked in me a feeling of irritation, mixed with irony. In a good sense, this word can only be applied to elite varieties of wheat, etc., to elite breeds of bulls, pigs, sheep, etc. But if someone who moves around the planet on two legs and has articulate speech, seriously inclined to regard himself as *an elite* of any kind, then ...

This is a very serious and hardly curable case.

Without irony, but with respect, one can speak, however, about elite military formations such as paratroopers or guards units. But after all, this elite is thrown into the most difficult and responsible battles, for the right to classify themselves as members of the elite, representatives of such an elite pay blood, sweat and life itself.

And what about the "Russian" elite, who grabbed the fortune in the "Russia" that was slipped to us by the tuft? Well, let's take a look at a few "sections" of this "elite", starting, if not from the most important, then from the wealthiest, billionth.

## Slice 1

### Billionaires vs Billions

First, a quote:

"Nature does not tolerate emptiness. Where Putinism comes from in its own way incapacity finally ousted the state, began to reign cave orders of temporary oligarchs. Power fell into a humiliating dependence on a bunch of moneybags ... "

This is not a "red" author, this is Mikhail Poltoranin, a loyal Yeltsinite, deputy prime minister in Yeltsin's first "team", one of those who just laid the political conditions for emergence and strengthening of the oligarchs with their - by definition Poltoranin - cave orders.

However, it must be admitted that Yeltsin and Poltoranin only prepared the ground, plowed, so to speak, the earth, and others planted the seeds of future billion-dollar fortunes in it - successors of Yeltsin and Poltoranin. What has just been said is not a figure of speech, but a historical fact. In 1999, at the turn of the Yeltsin era itself and the subsequent post-Yeltsin era, there was not a single billionaire in the Russian Federation.

Today their number has exceeded one hundred.

By the beginning of the 21st century, more than three hundred owners of more than a billion personal fortune lived on the Planet. A third of the billionaires lived in the US - 149 Yankee Billion. In second place were the 52 Bundes Billions of Germany and in third place were the Samurai Millions of Japan with 41 individuals. In Mexico there were 15, in France - 14, in Sweden - 12, in Malaysia - 11, in Indonesia, Thailand, the Philippines - 10 each and in Canada - 7 copies.

This statistics has changed significantly in the new century, which is discussed below, but the order of events is niya has been preserved, with the exception of one fundamental point - the number of billionaires in "Russians".

The number of billionaires is the only social, or rather anti-social, indicator of Rossiyanian, which is growing at a fabulous pace in it. At the end of the last century - no one. In the first years of the new century - already a dozen, then - two, then - three dozen. In a matter of years!

In 2011, another Forbs list was published with the world ranking of milliarders. In the ranking of billionaires in 2011 - 1210 people. Their total fortune is 4.5 trillion dollars. Ten percent of this amount would be enough to completely eliminate the consequences of the earthquake in Japan in March 2011. Now there are 413 billionaires in

the United States, 115 in allegedly "socialist" China, and 101 billionaires in "Rossiania". The richest member of the billionaire

class in "Rossiania" is Vladi Mir Lisin with \$24 billion compared to \$15.8 billion in 2010. He is claimed to be the owner of the Novolipetsk Metallurgical Plant, but here is the full statement from volume 18 of the 3rd edition of the Great Soviet Encyclopedia from 1974:

"Novolipetsk Metallurgical Plant (NLMZ), a large enterprise of the metallurgical industry of the USSR. Located in Lipetsk. It produces pig iron, steel, sheet metal, ferroalloys, coke, acetylene black, ammonia water and other products. The construction of the plant began in 1931 on the basis of the Lipetsk iron ore deposit. The first cast iron was obtained on November 7, 1934. In 1941–1942 the equipment of the blast furnace shop and CHPP was dismantled and evacuated to Chelyabinsk. On the rest of the equipment, orders for the front were carried out. By 1950–1951 two blast furnaces were restored, by 1957 a shop for hot rolling of transformer steel was put into operation, in 1958–1959, an electric smelting shop with continuous steel casting plants (UNRS; for the first time in the world, 100% steel casting at the UNRS was mastered at the plant ), in 1960 - a shop for cold rolling of transformer steel. Since then, the plant has become the main supplier of cold-rolled transformer steel in the country. As part of the plant (1973): sinter plant, coke-chemical and nitrogen fertilizer production, blast furnace, electric steel-smelting, converter, sheet-rolling and auxiliary shops. The main metallurgical processes are automated and mechanized. Computers are used. In February 1973, a blast furnace with a useful volume of 3200 m<sup>3</sup> was blown out at the plant. A number of new production facilities are under construction (1974), including a blast furnace with a volume of 5,000 m<sup>3</sup>. The smelting of pig iron increased in 1974 in comparison with 1965 by 2.4 times, steel - by 11.1 times, production of rolled products - by 11.6 times. Part of the production is exported. Awarded the Order of Lenin (1971)."

The Novolipetsk Metallurgical Plant was built by the whole country for the needs of the whole people. Today it is "owned" by one person alone. But what objectively secures his rights to the Novolipetsk Metallurgical Plant? And aren't these rights secured solely by the mass social stupidity of "dear Russians"?

According to his social origin, 54-year-old Vladimir Lisin is "of the people", he started as an electrician at a mine, was an assistant to a steelworker, but this does not change his social essence - today, having taken 14th place in the Forbes world rating, he is included in the world capitalist "elite", and this "elite" appropriates the bulk of the labor of billions of people around the world. That's what he lives on. In

the hands of private owners in "Rossiyanian" and "CIS" today are in general all the unique industrial giants created by the labor of all the peoples of the USSR. What right individuals have to this property and whether they have a right to it, Russia has yet to

worth understanding. In vain that the current "Kremlin inmates" declare that the issue has been resolved once

and for all. Let's remember how it

all began... Initially, the social idiocy of tens of millions of "dear Russians", fueled by figures like Mikhail Poltoranin, made it possible to bring Yeltsin to power in 1991 and keep this power in 1996.

The logical result of the ongoing social idiocy was the election to the post of President of the Russian Federation of Yeltsin's spiritual heirs - Putin, and then - Medvedev.

And so they went further than the "teacher". Yeltsin did not have time to create a single billionaire, and his successors provided the conditions for the appearance in the Russian Federation of a whole hundred billionaires in just ten post-Yeltsin years. Such a rate of growth - and in all other spheres

of society! ***But here, it seems, you have to choose one of two things: either the prosperity of a hundred milliarders due to one and a half hundred million "dear Russians", or the prosperity of one and a half hundred million "dear Russians" due to the absence of hundreds***

***of billionaires in society.*** Ultimately, "dear Russians" themselves should choose. Indeed, in accordance with the Constitution of the Russian Federation, "the only source of power in the Russian Federation" is its multinational people." But this is on the one hand...

On the other hand, the ruling "party" "United Russia" in conjunction with the "party" "Fair Russia" and Zhirinovskiy's LDPR made Moscow a "city of billionaires", where 79 dollar billionaires now live.

On the third hand, power was given to the "parties" "United Russia", "Fair Russia" and Zhirinovskiy's LDPR by the socially idiotic masses, who still vote for these capitalist "parties". And the deputies of the State Duma elected by the "dear Russians" from the three aforementioned "parties" created the conditions for the abundant growth of billions of dollars. ***So it would not be worth complaining to***

***"dear Russians." As the saying goes: "The eyes have seen what they bought, now eat your fill, even if you burst!" One hope is that social idiocy, unlike clinical idiocy, is not an incurable disease and can be cured very quickly.***

Which is very much to be expected in

the future. Unfortunately, social idiocy is not a feature exclusively of the broad masses of the people. Very educated "dear Russians" are also subject to it. The French thinker Montaigne spoke in the sense that there are two types of ignorance. One, dark ignorance, stems from ignorance and is destroyed by education. Another, swaggering ignorance, is generated and nourished by education.

"Russian" billionaires in a country already half crushed by them are generated by both types of ignorance - both the social idiocy of the politically unenlightened masses, and swaggering social ignorance of the "academic type".

A randomly taken issue of the journal of the Academic Institute of World Economy and International Relations "World Economy and International Relations" (No. 2, 2011), an article by Doctor of Sciences, Professor Myasnikova "From the Global Crisis to the Catastrophe of the World systems."

Quote:

"The crisis leads to the destruction of the main line of economic destruction of the world system: capital - credit "hysteria" - production - consumption - accumulation of capital. Indirect social control is violated: false (new) consumerism is growing,



aimed at introducing the masses to the chimera of social equality (*if under this chimera there is its capitalist image, then it is said correctly.* - S.K.) through material slavery. Consumerism has "ripped off the roof" of the people. Consumer credit has become the main remedy of the capitalist system against the revolutionary fever. The training around the golden calf forged a "one-dimensional" person (according to Marcuse), easily amenable to the manipulations necessary for the elite ... "

Precisely said, but in general, the reasoning of another doctor of "sciences" quite fits into the framework of the social training of a "one-dimensional" person, easily amenable to the manipulations necessary for the elite. In order to be convinced of the validity of such a statement, it is enough to get acquainted with one more quote from an article in MEMO:

"Any society must be regulated by something (*well, well.* - S.K.), it remains a society as long as such regulation takes place. In traditional societies, the regulator is something (*well, well.* - S.K.) unwritten ("habit is the soul of powers" according to A. Pushkin). In societies that are moving into the modern stage, regulation is carried out by the law (*well, well.* - S.K.), which gradually enters into flesh and blood and is sometimes imposed by rather harsh methods (for example, Napoleon and Fouché). However, turning to such regulators does not allow us to understand the history of a number of countries, especially Russia of the 19th–20th (*even the 20th? Well, well.* - S.K.) centuries, where traditional regulators no longer dominated, and modern ones have not yet taken root. To explain this phenomenon (*Oh, even a phenomenon? Well, well.* - S.K.), S. Kurginyan proposed culture as an additional (and for Russia the main) macrosocial regulator. This kind of regulation presupposes the existence of culture-centrism in society, supported by historical and metaphysical (*even so? Well, well.* - S.K.) "genes" (memes). It is in this aspect of a specific cultural cenosis (like biocenosis), and not hysterical "soil" that the peculiarity of Russia should be considered. This reasoning should be enthusiastically applauded by all those "Russian" billionaires who are able to understand the

above and appreciate all its usefulness for ensuring further continuous growth in the number of billionaires in "Rossiyanika". If humanity will reason like Dr. Myasnikova and her like, the number of billionaires in the world will grow until the very moment when the "global crisis" (objectively nothing, not even the Japanese earthquake, caused) will lead to that irreversible catastrophe of the "world system", which even Dr. Myasnikova and the journal MEMO, which publishes her article, foresee.

Is it really even today, seeing how the cadaver of capitalism has already surrounded itself with more than a thousand "guards" of billionaires, is it so difficult for scientists (!) to see that the current society, almost fatally poisoned by billionaires, is "regulated" not by "something there", but by a living corpse capitalism and the stinking anti-social institution of private property?

And it is regulated in the interests of the "elite"

exclusively. The fortune of any billionaire is the result of his confrontation with the billions of his fellows in life on the Planet, whom the billionaire forced in one way or another to give him part of their labor.

But the fortune of any "Russian" billionaire is a particularly egregious result of appropriating the efforts of fellow citizens on an especially large scale. Today this cannot be denied

no one, including the billionaires themselves. Russian "new Russians" did not create their own property, they "privatized" public property. And this already leads the social situation in "Rossiyanaya" to a dead end with the prospect of its collapse into the abyss.

What to do?

Below I will give an extensive quotation from the article by "publicist" Vladimir Popov "At different poles. Modernization or disposal?", published in the issue of the newspaper "Soviet Russia" dated January 27, 2001. In terms of the vastness of social illusions and historical blindness, it can be considered a classic concentrated expression of the helplessness of liberal thought, even if it dresses up in pseudo-socialist clothes. So, Vladimir Popov's

"recipe":

"The time has come to interrupt the theoretical discussions and clearly formulate the conditions under which modernization in Russia is still possible. First of all, we must be convinced that the country and the people are filled with an ambitious desire to prove to themselves and to the whole world that Russian-Russians are worth something. The elite of society, including intellectuals and creative workers (*well, well.* - S.K.), having agreed on all the most important issues of the reform, are consolidated in the ranks of supporters of national revival. This is extremely important, because the requirements for the moral and professional qualities of politicians and spiritual leaders, who are destined to become carriers of the highest idea, are sharply increasing (*Sure!* - S.K.). Entrepreneurs, without whom it is useless to

start anything (*Uh-huh!* - S.K.), realizing their civil and social responsibility (*Ha!* - S.K.), limit their merchant requests (*Perhaps, once again "Ha!"* - S.K.) and direct all financial resources to the technological development of production. Society must understand that the professionalism of people engaged in serious (?? - S.K.) business is the same national treasure as any talent. A special role is assigned to the bureaucracy (*How could it be without it!* - S.K.) - these are irreplaceable staff workers, the human embodiment of the state will in carrying out modernization. Without a qualified state apparatus, there will be neither meaningful and daring (?? - S.K.) reform plans, nor organizers of their implementation. Officials swear by their freedom (*in the sense of "there is no will to see a century"?* - S.K.) on the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation (*we should also swear on the Bible, huh?* - S.K.) in disinterestedness. No bribes, everything is in the nightmare past.

And the last condition. Law enforcement agencies (*and why only them?* - S.K.) are an example of disinterested service to the Fatherland, ensuring the safety of it and the people in this most difficult period of history. The authorities, the elite and the greater, better part of the people should symbolize the famous Russian troika, harnessed by Oryol trotters, who, in anticipation of the excitement of the struggle, unable to restrain their power any longer, beat with a hoof (*one or all twelve?* - S.K.) and tear the reins

from the hands of an experienced rider "...

What can be said here? Since Vladimir Popov remembered the "troika bird" described by Gogol with inspiration at the end of the first volume of *Dead Souls*, then Sergei Kremlev will also be allowed to recall a Gogol character from the same immortal Gogol "poem", namely, the landowner Manilov. His dreams and wishes could harmoniously enter into the priest's recipes as an integral part. Manilov argued:

"How nice it would be if suddenly from the house to conduct an underground passage or build a stone bridge across the pond, on which would be on both sides of the shop, and that merchants would sit in them and sell various small goods needed by the peasants.

Your will, dear friends, but successful modernization in the current "Rossiania" without such a Manilov bridge is simply impossible. I think, by the way, that's why financial group "Most" and was named as it was named.

However, jokes aside! What can be said seriously about the prospects for business and the advertised capitalist "modernization" in "Rossiania"?

Perhaps these prospects are as described below ...

Above, I quoted Mikhail Poltoranin, a prominent Yeltsin, who used the expression "temporary oligarchs". But why - "temporaries"? Maybe the former Does Poltoranin, Master of Sports of the USSR in Greco-Roman wrestling, hint at the possibility of an exacerbation of the already class struggle in Russia, the result of which could be the liquidation of the oligarchs as a class, and even physically?

Maybe I don't know... But I tend to think that the oligarchs are in danger from the very beginning. on the other side of the barricades - just from the one on which they are now located.

Yes, it is possible that in the next decade for a peaceful old age even Russian oligarchs, let alone those leading faces in the Kremlin and around it in the Russian leadership, who recklessly hand over to the West the knowingly winning positions of the Russian state.

And this is why even the oligarchs, etc., should not be carefree ...

So far, the personal property status of the current "Russian" "elite" is guaranteed not only by personal accounts in foreign banks, but by the still unfinished military power of Russia and the still existing Russian statehood - even if bastard, but legally existing.

What if the power disappears? If Russia collapses as a sovereign state? Will the guarantees of personal peace and security of "businessmen" not collapse then?

Well, what if some of the oligarchs or even all of them have dual citizenship? Well, what of the fact that either two hundred, or three hundred thousand "Russian" citizens were formed in London a whole elite ghetto with their schools, etc.?

This is for now.

Without a sovereign, state-registered (let's not forget, also nuclear) Russia "new Russians" in the West are unlikely to be perceived as "their own". There is no dispute - for the clan of billionaires and millionaires of the world is a close-knit supranational, cosmopolitan "elite". It is the proletarians of all countries that have not been able to unite, and the capitalists all countries have united for a long time!

But the capitalists *of the national* countries. Behind every billionaire and millionaire in the world are "their" countries with *national* Armed forces and other attributes *of the nation* state.

And the Russian "business" The Russian state has been undermining and undermining all these years, and business policy is programming the death of Russia as a great power. Even in such a dubious publication as Argumenty i Fakty, one can sometimes find deadly facts and unkilld arguments in support of what has been said.

So, in 2006, in No. 45 of AiF, another well-known former Yeltsin loyalist, Vyache Slav Kostikov, complained that dirty money was defaming Russian business. However, in reality, Russian "business" denigrates and makes it unpromising the whole way of its actions.

In foreign policy, instead of encouraging unifying tendencies, for example, he does everything to consolidate the political split between Great Russia and Ukraine and prevent the unification of Great Russia and Belarus...

In domestic political terms, he initiates corruption, a mass of anti-national and anti-people laws, arranges an orgy of "millionaire fairs". Regarding the latter, even the London Times somehow remembered Stalin and concluded that the logical outcome here could be "the nationalization of the wealth of 25 Russian billionaires."

I will note in brackets that this was not written in Pravda, but in the same Times, which is published in the same London, where hundreds of thousands of "new Russians" seem to have been reliably "selected". And the opinion of The Times can, after all, be regarded as a hint: they say that you were "these Russians" for us and will remain "these Russians." As long as you still have membership in the UN and a national (at least formally national) Ministry of Foreign Affairs, you have to endure your presence with us. But on occasion, we will remember everything for you and rid London of

*dirty Russian pigs ...*

Could it be so? A? By 2011, there were four times more billionaires in Rossiya compared to 2006. So, following the logic of even The Times, the result of the "activities" of the oligarchs could be the nationalization of the wealth of already hundreds of Russian billionaires in favor of the peoples of Russia – if a nationally oriented government is established in Russia.

But after all, the option of *internationalizing* the state of the "new Russians" *in favor of the Europeans* is also possible - if state power disappears in Russia altogether and Russia itself disappears - as a legal concept.

Assessing the "business" potential of the Russian "business", I will refer to one of the political creators of the current oligarchic regime - Grigory Yavlinsky, who once said that the oligarchs "can only buy and sell." If the Russian "business" produces something, then only using the potential (economic, scientific and technical, personnel) that was created by the socialist economy.

As an option, a "screwdriver" "economy" is "developing".

In fact, even today we can talk about the collapse of Russian capitalism - so far systemic. It is organically incapable of transforming itself even into effective state capitalism (only leftist forces can undertake something like this as a transitional measure) and leads to self-destruction of both itself and Russia. Large Russian "business" has only one

desire - to continue unbridled and without restraint to satisfy their most frenzied and antisocial demands and instincts. And this is programming the very imminent collapse of "Rossiania".

And

then? Well, I'll say it again! If "Rossiyanitya" fails, Russian "businessmen" can hardly count on the protection of the elite of the West, even if these businessmen move to the West, retaining their Western assets. Most likely, the West will simply disavow new emigrants-"rats" with all their millions and even billions (there will be a justification!). After all, then there will be no great Russia destroyed by "Russian" "business", but the "businessmen" themselves will look in the eyes of the Western public the way they actually look now, that is, extremely unattractive and illegitimate. Why, then, on the sly and not peel off

like sticky? Who will protect them? The right of "private property"? Well, this is for *you!* And the former citizens of the former "Russian Federation", if this "Federation" collapses into oblivion, the West may face the fate of the unarmed Ostap Bender after his meeting with the armed Romanian border guards. Remember how it all ended there?

It's time for everyone, both the "have-nots" and the "haves", to understand that the West needs one Russia - a *former Shay*, one way or another liquidated.

If those who have real leverage to turn the situation in Russia to the benefit of Russia, there is not enough state and business foresight to stop destroying country, then at least one could think about the future personal fate.

Of course, "nationally oriented" "entrepreneurs" in "Rossiyaniya" are almost an illusion. But in principle, a compromise of healthy (that is, socialist-oriented) forces with any political figures and business figures is not ruled out.  
the current regime.

***However, a compromise is possible only on the following conceptual basis: the healthy forces of Russia are ready to take responsibility for the country, provided they return to the property of the people - including those from abroad - at least 95 percent of the "states" acquired after 1991.***

This is not a policy of "Plunder the loot", for in all civilized societies the loot is returned to the rightful owner without any ransom. However, in the name achieving public consent as soon as possible, it would be possible to go to enough serious compensation to the "new Russians" in exchange for their refusal to resist.

Enough of our civil wars!

However, with all those who are responsible for the processes and results of the last two decades of our history, as well as with those who formed the basis of the "new Russians" class, the only reasonable negotiations are possible - about the ways and methods of the most painless for all the departure of the "new Russians" and all the "elite" associated with them, including the supposedly "creative" one, from the political, social, economic, cultural and historical life of the peoples of the Russian state.

Nationally oriented entrepreneurs, if there are any, who are ready to support the leftist forces will be able to receive their legitimate and quite considerable share of the social "pie" as hired managers of unperverted socialism.

Unless, of course, in Russia one can find among the current "businessmen" not only "the vary", but also really business people.

## Slice 2

### "Kremlin inmates"

First, a quote again. And I confess right away - again from Mikhail Poltoranin. I refer to his assessments quite consciously. It's one thing when the current regime is abusive scolds Sergei Kremlev - here you can still dismiss it: they say, what to expect from him - a Stalinist ... Or, as one of the visitors of the modern Hyde Park noted - the Internet, meaning me: "Well, what to take from him! He's a believer."

Yes! Believer! Only not in Jesus Christ and not in Stalin, but in a smart future Ros these...

Nevertheless, the Kremlin, if he is not loved by someone, in experts on the Kremlin, you can do not count. But when the Kremlin, how much in vain will bonfire one of those who have long studied this Kremlin, not only all the corridors, but also all *the nooks and crannies* of power, then the supporters of the Kremlin and The Kremlin itself has nothing to cover up - it scolds and exposes its own! Let your ex.

So, Mikhail Poltoranin:

"The tandem is trying to manage the state as a single tobacco factory (*Why tobacco? Well, so be it. - S.K.*) ... Trying to manage everyone and everything (*rather, trying not to manage anything. - S.K.*), the Kremlin is forced constantly complicate the structure of the executive branch... and the same feature set of freeloaders. Everywhere parallelism, hustle, stupidity (*very sensible, it should be noted, organized by someone. - S.K.*) ... The authorities are mired in empty space, moving away from reality all farther and farther..."

Poltoranin's conclusion:

"The government has exhausted its resources..."

Well, who would argue, although one clarification could be made - the current Kremlin has never had the slightest resources of a competent and responsible authority before the people, for what reason could not exhaust these resources.

From the shamelessly ignorant and asocial aggressive Yeltsin to the necrophilic (according to Fromm) to the impassive Putin and from Putin to the well-known Medvedev - that's the one the path that Russia has been following for the past twenty years. But in its history there were such outstanding leaders as St. Vladimir, Yaroslav the Wise, Alexander Nevsky, Ivan Kalita, Dimitry Donskoy, Ivan III, Ivan the Terrible, Peter the Great, Vladimir Lenin and Joseph Stalin.

And today, within the same walls in which the main activities of the most outstanding Russian leaders - born on the throne or descended from the people - we observe the current inhabitants of the Kremlin, the "Kremlin inmates".

N-yes...

In the "epoch" of developed Brezhnevism, the information program "Vremya" jokers called "And it's all about him, and then - a little bit of sports." Had circulation and next joke.

"Tired Ivanov, having come home from work, turned on the TV, settled down in front of it, and on the first channel – Leonid Ilyich Brezhnev. Ivanov switches to the second channel - the same. On the third - the same. On the fourth - Brezhnev again. Ivanov, desperate, switches to the last Channel 5, and there a KGB colonel shakes his finger from the screen and says: "Look, Ivanov! I'll change the switch for you!"

Today, this anecdote is involuntarily remembered every time you start switching TV channels during news releases. That's just the colonels  
The KGB remained in the past - they were all eclipsed by a KGB lieutenant colonel. And one more change: instead of one General Secretary - "tandem".

Alas, the current Kremlin and the peoples of Russia exist in non-intersecting planes. Once again, this was clearly manifested in the three demonstrative actions of the current Kremlin.

The first is the attitude towards the memory of Boris Yeltsin. Today the name of Yeltsin with one or another A share of contempt and hatred is spoken by at least 80% of "dear Russians" – this is convincingly evidenced by various public polls. Yeltsin is synonymous with the collapse of the USSR, deceived hopes, humiliation and degradation of a great power. Dismissed by constitutional means in the fall of 1993, Yeltsin made a state

the coup and butchery suppressed the mass demonstrations of the masses. However, the Kremlin emphatically honors the memory of one of the greatest Jews in world history, ignoring the feelings and opinions of the overwhelming majority of the very people who brought him to the Kremlin.  
current inhabitants.

Second example... If a referendum were held on whether the name "militia" should be retained for public law enforcement agencies or whether to replace

to the name "police", then at least 90% of the population would have spoken in favor of keeping the police of Uncle Styopa. It is possible that even "oligarchs" would vote for the police. After all, the word "policeman" has long had a negative character in Russia, and after the Great Patriotic War it acquired a particularly unambiguous meaning, synonymous with the word "traitor", "traitor", "scum of society".

The Day of the Soviet Militia was one of the most vividly celebrated professional holidays in the USSR, and even the post-Soviet authorities could not ignore this circumstance for a long time, retaining the Day of the Militia. Nevertheless, the Kremlin, with just the same savage, sadistic persistence, is now "squeezing" the concept of "militia" out of the life of the country. Finally, the third is the awarding of Mikhail Gorbachev with the Order of St. Andrew the First-

Called. This "highest" "Russian" "order" itself correlates with its Petrine predecessor in the same way as the current "founders" of the "order" correlate with Peter. And in this sense, Mikhail Gorbachev fully deserves this "order". But for the current Kremlin, this "order" is a sign of the highest state recognition. And who does the Kremlin consider worthy of such a distinction? Mikhail Gorbachev, whose name is uttered with disgust by hundreds of millions of people not only within the USSR, but also in Europe, in Asia ... Mikhail

Gorbachev, whom only an extremely politically ignorant or morally corrupt person can attribute to the human race, and not

to the fiends of hell.

Such an open disregard for mass public opinion is in fact tantamount to a crime against the Constitution of the Russian Federation, where it is declared that the only source of power in the Russian Federation is the people and that no one can appropriate power in the Russian Federation, and the seizure of power is punishable by law.

There is, by the way, one circumstance requiring clarification. The Kremlin today is being criticized both from the left and from the right. And the criticism of the same Putin and Medvedev, coming from the right-wing liberal circles, is much more biting than, for example, Sergei Kremlev. What and how liberal "publicists" like Andrei Piontkovsky and Mikhail Berg write about "authority" can envy any critic of any regime – where is Good Lyubov and Herzen before these two and other "mudrakers"! For example, Andrei Piontkovsky writes:

"Stop twenty years of hypocritical demagoguery about unpopular reforms, covering up your past and future failures and crimes. The economy needs top-priority super-popular elementary hygienic reforms doomed to popular support: the separation of money and power, the disbanding of criminal obshchaks, the cessation of forceful and judicial raiding, the departure from the stage (preferably immediately and voluntarily, before it's too late, on a thieves' steamboat) who has completely discredited himself for twenty years of a political class, starting with two clowns dancing incessantly in TV boxes, jealously replacing each other, etc., etc.

So, even anti-Putin liberals (who stigmatize Putin's liberals) are persistently pursuing the idea that Putin and Medvedev should leave as soon as possible. I agree with this idea. But my and the liberals' views on what in the name of what Putin and Medvedev should leave are absolutely different. The Piontkovskys and the Bergs need them to leave in order to save the institution of private property in Russia.

While I am sure that the top-priority, "elementary hygienic reforms doomed to popular support" in the Russian Federation should begin with the restoration of the executed Constitution of the RSFSR, which immediately puts a large private

property outside the law and ensuring the immediate nationalization of all national wealth, banks and large-scale productive property (small "business" is not a hindrance to new socialism). Actually, a similar liberal flickering in Russia already

happened almost a hundred years ago. The bourgeois liberal "constitutional democrat" (Cadet) Professor Milyukov spoke from the rostrum of the last tsarist Duma at the beginning of 1917 in much the same way that the Piontkovskys and Bergs write today: "Go away!" Autocratic tsarism, in Miliukov's ideas, had to give way not to socialism, but to

bourgeois parliamentarism. So it is now - "Putinism" in the views of Piontkovsky should give way not to the new Soviet power, but to a new "political class" that supposedly knows how to "save" the country. My position is fundamentally different from the position of such "anti-Putinists". They demand that the current anti-Soviet and anti-socialist "duumvirate" be replaced by

representatives of other, but also anti-Soviet and anti-socialist liberal circles. However, by virtue of the rejection of the ideas of socialism by "anti-Putinists", absolutely all major anti-socialists and anti-Soviet people are just as socially incompetent as Putin and Medvedev, who they criticize, etc. That is why both the leading "Kremlin inmates" and the non-leading right-wing liberals and all like them can bring invaluable benefits to the cause of public administration if they are at the maximum distance from the functions of power.

However, I am the first to agree that Putin and Medvedev will continue to be at the head of Russia if they publicly announce and then **implement** a program for the immediate dismantling of capitalism and the socialist transformation of Russia. However, perhaps I indulged too much in beautiful-

hearted dreams, let's return to the sad reality. Putin and Medvedev... Medvedev and Putin... Not twin brothers, they are

interchangeable, but the  
pedals are still turning

together. But Russia is not a track tandem, something can jam, and then it would not fall down - and even on a sharp turn ... And hewing the sides, but on rough concrete - it hurts, after all. Well, this is their "royal" business, although the royal business is far from their business. It is possible that

some of those who cast their votes for President Putin or President Medvedev will consider what has been said above and below about them as a personal insult. Like, we trust these people, but the Kremlin does not put them in a penny. Yes, I don't! However, aren't those who trusted them insulted, first of all, by Putin and Medvedev themselves? Let everyone who trusts them to this day try to honestly answer this question himself. I have no particular desire to talk a lot about the admirer of Tsar Alexander II, Dmitry Medvedev. I will only note that the "tsar-liberator" and

"reformer", adored by Medvedev, was in fact the biggest state criminal already because, without any reason, he sold Russian America, in which the richest Alaska was only part of the vast Russian possessions. By selling Russian America, Alexander II deprived Russia of grandiose geopolitical prospects in the Pacific Ocean and in general on the planet. Yes, and Russia's purely material losses from lost income "pull" to the present day by at least a couple of trillion dollars!

However, the affairs and policies of Medvedev himself are quite in line with the affairs and policies of his ideal.

Now - a few words about the second member of the  
"tandem". Considered a stupid person. Perhaps so ... However, I would like to have more weighty confirmation of such an assessment than those that we have so far.



You can't erase a word from a song, but you can't erase a fact from history either. And alas, the undoubted fact is that Vladimir Putin, with all his other "merits" to Russian history, also publicly outraged the dignity of the Russian highest executive authorities, sitting on a motorcycle surrounded by "brothers" -bikers - in principle, emphatically antisocial extremists.

Not to mention the Chairmen of the Council of Ministers of the USSR, is it possible to imagine say, the Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the Russian Empire, Pyotr Stolypin, in a public environment not of bikers, of course, but - taking into account the features of that era - drunken spree merchants or their clerks? Or even the tramps described by Gorky.

Yes, on the scale of the absurdity that is now characteristic of a country burning with a smoky flame "Birch chintz", "biker" trick of Prime Minister Putin - a trifle. However, remembering the poet Mayakovsky, you can see: "And we'll put this insult on a common account ..."

Quite worthy of its "leader" and "party" "United Russia". Recently from the mouth everything yet, alas, I heard a good definition of a tyrant from a colleague who had not departed from the "democratic" frenzy. A colleague certified Stalin in this way, but in fact, without wanting it himself, he gave an exact a portrait of the current inhabitants of the Kremlin and Okhotny Ryad, which joined it, where in the former the building of the State Planning Committee of the USSR, and now - the State Duma of the Russian Federation, the deputy asset is based "ruling party".

"Tyrants," I was told, "are those who, not knowing the measure, cannot renounce power even when they are already completely exhausted.

And this, point to point, is said about the current Kremlin and Okhotnoryadsky "inmates" - "sufferers". With the only clarification that, as already mentioned, they all once did not differ in particular prowess.

## Slice 3

### "United Russia" - the path to unity or to disintegration?

The United Russia party in its leadership can be called the party of millionaires, but the interests of billionaires are not alien to it either. "Russian" billionaires and the United Russia party are twin brothers.

This "party" is perhaps unique in the world history of parliamentarism in that it The United Russia party has no assets other than the leader. This party, even at the level of rank-and-file members, is a party of exclusively leading workers.

Yes, the "United Russia" party is the "party of bosses". Voluntary (not in advance agreed handouts) entry into the United Russia party of a simple, non-leading and a citizen who does not have a high income is an almost unrealistic event. Such an act can only be committed by extremely socially undeveloped, ignorant people, but they usually are not inclined to any active participation in the political process.

On the other hand, for a leading citizen, membership in the United Russia party becomes not only a sign of "good manners", but also a guarantee of maintaining leadership position and further successful career. In this regard, the United Russia party it inherited in full measure and even developed all the most disgusting features of the rotten Brezhnev "Communist" Party of the Soviet Union, the CPSU of the "partoplasmic" type.

Among the rank and file members of the United Russia party are leading doctors and teachers, heads of enterprises and organizations. And among them to this day there are many who at one time and with the same goals acquired membership in the CPSU. At the same time, they are most often in a purely professional

socially are professionally fit. They are bankrupt like citizens.

The Duma leadership of the "party" is basically rotten flesh from the flesh of a rotten mode. There are exceptions, but they don't make the "party" weather. As for those peripheral members of the United Russia party who are in it "on duty" - how earlier in the CPSU, then these members in their moral basis are not so much rotten as weak. Internally, they may even be opponents of the current regime. But the lack of strong moral core makes them conciliators and predetermines one or another degree of their moral decline.

In other words, among the leading asset (not the leadership) of the United Russia party, one can find many of those who in the old days were - albeit more often everything and inactive - on the side of Good and Light. And only then, seduced by the leadership position and career, did he cross the line of morally permissible and join at least outwardly, formally, to the forces of the Dark Side under the banner of United Russia.

The depth of the moral fall of the peripheral, "professional" active of the party United Russia is great, but that doesn't mean it can't go back to Dark side to light side. Moreover, we can immediately say that that part of the United Russia", which is capable of repentance and atonement for its moral sins, can embark on the path of revival, and not the destruction of Russia, only together with the people and - not in the ranks of the United Russia party.

Actually, the path of repentance is not ordered for Medvedev and Putin either.

But this is unlikely...

Let's ask ourselves the question: is United Russia a path to unity or to disintegration?

And to answer it correctly, let's think about the following ...

A few years ago, the United Russia party received from the peoples of Russia a huge credit of trust. And the loan was given to her huge, unprecedented in the history of Russia. If the United Russia party used it honestly and in the interests of the peoples of Russia, then it could would literally transform Russia to an unrecognizably better life for the benefit of the working masses.

Yes, behind fires, floods, divorces of show business stars and eruptions of Iceland volcano and the Japanese tsunami, "dear Russians" somehow lose sight of the fact that with In 2008, a party appeared in the Russian Federation, the powers of which exceeded even the powers of the supposedly "omnipotent" CPSU.

According to the Constitution of the USSR, the Communist Party was only "leading and the guiding force of Soviet society. For all the amorphousness and vagueness of this wording, it was clear that the CPSU could not, for example, autocratically change the Constitution, change the foundations of the political system, etc.

***On the other hand, since 2008, the United Russia party, which is currently operating in the Russian Federation, has received virtually absolute powers of power, since it has a parliamentary majority of more than two thirds in the State Duma and an almost 100% majority in the Federation Council.***

For the adoption of a federal constitutional law in accordance with Article 108 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation requires at least three-quarters of the votes of the total number of members Federation Council and at least two thirds of the total number of deputies of the State Duma.

It's just that the parliamentary faction of the United Russia party, having a two-thirds majority in the Duma, can adopt federal laws on its own, overcoming even the "veto" of the president and the Federation Council.

And accepts.

***But in whose interests does the "party" "United Russia" make laws? Alas, she accepts them for the glory of the interests of the "new Russians" and for the sake of the vegetation of the rest.***

So, is it possible to say that this "party" paid off the credit of the people's trust, at least in small degree?

The United Russia party can actually change the Constitution of the Russian Federation in all its most important parts, since a majority of 3/5 of the total number of members of the Federation Council and deputies are needed to revise the provisions of the most important chapters of the 1st, 2nd and 9th Constitution. tatov of the State Duma, and the party "United Russia" has it.

A similar situation is observed in "Rossiyaniya" and at lower levels of government - regional, provincial and local. Practically in all autonomies, regions and districts RF in all structures of power the United Russia party has a decisive influence.

United Russia is not just a ruling party. After the parliamentary elections In 2007, it became the monopoly ruling party. And for almost four years of her sole the position of the absolute majority of the population of the Russian Federation and the Russian Federation in general not only did not improve in all respects, but became even more catastrophic.

Statistical tightrope walking, including the growth figures for recent years in car purchases by the population, can only reassure and convince impenetrable fools.

There is no real economic growth, and the country's internal situation is becoming increasingly more "Kushchevsky" - as a direct result of the rule of the United Russia party.

Is not it?

***The actual legislative power in Russia, which has been in force since 2008, is not The Federal Assembly of the Russian Federation, not the State Duma, but the United Russia party, which has full constitutional power. At the same time, during its sole political rule, the United Russia party did not accept practically any one law in the interests of the masses of the Russian Federation.***

From this follows a completely unambiguous political and moral assessment of this "pair tee." She is morally criminal.

If the peoples of Russia keep the United Russia party in power, it will only reinforce the existing crisis situation in the country and will not bring any positive results. changes insofar as the United Russia party was unable to provide positive changes over the many years of her sole control of the Russian Federation.

But it is this "party" that is leading Russia to collapse.

## **Slice 4**

### **Does the official church serve Christ?**

And what can be said about the current Russian Orthodox Church? Which one turns out its role in modern Russia?

What does she serve?

The activity of any church is justified only when it is aimed at awakening a moral feeling in a person, at realizing oneself as a person as a part of the vast Universe.

In the view of religion, the world was created by the Creator, but with any approach, it is important that that the world was created as a huge mysterious and majestic Universe and the task of man is to know this world and live in harmony with it. This is what the most eminent Orthodox thinkers.

On what social and moral positions should the Church stand? The answer to this question is given by the Christian teaching itself. After all, the commandments of Jesus Christ consider the issues of the moral canon in their social aspect quite unambiguously, namely,  
How:

*"Do not lay up for yourselves treasures on earth..." (Gospel of Matthew, ch. 6, verse 19);*

*"No one can serve two masters, for either he will hate the one and love the other; or he will be zealous for one, and not take care of the other. You cannot serve God and mammon (wealth. - Note of the Bible)" (Mat., ch. 6, verse 24);*

*"... if you want to be perfect, go sell your possessions and give to the poor; and you will have treasure in heaven" (Matt., ch. 19, verse 21); "And again I say to you, it is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the Kingdom of Heaven" (Mat., ch. 19, verse 24); "It is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the kingdom of God's" (Gospel of Mark, ch. 10, verse 25);*

*"On the contrary, woe to you rich! For you have already received your consolation." (Gospel of Luke, ch. 6, verse 24);*

*"... You cannot serve God and mammon" (Luke, ch. 16, verse 13).*

Christ's attitude towards merchants and bankers is evident from his following act: *"And Jesus entered into the temple of God and drove out all those who sold and bought in the temple, and the tables of the money-*

*changers...* And he said to them: it is written: "My house shall be called a house of prayer"; but you have made it a den of thieves" (Matt., ch. 21, verses

12-13). As we can see, the accumulation of earthly wealth, usury, possession of estates, private property, from the standpoint of Christ, is the greatest crime before God and man. And those who engage in these ungodly pursuits have no place in the Temple of God.

In other words, the Church, answering the commandments of Christ, not only cannot accept the rich, but is obliged to expel them from itself! For the

unrepentant servants of Mammon, the Antichrist in Christ's Church can only be one measure is anathema, excommunication from the church.

***But what and to whom does the current official Russian "Orthodox" Church serve? Who do we see in her face? Well, the***

***official Church emphatically demonstrates complete unanimity with the powerful of this world, it fully supports the anti-social and basically satanic policy of the current "Russian" government and not only does not expel merchants from the temple, but in every possible way welcomes them in temples and assigns them honorary***

***places.***

Can the Church of Christ accept donations from the servants of the Antichrist, Satan? Certainly not! But the current

official church not only accepts donations from such, for example, an undoubted Satanist like Anatoly Chubais, but even engraves his name in gold letters on a memorial plaque in the temple of God next to the names of other Satanists who faithfully serve Mammon and therefore are in no way able to serve God. The idea of Christ is the idea of the final triumph on

Earth of the new world, to which a person comes through the initial imperfection of this World. In the final part of the Bible - in the Revelation of St. John the Theologian (ch. 21, verses 1-3, 24-27) - it is said:

*"And I saw a new heaven and a new earth; for the former heaven and the former land passed..."*

*And I, John, saw the holy city of Jerusalem, new, descending from God out of heaven..."*

The saved nations will walk in its light... Its gates will not be locked by day, and there will be no night. And they will bring into it the glory and honor of the nations. And nothing unclean shall enter into it, and no one given over to abomination and lies..."

Does this picture resemble the current liberal model of society? On the other hand, how hostile is the picture of the new Jerusalem of the Bible to the following, for example, the picture of the world described below? Here she is:

"The general association of all members of society for the purpose of the joint and planned exploitation of the productive forces; development of production to such an extent that it satisfies the needs of all; the elimination of such a situation when the needs of some people are satisfied at the expense of others; ... the comprehensive development of the abilities of all members of society through ... production education, a change in occupations, the participation of all in the use of the benefits that are produced by everyone ... "This is a picture of the future communist

society, taken from Friedrich Engels' Principles of Communism, written by him at the request of Karl Marx to popularize the ideas of Marxism. But communism and socialism are not just the implementation of

the principles of social justice, but a systemically higher and the only reasonable type of social relations. And in its moral basis, it does not contradict the precepts of Christ!

Actually, the spiritual, ideological similarity of the communist and Christian views on a perfect human society has long been noticed and is not seriously denied by anyone. But few people today deny the spiritual rottenness of modern liberalism. Here is another, already related to the new century, quote:

"... everywhere - wherever you look - there is a crisis: in the economy, and in culture, and in education ... But if now the crisis is systemic, not only in our country, but throughout the world, then maybe there is some kind of common basis for this crisis? I answer this way: there is a root cause - this is a crisis of the human personality, this is a crisis of moral feeling, this is a crisis of the loss of values "...

Truly said! But by

whom? This is a quote from a speech by the current Orthodox Patriarch Kirill to an audience of five thousand youth in Moscow in Izmailovo. There, from May 21 to May 23, 2009, "with the blessing of His Holiness, His Holiness Patriarch Kirill of Moscow and All Rus'," the XIII World Russian People's Council "Ecology of the Soul and Youth. Spiritual and moral causes of crises and ways to overcome them.

How so? Patriarch Kirill recognizes the fact of the total crisis of society. At the same time, Patriarch Kirill, like Patriarch Alexy II before him, lives in full harmony with the authorities that now stand at the head of Russia and which regularly bow in churches on all "service" days!

That is, there is agreement between the Cyril Church and the Kremlin authorities. But for some reason there is no agreement "between people" in the "Russian" society - which Kirill himself confirms. But why?

According to Cyril, the root cause is the crisis of the human personality, the crisis of moral feeling, the crisis of the loss of values. We can agree... And what is the reason for such a triple moral crisis?

A crisis is a collapse of something that already existed before, moreover, that existed steadily. That is, even the anti-Soviet and anti-communist Patriarch Kirill - perhaps without realizing it himself - actually admits that in the USSR there were conditions for the development of the individual, that there was a moral feeling among the Soviet masses and that Soviet society had moral values, and now here is "rossiyanskoe" lost them *for some reason* and fell into a crisis. Why? Alas, on this account, Patriarch Kirill can only

throw a

scarf over his mouth. After all, the activities of Kirill and the organization he leads have one of their main goals to discredit the order of things that existed in the Russian Universe from 1917 to 1991, that is, to discredit the USSR and socialism and at the same time justify the order of things that arose within the USSR from autumn 1991. That is, to justify "Russian" capitalism in the eyes of society. Just like capitalism itself.

And that is why Patriarch Kirill and others like him will never publicly and publicly admit that the true root cause of the universal crisis of the human personality, the crisis of moral feeling and the loss of values is the existence in the world of capitalist private property.

validity.

If humanity as a whole and Russian society in particular desire the revival of morality, then all of us in Russia, with the exception of one or two million thieves legalized by capitalism, must calmly and unanimously abandon the institution of private property, primarily on the main means of social production and on natural resources. , that is, to carry out nationalization.

Just because there are hundreds of billionaires and thousands of millionaires in Russia, the peoples of Russia are getting poorer, and Russia itself is weakening in every way.

If we want a strong and confident Russia, we need a new socialism. Is Patriarch

Kirill ready to put the issue before the society and the authorities? No, of course not,

because Patriarch Kirill does not serve God! He does not anathematize Satanists in power, but shares power with them under the sign of the Golden Calf, that is, he himself is a Satanist! He is not a servant of God, but a servant of Mammon!

Here is Kirill's assessment, given in one of the monasteries (!) and given in the newspaper Sovetskaya Rossiya (04/14/11):

"When the new patriarch came, it seemed to us that now something would change, the church would have a second wind. But two years have passed, and most hopes have been dashed. The manner of actions of the saint became more or less clear... And the logic of the actions of the saint becomes quite predictable: ... to constantly raise the bar of ambitions to save the fatherland and humanity... And what is happening in human hearts at this time is no longer important ... "

An indicative fact was cited in the same issue of the newspaper. In 2009, only three thousand people came to the religious procession in Moscow, organized by the church independently on the day of memory of Cyril and Methodius Equal-to-the-Apostles. Then, in 2010, the authorities joined the "charitable" cause, the prefectures were engaged in gathering the people, and the number of "walkers" immediately increased to 50 thousand people. The priest who remained incognito, who told about this, laments: "Why are our hierarchs getting closer and closer to the authorities?"

admits: "Over the past year, the number of all kinds of inquiries, questionnaires and reports has increased so much that the rectors of churches have to hire special people for paperwork." A familiar picture in the worldly "Russian" life, isn't it?

But similar in the Church? In other words, there is nothing further from the idea of God than the modern official church. It could be said that there is nothing more distant than the current church, and from the people, but here the primacy must be given to the current Kremlin - the Kremlin "priseltsy" are even more distant from the people than church bureaucrats.

In the modern church there was a hierarch who served the idea of God and Russia - the long-deceased Metropolitan John. But this fighter for a mighty and just Russia (having nothing to do with the "party" "Fair Russia") was in fact a dissident in the official church. Today, in church circles, we are looking for, with the rarest exception, not fighters, but at best, also "scribes" not respected by Christ.

So, in issue 56 of "Soviet Russia" dated June 2, 2009 it was reported:

"The greatest concern of the inhabitants of the Optina Hermitage, which, according to the precepts of the elders, has always shown an interest in the spiritual culture behind the walls, in literature and deeds of the color of the Russian intelligentsia, is the desire of the State Duma to ratify the European Charter. It has already been adopted in the first reading ... "

Why is the European Charter bad for the "scribes" from Optina Pustyn? Length we want to quote:

"What does it mean? - Lamented during a conversation in the refectory, the blue-eyed icon painter Fr. Hypatius. "The charter involves wholesale sexual education of our children and the introduction of juvenile law, that is, direct satanism - the corruption of children and separating them into some kind of "juvenile community", which is contrary to our traditions and precepts ... "

So, the servant of God himself defines the modern liberal model of society as satanic. But the current Kremlin, following the West, defines this same model as exemplary, while socialism and the Soviet Union are anathematized by the same Kremlin historically and politically. The Cyrillic Church also anathematizes the Bolsheviks. But this church

does not anathematize the current "rulers" and the current regime. So who does the current "Russian" "Orthodox" "church" serve - God, Russia, the peoples of Russia, or Satan and the enemies of Russia and its peoples? Jesus Christ commanded (Mat., ch. 5, verse 37):

"But let your word be: "yes, yes", "no, no"; but what is more than this is from the evil one.

Let's ask ourselves a number of

questions: Does the liberal model of life follow the precepts of Christ?

Is it possible to serve - in accordance with the precepts of Christ - both God and personal Wealth? Is it possible to

consider a Christian, and even more so a servant of God, those who do not reject servants of Mammon, the golden calf? Do the

ideas of socialism and communism correspond to the ideas of Christ? Do the ideas of a liberal society correspond to the ideas of Christ? Does the principle of private property correspond to the teachings of Christ?

Any of these questions can be answered unequivocally: "yes" or "no". And this, in accordance with the precepts of Christ, means that any evasion of an unequivocal answer comes "from the evil one," that is, from Satan. Are Patriarch

Kirill and the "Orthodox" Church ready to answer these questions publicly and permanently? There are a lot of

such questions, which can and should be given only unambiguous answers *without cunning*. For example: "The European Charter itself, which disturbs the inhabitants of Optina Hermitage, is a product of socialism or capitalism?"

And the current State Duma, which is ready to approve the satanist—according not to the Marxists, but to the Optina monk—indoctrination of young souls, is this Duma itself a product of socialism or capitalism?

Questions can be continued, but is it worth it?

We have already seen in the Soviet Universe a new sky and a renewed new earth. Today this sky and this earth are polluted with "locusts with human faces" and in "armor as if of iron" - in armor of greed and selfishness ... And if we want to see the new

heaven and the new earth again, we must understand that this is possible only under a new The red banner of the new Land of Good, which is so hated by Satanists of all stripes, including from the current official "church".

It is not for nothing that the Satanic church intends to directly interfere in the choice of the people and influence it, almost putting up candidates for churchmen in elections. "Church" Satanists intend to rush to the aid of the power of secular Satanists. Well, if so, the

thousand-year-old Russian Orthodoxy today has only two ways: either to continue to follow the liberal, capitalist false prophets such as the "**partiarh**" Kirill and other Satanists, or to find spiritual forces in themselves and cleanse themselves of them and take the position of rejecting that power that is pleasing to Mammon and therefore not pleasing to God.

By the way, the second path - the path of repentance, purification and transition to the side of the now humiliated and offended forces of Good, that is, to the side of the working people, was not ordered even to Cyril himself - in full accordance with the ideas and precepts of Christ.

## Slice 5

### Is the Russian Academy of Sciences criminal?

The great American writer Mark Twain rightly believed that a citizen who sees that the political clothes of his country are worn out and does not campaign for the creation of new clothes is a traitor. That is, not just an unworthy citizen, but **a traitor!** In other words, a traitor and a criminal! So, not only in the opinion of Sergei Kremlev, but also in the opinion of a great humanist

from a once great country, for example, such an influential social group as the United Russia party should be considered a doubly morally criminal social group.

Doubly because this "party" not only does not agitate for the creation of new political clothes for Russia, but tries with all its might to assure us that the existing clothes are comfortable and magnificent, like the new clothes of the king ...

Alas, in the "Russian" society there is another influential social group, which is also time to talk about in order to understand - does it not deserve to be defined as morally criminal? This group is the Russian Academy of Sciences.



Of course, such a formulation of the question itself can cause a storm of far from academic indignation, but let's approach the problem as scientists, that is, impartially, consistently, on the basis of facts and logically consistent arguments.

After all, one cannot but agree with the opinion of Mark Twain, if one stands not only on a truly civil, but also from a purely scientific point of view.

And that's why...

Knowledge is indeed power in itself. Scientific knowledge is all the more great powerful and even in some respects invincible force.

***But just a rigorous scientific analysis, undertaken from the standpoint not only of philosophy and social sciences, including history, but also from the standpoint of mathematics, physics, biology, physiology, ecology, economics, engineering sciences, etc., unambiguously reveals the fatality of the liberal model of society based on the right to private property***

*sti...*

Doom for the future of both the Planet as a whole and Russia in particular.

Moreover, for Russia, this fatality is increasingly visible to the unarmed, as they say, eye – no microscope or telescope required.

The great Russian thinker Vladimir Ulyanov gave us a clear, precise and strict scientific formula:

"You can become a communist only when you enrich your memory knowledge of all those riches that mankind has developed.

But the inversion of this formula is also true: "If you enrich your memory with the knowledge of all the riches that mankind has developed, then you will inevitably become a communist!

This formula found the most striking confirmation in the fate of the great French atomic physicist Frederic Joliot-Curie. Starting your spiritual growth as a non-partisan a bourgeois humanist, he completed it by entering the leadership of the French Communist Party.

There is another outstanding example in the history of science, but more on that later.

So, if you are educated, intellectually developed and at the same time wish to be a *civilly* wealthy member of society, you cannot but come to communist convictions. ***After all, a true communist is a person who wants such a structure of society when no one will have the opportunity to do to other people what he does not would like to do with it.***

The last thought can be considered a modern formula of communism, and it completely coincides with the biblical commandment: "And so in everything, as you want people to do to you, so do you to them ..." (Matt., ch. 7, verse 12).

Both of these formulas reject that private property which allows the minority to undeservedly appropriate part of the labor of the majority and mock Man in man. Therefore, one who has enriched his memory with full scientific knowledge and developed the ability for scientific analysis, and as a result did not become a communist, is moral criminal.

***People who have precise, scientific knowledge and are professionally bound be able to analyze this knowledge, be able to compare, reveal the interconnections of facts and phenomena, in other words - all scientists in the Russian Federation and, above all, naturally, outstanding, leading scientists, members of the Russian Academy of Sciences cannot but see all the disastrous preservation of capitalist, private property relations in Russia.***

And seeing this, they - precisely as scientists, and not only as citizens - cannot but be aware and the complete futility of the current regime in the Russian Federation, its failure from any point vision. Well, then this should be loudly - all over the country - and talk about it!

All major "Russian" scientists are in fact **Soviet** scientists. Whole the foundation of their scientific knowledge was laid in the USSR by the Soviet system of higher education and the Soviet system of training highly qualified scientific personnel.

Accordingly, members of the RAS and their other "Russian" colleagues in science, in contrast to their Western colleagues, at one time attended entire courses in the social sciences and should would understand the issues of the social life of mankind incomparably more deeply and full of what is typical for a typical Western scientist.

Western scientists are most often specialized, but Soviet scientists were taught to think, remembering the laws of social development.

The physicist Einstein believed that science is not called upon to set goals (social, of course), and although such a formulation of the question does not seem very correct, one can agree in the sense that it is more common for science to evaluate certain goals society.

But in any case, science is obliged to warn society about possible negative consequences of achieving certain goals. **Science should warn of troubles and especially should not drag society into them.**

Alas, the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, especially of the Gorbachev model, was already slipping more and more into outright criminal public positions. "Academic" "economists" of the type academicians Abalkin, Shatalin, Aganbegyan assured the society of alleged insolvency planned economy, in the alleged beneficence of the market, in the need for unemployment, "shock therapy", etc.

The Department of Economics of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR directly and consciously pushed society towards the rejection of socialism and illusory hopes for capitalism. And the activity of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR on The open disintegration of the USSR was encouraged from above and paid for from outside.

The Department of History of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR and the Department of Philosophy and Law of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR also did not gratuitously (that is, not free of charge) switched to vile, anti-scientific renegade "rails", rolling up to anti-Sovietism, anti-Marxism and anti-communism.

The rest of the departments of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR remained silent at the same time, although in its history there was, for example, the experience of a collective letter from hundreds of members of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR to the Central Committee of the CPSU with a condemnation (as it is now clear - not very reasoned and correct) of activity Academician Lysenko in the 60s.

None of the Soviet academicians, not to mention the Academy of Sciences of the USSR as a whole, did not raised his voice in defense of the USSR and socialism. But scientists in the USSR believed.

On the other hand, many destructive social and economic transformations were perestroika. of the late and post-perestroika years were "consecrated" by the "authority of science".

That is, the USSR Academy of Sciences was already guilty not only of socially criminal inaction, but also in socially criminal acts.

The Russian Academy of Sciences only exacerbated and aggravated this situation. But not only social analysis, but also a purely scientific analysis of the current situation in the Russian Federation, undertaken from the standpoint of any branch of scientific knowledge (even petrography, botany or paleontology) in combination with an objective analysis of the state of affairs in any branch of science in the Russian Federation, which has developed as a result of many years of destruction of science by the state itself, leads to an unequivocal assessment of the current regime in the Russian Federation.

**And this assessment cannot but be a scientific verdict on the regime.**

Members of the RAS cannot but see the exclusively destructive essence of the regime **as scientists**. But then, already **as citizens**, members of the RAS are obliged in every possible way and constantly to bring the results of their analysis to the general public, to the people.

And - do not bring!

What is this if not a scientific and moral crime?

There are no "Russian scientists" in the RAS today - all members and corresponding members of the RAS are **Soviet** scientists who were educated in higher educational institutions of the USSR, brought up and shaped by **Soviet** scientific schools. Nevertheless, the current RAS has betrayed not only its former proud name of the USSR Academy of Sciences, but even its professional holiday. Scientists of the Soviet Union celebrated the Day of Science every third Sunday in April. Not even Soviet science, but Science in general, since in the USSR Science became one of the most important factors in the development of the country - in full accordance, by the way, with the basic economic law of socialism formulated by Stalin.

The choice of the date for the holiday of science in the USSR was due to the fact that at the end of April 1918, Lenin wrote (did not sign, but personally wrote) "Outline of a plan for scientific and technical work", where one of the priorities of science included the electrification of the entire country and the study of natural resources Russia.

But in 1999, "Russian" scientists agreed to the establishment of the "Day of Russian Science", although by that time the concept of "Russian science" was more of a fan concept. languid, that is, ghostly, not really existing.

The new "holiday" was timed to coincide with the founding of the Academy of Sciences by decree of the Peter's Senate of January 28 (February 8, according to

the new style), 1724. Science in Russia and Soviet science led from Peter. And it was understandable. In tsarist Russia, after Peter the Great, science turned out to be the poor stepdaughter of society, while in the USSR it became the

intellectual leader of the social process. It is significant that Peter set very modest tasks for the Academy and, at that time, could not but set only modest tasks for it. Peter ordered "to set up an academy in which they would study languages, as well as other sciences and noble arts and translate books."

Just! By

betraying the Soviet Day of Science, the "Russian" Academy of Sciences itself renounced the past grandiose tasks that Soviet society set before Soviet science and which Soviet science successfully solved even in the conditions of its suppression by various agents of influence and simply mediocre careerists in the Brezhnev-Gorbachev times.

Science in the USSR had a completely understandable high authority both among the masses and the leadership of the USSR at all levels. In fact, members of the USSR Academy of Sciences enjoyed social immunity akin to diplomatic, that is, they had a kind of immunity right. The academician physicist

Sakharov, having betrayed science and the interests of the Motherland, directly declared himself a political opponent of the socialist system and was deprived of all government awards and titles, with the exception of the only one - the title of a full member of the USSR Academy of Sciences. Accordingly, he continued to use the state support that was due to him as a member of the USSR Academy of Sciences. A

prominent mathematician, Corresponding Member of the USSR Academy of Sciences Shafarevich published abroad a historical and political libel on socialism, which probably cost him his election as a full member of the USSR Academy of Sciences, but Shafarevich was not deprived of the already received title of Corresponding Member of the USSR Academy of Sciences. As well as financial payments associated with the title of Corresponding Member. These and other precedents give grounds to assert that even the current Kremlin, with all its obvious and growing totalitarianism in the field of social ideas, will in no case risk applying any serious sanctions to any scientist with a high official status, and above all, to an academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences. , even in the case of his most acute intellectual and civil

confrontation with the regime. And if there are ten such academicians? What if it's a hundred?

Moreover, the more acute, principled and publicly declared the confrontation, the more chances the Protestants would have that "nothing will happen" to them. The guarantee for this is not only the still high prestige of science, but also the fact that prominent scientists of the Russian Federation are informal members of the international scientific community, and this provides Russian scientists with additional social immunity.

What if the Presidium of the RAS convened an extraordinary general meeting of the RAS with the invitation of leading foreign scientists, with the involvement of the world's leading mass media? And what if, from the rostrum of this general meeting of the Russian Academy of Sciences, domestic scientists reasonably anathematized the current Kremlin regime and its backbone - private property?

What if the RAS publicly warned the country that the current regime, established as a result of the election of a series of political deceivers by the people, would be fatal for us in the near future? If the Russian Academy of Sciences demanded a public, multi-day round table broadcast on all television channels, would it demand a referendum on major issues, etc.?

I am convinced that even the Kremlin would be forced with such a ***unified and collective*** position be considered as a member of the Academy of Sciences.

However, things are just the opposite. Despite a particularly advantageous social and systemic position in society, the Russian Academy of Sciences actually consecrates with its silence the anti-people and destructive policy of the current Kremlin.

In the hanged man's house they don't talk about the rope, and in the temple of "Russian" science they prefer to keep quiet about the murder of the great Soviet science by the Yeltsin and post-Yeltsin

regimes. In a house with glass walls, it is not customary to throw stones, and "Russian" academic science is at the seams in front of the Kremlin – God forbid you inadvertently throw a stone at it, and the fragile greasy walls will collapse.

And this despite the fact that Lenin's idea that one can become a communist only by mastering all the spiritual wealth of mankind, certainly has an inversion: honest mastering of the spiritual acquisitions of mankind makes a person a communist. An outstanding proof of this was the position of the great physicist Albert Einstein.

In 1949, his essay "Why Socialism?" appeared. In fact, these were the arguments of a man who had come from bourgeois liberalism to scientific Marxism. Einstein wrote (the full essay can be found online: <http://varjag-2007.livejournal.com/146923.html>):

"...countless voices claim that human society is in a state of crisis and has lost stability... ..I can briefly state my opinion on the nature of the current crisis. It is about the relation of the individual to society. As never before, a person is aware of his dependence on society. But he (*Einstein meant, of course, a person of Western society, although he did not emphasize this.* - S.K.) feels this dependence not as a blessing, not as an organic

bond, not as a protective force, but rather as a threat to his natural rights or even his economic existence. Moreover, his position in society is such that the egoistic instincts inherent in a person (*more precisely, animal, biological.* - S.K.) instincts are constantly accentuated, while social, weaker in nature (*since they are not laid genetically, but brought up by society.* - S.K.), degrading more and more ...

The real source of this evil, in my opinion, is the economic anarchy of capitalist society. We see before us a huge productive society, whose members are increasingly striving to deprive each other of the fruits of their collective labor. And not by force, but for the most part by observing the rules established by law (*meaning, of course, the "sacred" "right" of private property.* - S.K.) ... ... The owner of the means of production has the opportunity to buy the

worker's labor power. Using the means of production, this worker produces new products, which become the property of the capitalist... It is important to understand that even in theory, the worker's wages are not determined by the value of what he has produced. Private capital tends to concentrate in the hands of a

few... As a result... a capitalist oligarchy appears, whose monstrous power a democratically organized society cannot effectively limit... ...Moreover, under existing conditions, private capitalists inevitably control, directly or indirectly, the main sources

of information (the press, radio, education)... Production is for profit, not consumption...

... I am convinced that there is only one way to get rid of these terrible evils, namely through the creation of a socialist economy with an education system corresponding to it, which would be aimed at achieving social goals (three years later, Stalin wrote about this in "The Economic Problems of Socialism " . - S.K.). In such an economy, the means of production belong to the whole society and are used according to the plan ... ... In addition to the

development of his natural abilities, the education of a person would aim to develop in him a sense of responsibility for other people, instead of the glorification that exists in our (capitalist. - S.K. ) *society* power and success ...

An extensive quote needs no comment. At the same time, Einstein stated that "clarity regarding the goals and problems of socialism is of the greatest importance in our transitional time," that is, Einstein, like Marx, was convinced that the transition from world capitalism to world socialism was not only real, but also inevitable.

At the same time, Einstein already saw the main danger for the future of socialism, which was formed within real socialism. He wrote: "It must be

remembered, however, that a planned economy is not yet socialism. By itself, it can be accompanied by complete enslavement of the individual (*here Einstein not so much stated as warned, because the greatest enslavement of the individual in the conditions of real socialism must be attributed to the times of "Gorbachev's" perestroika.* - S.K.). Building socialism requires solving exceptionally complex socio-political problems: given the high degree of political and economic centralization, how to make sure that the bureaucracy does not become omnipotent (*which happened in the era of late Brezhnevism and then Gorbachevism.* - S.K.). How

ensure the protection of the rights of the individual, and with them a democratic counterbalance to the

power of the bureaucracy." As we can see, not only Lenin was worried about the threat to socialism from the bureaucracy. Stalin was also well aware of the exceptional complexity of the socio-political problems that had matured in the course of building real socialism in the USSR. It was the determination to seriously reform Soviet society on the basis of socialist democracy after the country had overcome the period of force majeure that cost Stalin and then Beria their lives in 1953, and the belated understanding of the need for such reforms - the political career of Malenkov, Molotov and Kaganovich in 1957 year.

By the way, these members of the Stalinist **political** "team", who occupied the highest places in the social hierarchy, were not afraid to speak out against the anti-scientific voluntarism of Khrushchev and the Khrushchevites and were returned by the Khrushchevites to their "primitive state" for this - to the midst of the masses of the people, but from their own convictions were not abandoned.

And the members **of the scientific** "team" of the Soviet Union, Soviet academicians, perfectly understanding the intellectual and scientific correctness of Stalin's political comrades-in-arms, preferred to remain cowardly silent in the 1950s, holding on to their privileges and posts. But their **publicly** expressed **collective** protest against Khrushchev's anti-scientific adventurism could overnight overthrow Khrushchev and his minions and direct the development of society along a constructive path. And who, if not the Academy of

Sciences of the USSR, was obliged to put forward and propagate the idea of the vital importance for socialism of reverse social relations between the authorities and the people? Feedback links never became a

factor in the strengthening and development of socialism. On the contrary, their absence was the cause of its degradation and fall. Today this is clear to many. It is significant that the modern translator of Einstein's essay L. Koroteeva provided the translation with her own brilliant commentary, part of which I cannot but quote: "... Einstein's article is not only of historical interest as an expression of socialist

ideas by one of the greatest scientific geniuses of mankind. It remains relevant, because the conditions of human existence, the crisis of society and the individual, about which Einstein writes, remain unchanged (*more precisely, sharply aggravated*. - S.K.) half a century later. And the first publication of this article in Russian, according to the translator, is of particular importance, since nowhere did the victory of the forces of the world counter-revolution turn into such a regression of public consciousness as on the territory of ... the Soviet Union. It was here that the restoration of capitalism based on the appropriation and destruction of property created by the labor of the Soviet people created a demand for the

wildest, archaic forms of bourgeois ideology and led to rampant obscurantism and obscurantism. Right now, philosophical quackery has flourished, which previously manifested itself in the "ideological service" of the installations of party and state structures, and now is directly dictated by the very logic of capital. Russian liberalism, to which many now turn hopeful eyes,

is nothing more than an undisguised apology for... social Darwinism, and Einstein, describing the economic causes of social disasters and mutilation, seems to look from 1949 into the present day.

It is significant that it is from the lips of the great scientist that the conclusion is that science is not capable of creating goals. Conclusion as deadly

for the "priests of the Marxist parish", who are used to fooling the workers with spells like "Ideas rule the world", and for the haters of Marxism, who seek to shift the blame for all the abominations of our empiricism on the theory of scientific communism. On the contrary, it is encouraging for the struggling (*more precisely, for those who have decided to fight in the future - S.K.*) masses of working people. After all, people are the only actors in history, and how reasonable and human their goals will be, and how successful the movement towards them will largely depend on whether the mystical halo will fall off the sciences that study the laws of the development of society ... "

Yes, humanity is beginning to see clearly again. In any case, for this, start again conditions are formed.

L. Koroteeva refers to Einstein's idea that science is not capable of creating goals. This refers to the following passage from his essay: "... socialism is

directed towards a socio-ethical goal (*this is not entirely true, but it can be so. - S.K.*). Science is not capable of creating goals (*this is not entirely true, but so be it. - S.K.*). Even less is to educate them in a person. At its best, science can provide the means to certain ends. But the goals themselves are generated by people with high ethical ideals (*if the goals are high, not low. - S.K.*). And if these goals are not stillborn, but have a vital force, they are accepted and carried out by those masses of people who semi-consciously determine the slow evolution of society.

Einstein's opinion that socialism is directed towards a socio-ethical goal is not entirely correct. **Socialism is basically not an ethical category, but a stage category; it is an objectively higher and more systematically developed stage of the world civilizational process.** But since socialism is called upon to remove the contradiction between the social nature of production and the unjust private property distribution of the produced product, it turns out to be ethically directed towards humane goals, in contrast to capitalism.

However, the question of the relationship between the goals of society and scientific knowledge was put by Einstein in an interesting and original way. Scientific analysis, on the basis of what goals a society sets for itself, can draw a conclusion about the objective fate of this society.

So where is this scientific analysis, which the highest scientific institution of Russia is obliged to undertake?

Instead of analysis, the RAS encourages anti-historical and historically ignorant fabrications of various academic "historians" like Academician Sakharov (no longer a physicist, but a historian). The only major world-

famous scientist in the Russian Federation who publicly identifies with the socialist idea is only Academician Zhores Alferov today. One can recall the late academicians Moiseev and Lvov... **On the whole, the RAS is not only a collective civil and intellectual coward, but, as has already been said, a collective moral and intellectual criminal.** However, civic cowardice must also have its limits. Even in the "Russian" society, the authority of science has not yet been

completely undermined, and **"Go away!"**, said to the Kremlin and capitalism by the Russian Academy of Sciences **at the right time**, can become a major milestone on the path to the socialist revival of Russia.

Yes, I am extremely harsh in my negative assessments of the Russian Academy of Sciences and the scientific community as a whole. But after all, someone should be at the height of events and problems? And if the scientific elite

turns out to be not at the height of the requirements of the era, then someone must say this directly and impartially! After all, if the civil fall of

the RAS has occurred (and it has happened), then its rise to scientific and civil heights can only begin with an honest, scientifically merciless recognition of the depth of its civil and intellectual fall by the Russian Academy of Sciences itself.

## Slice 6

### Media: "There is nothing to blame if the mirror is crooked"

Already bright Russian thinker Alexander Pushkin wrote about the need for public censorship. He explained this necessity by the fact that, on the one hand, the writers deservedly have over the masses the power and influence peculiar to them alone, but precisely for this reason, on the other hand, one should not allow this power to be excessively strengthened.

The Danish mystic Kierkegaard, by no means the sunniest bourgeois philosopher, wrote, that now the devil has settled in the printing press.

Pushkin and Kierkegaard did not mention television, but only because in their time there was no television "needle" on which, if not all of society, then most of it could be planted. However, one does not need to read either Kierkegaard or Pushkin – it is enough to live

in Rossyiania of the 1992-2011 model to come to similar conclusions on your own. For all the muddy, dirty things that happened, is happening and will continue to happen in the former Soviet country, the possibility of its accomplishment owes to a huge extent to the writing and electronic press. It is not for nothing that journalism is considered among the oldest professions, and it is not by chance that it is included in this list along with prostitution. At one time, however, such a

hypostasis of journalism was outlined as the role of a kind of public auditor, protecting society from uncontrolled abuses by individual social strata or individuals. Not without reason, in 1845, a magazine appeared in Germany with the name "Spiegel", that is, "Sehr

kalo".

The image of the press as a mirror can be recognized, although incomplete, but to a large extent accurate. So Stendhal, around the same years when Der Spiegel appeared, compared the writer with a mirror standing by the road. However, the image of the reflection of the

truth in the mirror is quite international, not without reason we, in Russia, have a proverb: "There is nothing to blame on the mirror if the face is crooked." Soviet power brought to life a new, higher type of

press - a press not only of fact, and not even only of thought. Lenin wrote that the newspaper is not only a collective propagandist and agitator, but also a collective **organizer**. A very fruitful approach - if the organization

The rational possibilities of the press are aimed at creation.

All this is in the past today. Where is the "organizer"! Today, the objective presentation of information is becoming the ultimate dream, because the Kremlin does not need an objective press that more or less accurately reflects the life of society and its problems.

Accordingly, the "Russian" mass media mainly serve not the "revision", not organizational and information needs of society, but ideologically serve the interests of the private property regime. The regime is oriented towards the ideology of destruction, collapse, greed, immorality and individualism, so what can our media be oriented and what is it oriented towards?



That's it!

There is a colloquial expression: "Hang noodles on your ears." But where is it hung up, this misinformation "noodles"? Not in the cafeteria, and not in the grocery store. On the ears "dear Russians" this "noodles" is moving from television screens and printed pages. And they hang it in such a way that one has to be surprised - as soon as people's ears can withstand it?

But so far they are holding out, because if it were otherwise, the people would not be so pliable to the policy of duping and idiotizing, they would not turn from a **people** into an "electorate" easily amenable to manipulation .

The peoples themselves are not so stupid In 1941, when the peoples of the USSR felt the real a threat to their historical existence, a threat to their fundamental interests, they, these peoples, immediately found the strength within themselves for unprecedented moral and material mobilization and victory in the most difficult war! But then the people had clear goals and clear tasks, set by propaganda mobilizing for the struggle. At the same time, the people were at the head of themselves **competent** leadership. The Kremlin in Moscow then stood the same as it does now, but here they were then in the Kremlin there are completely different figures.

And if today the peoples of the Russian Federation and the CIS (with the exception of the people of Belarus) are almost completely demoralized, then the reason for this is not so much the people's stupidity and underdevelopment, how much in the fact that the peoples of the USSR for twenty years now have been led by mediocre or traitorous leadership. And at the same time, they are processed by such methods and on such a scale that even Goebbels' propaganda could not dream of.

Each page of many large-circulation mass publications is focused on instilling total hatred, or at least dislike for one's own Motherland, on cultivating disdain for historical truth.

Today's print media, but especially radio and television, plant in the minds and souls, the most terrible totalitarianism is informational.

And information totalitarianism leads to the total thoughtlessness of society, to the very devouring, to social idiocy and anti-social barbarism.

The level of destruction of society achieved by the "Russian" media is such that if convene a new Nuremberg Tribunal, it would recognize the majority (with rare exceptions) of the leading mass media of the current "Rossiyanian" as criminal organizations that played a decisive role in the implementation of unparalleled in world history crimes against society, against peoples, against peace, humanity and global stability!

Yes, but where to get such a Tribunal? After all, judging objectively, then on his bench along with the "Russian" media, almost all the world's media would have to sit down.

However, we are not talking about the latter yet, but as for the "Russian" informational criminals, the just punishment for them could be not a noose, not a bullet, and deportation outside the country, against the past, present and future of which these criminals have committed and continue to commit crimes. And it would not be an infringement of freedom conscience - due to the complete lack of conscience of the punished.

The peoples of Russia were plunged into a stupid and completely unnecessary crisis by political mafias of various levels and origins, but these peoples could fall into a state of **enduring mass social insanity** only because they methodically, cunningly, shamelessly and totally drove and drive crazy "Russian" and "esangash" informational criminals.

The public "mirror", designed to reflect the past and present, turned out to be so crooked that even many normal people, looking into it, were horrified and horrified what they see... They are horrified to the point of losing their historical memory, to the loss of social self-control and a sense of reality.

As a result, "Russian" life itself forces us to alter the old proverb like this: "There is nothing to blame in the face if **the mirror** is crooked!"

The Roman senator Cato Sr. ended any of his speeches on any topic in the same way: "And besides, Carthage must be destroyed!" In the same way, all civilly responsible, all honest people in Russia must repeat over and over again: "The mass media information that promotes in Russia the stratification, destruction, collapse, separation and "distortion" of social life, immorality, licentiousness, etc., morally and historically criminal and should be pilloried by world history and global civilization!"

It may seem that here the "cart" is put before the "horse", that causes and effects are confused here, because all those magazines, newspapers, radio and television programs that carried and still carry destructive, disintegrating, provocative impulses and motives are just tools in the hands of the current regime and its Western curators.

The ever-memorable Yegor Yakovlev could not publish the non-provocative Moskovskiye Novosti in Gorbachev's time, if only because his candidacy was considered and approved by the Gorbachev Central Committee of the CPSU just so that he would publish what he published.

In the same way, today Russian television (especially cable) is becoming advantage (fortunately, there are exceptions!) into an antisocial, emphatically immoral and provocative phenomenon, not only due to the natural inclination of the TV channel management to antisocial activities. Everything happens due to direct guidance indications (in this case it does not even matter whose specifically and from where) about the direction of channel policy. Who orders the music, he pays.

Well, the current leaders of television and the Mlechins warmed by them cannot Svanidze to conduct business differently than they conduct it! After all, they themselves are led, since the means mass media, deftly manipulating the mass consciousness, are themselves subject to manipulations of the "treasurers", tearing from the "thinkers" even the semblance of a fig leaf "freedom" of speech.

All this is, of course, true. But it is not for nothing that at all times the people instinctively shunned and hated not so much the judges as **the executioner!** It would seem that the executioner should terrify less judges: after all, the judge condemns to death, and only then the executioner executes, and, in principle, executes by natural bloodthirstiness, but by the legal verdict of the court.

If there were no judges, there would be no convicts, and if there were no convicts, there would be no would be the executioners who are obliged to execute them, but ...

But the people understand that if there were no executioners, there would be no executions.

Ultimately, he puts on the noose, the executioner, who voluntarily assumed the duty interrupt the life of another person ... At the same time, the people's avenger of the times of the war, blowing up the occupying gauleiter in the bed, the NKVD executor, carrying out sentence to the exposed enemy, they were not executioners, but precisely avengers ... Russian revolutionaries sang: "A harsh avenger will rise, and he will be stronger than us ..." On the other side, the poet said: "Freedom, genius and glory are the executioners ..."

***It is the same with television, radio and simply journalism in our country... With rare exceptions, its leaders in recent years were, in fact, those executioners who daily executed and continue to execute in the minds and souls of fellow citizens, reason, morality, a sense of belonging to a great state and great history, pride in one's Fatherland and hope for his great and bright destiny.***

You can allocate as many billions of dollars as you like for the information war against the peoples of Russia. You can hire a pack of managers and editors with these dollars. You can buy the most modern equipment ...

But if there are no radio commentators like Natalya Bekhtina, who has been killing the minds and souls of those who listen to her for two decades, if there are no television commentators and TV show hosts like Pozner or Svanidze, doing on dozens of TV channels the same thing that they do on radio of various kinds Bekhtin, then there will be no daily, hourly streams of lies that pour into the consciousness of "dear Russians", filling it to overflowing with spiritual and disinformation manure.

If there were no executioners, there would be no executions. There would be no professional radio and television liars and provocateurs - there would be no fools, there would not be an ever-increasing number of social idiots and ignoramuses convinced that the Sun revolves around the Earth (which is already convinced today by almost a third of "dear Russians"). The English say: "My country is right or wrong, but this is my country!" And what is well said! On the lips of the British, this sounds, perhaps, arrogant, but what arrogance a Russian person can talk about! We should acquire an elementary sense of self-worth, and then not lose it - and thanks for that ... But just this feeling, like the feeling of the inseparability of personal fate with the fate of the Motherland, among those who appear on screens, on air, on printed pages, no, usually *clean!*

Individuals who are trying to maintain moral purity in that universal house of tolerance, to which the information potential of Russia is turned, do not count. weather they don't do it.

Although they - for what they do, honor and praise! Yes, there are a number of newspapers and magazines, even a number of television programs and even television channels, which, to one degree or another, as far as their understanding of what is happening allows them, are trying to be accurate, honest and reliable, trying to fight for the good in minds and souls. But they are opposed by a legion of others! And this legion exudes hatred for the USSR and socialism, provokes social neurasthenia, carefully agitates already disturbed hearts and makes elephants out of flies, and flies out of elephants.

These legionnaires of disinformation lie and play tricks, scoff and lie, lie and wow they are lying ... And they are butchering, butchering, butchering ...

Anyone who, taking advantage of the information opportunities provided to him, does not objectively cover, but blackens the Soviet past, the names of Lenin and Stalin, the ideas of socialism, taking up a pen or going on the air, let him know that he is an executioner !

He executes truth, goodness, reason and the history of the Fatherland in the minds and souls. Everyone who, using the information opportunities provided to him, justifies Gorbachev, Yeltsin, the current Kremlin and his regime, justifies capitalism and "liberal values", let him also know that he is an executioner .

It kills the possibility of a reasonable, honest, fair present and future of the Motherland. For each of the theses reflected

in the distorted mirror of the "Russian" media, many objections can be raised, but it is precisely analysis, dialogue, discussion, dispute that these misinformation ghouls, feeding on the fresh blood of people's pain, are afraid of most of all.

They are afraid of the truth because, like Gogol's Viy, with all his midnight might and frightening appearance, unable to resist even the first glimpses of clear sunlight, they are also omnipotent only as long as our Motherland and its

the peoples are shrouded in a haze of provoked thoughtlessness.

Therefore, they lie, and deceive, and idiotize society - without this, their being ing is not possible.

Bodies devoid of life are the bread of the executioner, wielding an ax or a noose.

Deprived of reason and morality, minds and souls are the bread of an executioner who wields a printed page or a television camera. It

has long been said, and by no means by a Marxist, that it is possible to deceive everyone for a while, You can fool a few forever, but you can't fool everyone all the time!

This idea is not new, but it is eternally relevant, especially in times of severe trials for peoples and for their historical destinies. That is, this idea is relevant for us. The total informational and psychological warfare of the mass disinformation is now being waged against the peoples of Russia so successfully that many believe that this idea is outdated, that today with the help of the media you can deceive everyone for an indefinitely long time, that is, you can deceive everyone **forever** ! But this is unlikely. The fate of the people can be neither dirty

nor shameful, for

the souls of the peoples, and especially the Slavic peoples, are fundamentally pure and calm. Every person wants shelter, food, prosperity, joy, but a man of labor, a man of the people, has always connected, and even in today's "Rossiyaniya" connects these concepts with honest work and honest life.

Only politicians and their obliging intellectual henchmen, only false thinkers and greedy "treasurers" strive to get their own not at the expense of labor, but at the expense of others. That is why they need lies: some as a means of securing a piece of bread with black caviar, and others as a means to help them deprive the masses of the "pie" of the total social product that is legally due to the masses.

Social theft is possible only in troubled waters. So those who should stir it up would, by his word, bring good and true into the world, but bring evil and substitute.

However, no matter how the rope twists, the end, most likely, will be. The people washed from the misinformation scab, washed if not by the word of truth, then by their own sweat, tears and blood, the people will still feel an acute need for an accurate and honest word, will reject crooked mirrors, and then ...

And then, peering into himself for real, he will understand: it was not his past and not himself who were stupid, dirty and lazy, but simply **the mirror was crooked**.

And then let the "mirror" not complain if it is broken by the people themselves.

## Slice 7

### Nikita Mikhalkov as a mirror of the "Russian" "intelligentsia"

First, just a quote:

"I won't be at all surprised if, although not very soon, the people, smart, calm, understanding what the intelligentsia cannot understand (namely, with socialist psychology, completely, diametrically different), begin ... calmly and majestically hang and rob intellectuals (for the establishment of order, in order to clear the brain of the country from garbage) ...

... If the brain of the country continues to feed on the same irony, slavish fears, slavish experience of tired nations, then it will cease to be a brain and will be thrown out - soon, cruelly and majestically ... What right do we have to be afraid of our great, intelligent and kind people? And they could share their experience, bought with the blood of children, with these children." These are lines from a letter ...

Alexander Blok to his mother, written at the end of June 1917. The thought that escaped the poet when observing the then "brain of the country"

speaks for itself, but it still sounds topical today, because the liberal "Russian" "intelligentsia" of the early 21st century looks even more vile and destructive than the liberal Russian intelligentsia of the early 20th century looked. We have already talked about this. But who can lay claim to the dubious honor of

being the personification of today's "intelligentsia"? For example, the Moscow right-liberal newspaper Novaya Gazeta can be seen as its collective crooked mirror. This newspaper in the era of the Internet is also a meta-spiritual degeneration of the anti-Soviet part of the "post-Soviet" society. But every social phenomenon is created by those who have a specific last name, first name and patronymic - it's time to understand this. At one time, Lenin called Leo Tolstoy "the mirror of the Russian revolution".

And who today can be called a kind of "mirror of the Russian counter-revolution" and at the same time - a mirror of the "Russian" "intelligentsia"? Maybe - Evgenia Yevtushenko (nee - Gangnus)? This one at first glorified Stalin, then, under

Khrushchev, he poured mud at Stalin for the sake of his own person, since it was profitable in the most mercantile sense of the word, then he poured mud at Khrushchev, but glorified socialism, and then he began to pour mud at socialism, because it turned out to be profitable in the most mercantile sense of the word ...

Or maybe the ex-minister of "culture" Shvydkoy?

Also a figure in terms of the twists of the soul is curious and remarkable. Or maybe ...

No, perhaps, with all the rich choice of candidates for this dubious title, it most suitable for Nikita Sergeevich ... But not for Khrushchev, but for Mikhalkov.

The social stratum, which was first formed in the notorious "Moscow kitchens" and then transformed by external and internal anti-Soviet forces into the "Russian" "elite", is by no means poor in various moral monsters. But especially disgusting are those who, already in the Khrushchev-Brezhnev era, firmly, "by birthright", took prominent places in the "nomenklatura" - "elite system", and now viciously bite the Soviet government that brought up their fathers, and themselves.

In the field of foreign policy, the "mirror" of the current "elite" can be considered Alexei Arbatov, the hereditary "nomenklatura" son of the "stagnant" Americanist academician Georgy Arbatov. Arbatov Jr., a former "fighter of the ideological front of the Central Committee of the CPSU", who exposed US imperialism, now promotes the ideas of "partnership" with the USA, etc., and publishes his books with the support of overseas funds. In the spheres of internal political

and cultural, the latest "mirror" of the "Russian" "intelligentsia" is, of course, the son of Sergei Mikhalkov - Nikita Mikhalkov.

He - who received from life in the USSR immeasurably more than what he deserved, he - the son of the author of the Anthem of the Soviet Union and the creator of Uncle Styopa, had to give all the strength of his physically "two-meter" figure to protect the cause of the USSR.

He had to tear *with his teeth* any blasphemy against the Soviet regime and consider as a *personal* mortal enemy anyone who opposes it. However, this

spiritual pygmy, this newest tradesman in the nobility chose a different path in post-Soviet life. He is variously and shamelessly pouring lies and dirt on the Soviet country, its ideals and its past! It pours, although mediocre, but - excitedly.

At the same time, he has the audacity to boldly declare that "we have grown up a whole generation deprived of spiritual immunity."

Yes, it has grown! But it grew up in that "Rossiania" that is inalienable from Yeltsin, Putin and Medvedev, whose systemic associate throughout the anti-Soviet years was and remains the best friend of the current Kremlin, Nikita Mikhalkov. He states that "there are and should be things that cannot be crossed. Above than to scoff ... shameful and sinful. Yes, there are such things!

But this "pillar nobleman" mocks at them... And at the same time he laments blasphemously: "What kind of people will we get from children who are deprived of the root system and do not know either their history or its heroes? Recently, in a high school, students were asked: who is General Karbyshev? Not a single person answered. And who is General Vlasov, 98 percent answered ... "

Why is the "best friend" of the "Russian" "presidents" surprised? After all, this is a direct and **a purposeful** result of the policy of the Kremlin he supports!

General Karbyshev considered himself a Bolshevik to the end, he ended up in a foreign land of his own free will and died in a foreign land not only as a Russian, but also as a Soviet man.

And General Vlasov, voluntarily finding himself in a foreign land, urged to fight pain sheviks.

Karbyshev was a citizen of the USSR and a soldier of Stalin, and Vlasov of the USSR and Stalin gave.

Karbyshev could not imagine himself outside the soldier's formation, overshadowed by the Red Banner of Lenin - Stalin. And Vlasov raised above him the turned "national" "tricolor", which is now fluttering over Red Square.

So who will be considered a national hero by the current Kremlin, whom will it raise mother on the shield, and whom to consign to oblivion?

Why should "dear Nikita Sergeevich" present himself as a greater fool than he is there really? Does he not understand what the problem is?

And what kind of "spiritual unity" of the "nation" can we talk about if this "nation" today is brazenly divided into ten percent, who own almost all national wealth, and ninety percent, who own nothing but their apartments, and even the notorious "six acres"?

An organic Pharisee and hypocrite, Nikita Mikhalkov "reflects": "On the chevrons of Russian officers was embroidered: "For Faith, Tsar and Fatherland". This trinity was what a Russian soldier and a Russian officer could give their lives for. What can be written on the chevrons of Russian officers today?

This Mikhalkov phrase alone crosses out all the Soviet, that is, the most glorious, pages of the military history of the Fatherland! Graduates of the Soviet Suvorov schools, leaving the walls of the Soviet military officer schools, did not have chevrons on their sleeves, but they had pride in their hearts for their Motherland, and in their hands - a reliable modern, best weapon in the world to defend it.

The current "Russian" cadets and officers wear chevrons on their hands, but soon, apart from these chevrons and carrion-smelling "banners" with a double-headed eagle, they will have nothing in their souls or in the Armed Forces from what constitutes the country's defense power. Mikhalkov Sr. gave the country a human image of Uncle Styopa - a warrior, a defender Homeland and keeper of the peace of the Soviet people.

Mikhalkov Jr. calmly looks at how his Kremlin friends are going to give the country under the police heel of the new Derzhimorda.

"Dear Nikita Sergeevich" hypocritically "complains": "What about double standards, social inequality, property stratification and corruption among which we live? And with all this, "profound" reasoning: can or cannot, should or should not

the investigator to release the suspect in the murder?.. I'm not a whiner and not a dissident. It is the one who loves his country who is obliged to see its pain points and speak about them out loud."

Yes, I must!

So Mark Twain convinces us of this - a little lower I will remind the reader of the opinion of the great writer on this matter. But the main

pain points of the country are not corruption and not even double standards, not social inequality and property stratification! The main pain points of the country are capitalism and the "privatization" of national wealth and the economy of the country, the logical result of which is corruption, double standards, social

social inequality, property stratification and all the other "Russian" social abscesses!

If Nikita Mikhalkov does not understand this, then I recommend that he start not even by studying the elementary works of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin, but by reading the best poems of his own father ... Does not interfere with the best

friend of the "Russian" "presidents", and with him - and all "dear Russians" should also know the following fact. In 2002, the well-known "globalist" and Nobel laureate Joseph Stiglitz placidly admitted that the International Monetary Fund directly supported privatization in Russia through corrupt loans-for-shares auctions, "because corruption contributed to a good goal - the re-election of Yeltsin (in 1996. - S.K.) ". Die - you can't say it better! Fifteen years after the "good goal" was achieved, journalist Yuri Geiko, a former friend of Yeltsin's son-in-law Valentin Yumashev, in his open

letter to Yumashev

will write:

"Do you know up there how everyone hates you down there? ... Or are the "aristocrats" "Mikhalkovs" and artists like them, happy from being close to the "body", singing other, enthusiastic songs in your ears? So everything is simple here: their income, Valya, depends on the authorities. And the results are not long in coming: two of the most expensive (*and the most mediocre per ruble of invested funds.* - S.K.) films of recent years were shot by directors of the same family. And Andrei Makarevich, a rebel and a rocker in the past, having decently talked with the prime minister about dogs ... and

then also received the president in his club restaurant, he was proposed to the directors of the main TV presenter

countries. He, a rocker, a rebel (*well, well.* - S.K.) in the past, already travels around Courchevel. What a disgrace!"

A disgrace is a disgrace, but not for the Yumashevs, Putins, Medvedevs, Makarevichs, Mikhalkovs and Mikhalkov-Konchalovskys. Indeed, in order to experience a sense of shame and shame, one must have shame and conscience!

***And the current "elite" and conscience are incompatible things.***

"Courchevel" and licking plates for the "bosses" is the only logical outcome of the entire previous life of the mediocre, but through and through anti-Soviet, allegedly rebel Makarevich. After all, it was the Makarevichs who, already in the Brezhnev era, were nurtured by the future "foremen of perestroika" from the "fifth column" and their Western "curators". The principle of selecting the necessary "rebels" was taken from the old one adopted in the Hitlerite Abwehr: "There are no scum, there are cadres"!

In 1878, long before the emergence of the first country of socialism, where the task of emancipating the individual and its free development was put forward as the main public priority, the outstanding English writer Robert Louis Stevenson wrote:

"Let us teach joy to the people as much as we can. And we will remember that lessons should sound cheerful and enthusiastic, should strengthen in people courage".

Stephenson wrote:

"Two duties are incumbent on anyone who chooses the literary profession: to be true to reality and portray it with kind intention."

At the time of Stevenson, there was not even a cinema, not to mention television, and literature had the greatest potential for influencing society, having undoubted advantages even over the theater. Therefore, Stevenson (himself, moreover, a writer) emphasized the social responsibility of the writers. But its requirements apply even more to film directors and screenwriters, to television figures and, as before, of course, to the writers.

Which of the current "Russian" "cultural figures", from the representatives of the "creative elite" somehow meets the requirements of Stevenson and other Western humanists? But and Russian culture gave us such examples of high humanism as Lomonosov, Pushkin, Gogol, Turgenev, Ostrovsky, Tolstoy, Chekhov, Gorky, Mayakovsky, Tvardovsky, Sholohov...

Which of their current formal "heirs of Russian culture" in their "creativity" is guided by good intentions?

Well, they still exist, although in the absolute minority. But every worker modern culture, which has not yet lowered the banner of the struggle for Man and for the strengthening in people of spiritual courage and good intentions - a direct spiritual antipode of "dear Nikita Sergeevich" and others like him found in the hallways of the authorities.

Alas, the vast majority of the current "creative" "elite" is on a par with "Dear Nikita Sergeevich."

However, today the concept of the creative elite is increasingly blurred. Here is taken at random accidentally found at hand, the usual issue of the newspaper "MK" (formerly "Moskovsky Komsomolets") dated February 1, 2011. Strip material about the group "Farming Panties", which accompanies by a collective photograph of five "PASSING" backsides, barely covered by the rudiments of underpants.

Cute but completely shameless (because they were raised to be shameless), swearing into a microphone to "music", socially irresponsible (although they don't realize) girls who grew up under an absolutely shameless regime and were shaped by an absolutely shameless regime are, after all, the result of an absolutely shameless slander on The USSR and socialism, which has long become the trademark of the "Russian" "elite".

Perhaps "dear Nikita Sergeevich" when looking at the "PASSING" strip "MK" and grimaces, but he has a direct and immediate. He, too, is absolutely shameless and also absolutely devoid of true citizenship. Danish feeling.

Long before the emergence of the USSR on the Planet, a country where a sense of civic responsibility became an ethical and even legal norm, the great bourgeois democrat (however, clearly evolved towards socialist ideas) Mark Twain wrote:

"The citizen who sees that the political clothes of his country worn out, and at the same time is silent, does not agitate for the creation of new clothes, is not a citizen faithful to his homeland - he is a traitor. He can't



excuse even the fact that he, perhaps, is the only one in the whole country who sees the wear and tear of her clothes. His duty is to agitate, no matter what..."

Despite everything! But after all, from the very beginning, from the first "Russian" day, the political clothes of Yeltsin's "Rossiyanina", thrown over Russia from someone else's shoulder, were long worn out and thoroughly saturated with the miasma of the living corpse of capitalism. And already the one who is not talked about it from the very beginning, was - by the definition of a great American - **a traitor** Russia.

But a hundred times a traitor and, therefore, an enemy of Russia is one who even today is not an agitator who calls for replacing the stinking clothes of "Russian" capitalism with new clothes of a new socialism!

That is, Nikita Mikhalkov and his ilk are traitors to Russia from the standpoint of not even Soviet patriotism, but from general democratic (without quotes) positions. And in this "Russian" "master", as in a mirror, the vile treacherous muzzle of the current "Russian" "intelligentsia" is reflected.

## Slice 8

### Gennady Zyuganov - a stumbling block on the way to Soviet power?

And now another personal "section" of the current "elite", which I find difficult and even difficult, but it is necessary to speak.

I mean Gennady Zyuganov, born in 1944, who graduated from the Faculty of Physics and Mathematics of the Oryol Pedagogical Institute and the Academy of Social Sciences

under the Central Committee of the CPSU, Doctor of Philosophy, since February 1993 Chairman of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, Deputy of the State Duma of the Russian Federation of all five convocations, three times a candidate for the post of President of the Russian Federation (1996, 2000, 2008) from the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, each time taking second place.

I, a permanently non-party, but staunch communist, write about Gennady Zyuganov because he is officially Russia's No. 1 communist. And one has to write about him because he has not been a communist for a long time. Or maybe he never was.

The peoples of Russia have the only opportunity to preserve and develop Russia - to build it on the basis of an uncorrupted new socialism. The peoples of Russia can ensure this only way is only under the leadership of the Communist Party.

The Communist Party, on the other hand, is strong to the extent that it stands resolutely on the side of the working people, on the platform of gaining only and exclusively by the people all fullness of political power in the country.

If formally the Communist Party does not oppose the ideas of "reforms" of bourgeois society, if it is afraid of individual power, then it is not a Communist Party. This, of course, is not about the notorious "monopoly on power", but about readiness for all full responsibility for the assumed power before the people.

At the First All-Russian Congress of Soviets in June 1917, the Menshevik Tsereteli argued that "there is no political party in Russia that would express its readiness to take power entirely upon itself." And then Lenin got up from his seat and declared: "There is such a party! Our party from does not refuse this: every minute she is ready to take power entirely!"

Four months later, the Bolshevik Party came to power and took over the entire sole political responsibility for the political future of Russia. And they did not refuse this responsibility even in the most critical days for the country.

Almost a hundred years after that Lenin's statement, the need for Russia to have the same party ready to take full responsibility for the situation is obvious. Do we have a party whose leaders are capable of **a well-grounded** statement like Lenin's? Such a party can be exclusively the Communist Party, and only the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, the Communist Party of the Russian Federation. But is the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, which we have so far, really such a party? To be more precise, is the leadership of the Communist Party headed by Gennady Zyuganov communist? How does a genuine Communist Party

differ from other parties, including the Social Democratic ones? Only one: the Communist Party all its activity under capitalism subordinates to the task of establishing the political power of the working masses in the country in the interests of the working masses. And the Social Democrats and all sorts of socialists call on the working people to fight for this or that social reform within the framework of capitalist society. In other words, they are trying to pull a sheep's skin over the wolf and give a human face to the bestial (yes-yes!) grin (yes-yes!) of capitalism.

Only communists stand unreservedly on the platform of socialism, Soviet power, nationalization of the means of production and banks, elimination of the institution of private property and bourgeois "culture".

In the name of this goal, communists can make tactical compromises with those political forces which, in one way or another, oppose the existing system. But today in the Russian Federation there are almost no such potentially allied forces with which the communists could block even tactically. All major non-communist political forces that criticize the Kremlin in one way or another, for example, the "party" "Fair Russia", are a product of the capitalization of Russia and cannot be allies of the communists in their struggle against capitalism.

Such a position is the only true and promising one for the politicians of the working majority! Only the

communists (if they are communists) do not talk about the "one people", about the "nation" and the "national idea", but draw a clear division between the interests of idle proprietors and the interests of the masses of the people.

Only communists always, in everything and to the end, stand solely on the position of the transfer of power and property rights to all national wealth and means of production into the hands of the working

people. And what about Gennady Zyuganov, Russia's official "Communist

No. 1"? Before me is the "elite" magazine "Profile", distributed in the first and business class cabins of Aeroflot, Siberia and the East Line group of companies, No. 36 dated October 4, 2010 ...

Page 26 - interview with Communist Party leader Zyuganov, subtitled: "Tough Cree regime tick Gennady Zyuganov urges not to destroy the "sacred power".

Well,

well ... The word "sacred", beloved by the "elite", actually has two meanings: 1) sacred, referring to a religious cult or ritual (*lat.* sacristia - shrine) and 2) sacral, referring to the sacrum (*lat.* os sacrum - sacrum). I wonder in what sense the

leader of the Communist Party used the word "sacredness"? Talk about the sacredness of the current Kremlin power in the first sense, that is, about the sanctity of this power is not necessary.

If we take into account through which part of the body the Kremlin "rules" the country, then the use of the word "sacred" in relation to power, perhaps, would be fair - in its second meaning. But it is unlikely that the leader of the Communist Party had **this in mind**, because he himself explained: "I told the Kremlin people: do not destroy what is called the sacredness of power. In Russia

this notion still exists. Respect for power is one of the bonds of statehood...", etc.

Well well!

Ah yes communist Zyuganov! Is it possible to imagine a situation when the communist Lenin would begin to talk about the "sacred" power of Tsar Nicholas? Stupidity? That's what it is stupidity, but in relation to Gennady Andreevich Zyuganov - a reality. Instead of to say: "I told the Kremlinites just like that: get out of the Kremlin as soon as possible with your rotten power", he is concerned that the authority of the current Kremlin is strengthened.

That's the passage!

Is Gennady Andreevich not familiar with the results of various sociological surveys? Even in the country of the "Russian" "electorate", a good two people no longer trust any government a third of the population, if not three-fourths! And the "communist" Zyuganov is ready to be with this rotten prop power.

N-yes...

Well, let's continue our acquaintance with the interview of "Communist No. 1". Embedded in the text of the interview is a note about the initiatives of Gennady Zyuganov:

- \* Cancellation of the Belovezhskaya agreements by the State Duma.

- \* Nationalization of natural resources and strategic sectors of the economy.

- \* Restoration of the death penalty.

- \* Put Anatoly Chubais in the place of Mikhail Khodorkovsky and send him to resignation of Finance Minister Kudrin.

- \* Cancellation of the unified state exam in schools.

It would seem - what is better? And care seems to be shown for the USSR, and nationalization is proclaimed, and even Chubais and Kudrin are not forgotten...

But for all that, such sluggish "initiatives" are nothing more than empty chatter and beautiful-hearted Manilovian dreams, if there are not two among the initiatives - although and insufficient, but absolutely necessary basic requirements, without which the communist today can not be considered a communist:

- \* The constitutional restoration of the socialist political system as a of the people and for the people.

- \* The introduction of feedback in society on the basis of a legislatively fixed principle the principle of the turnover of all elected persons at any time at the request of the people.

If these requirements were met, then all the "initiatives of Gennady Zyuganov": and the abolition of the Belovezhskaya Accords and the Unified State Examination, and the nationalization of natural resources and strategic sectors of the economy, and the resignation of Chubais and Kudrin would have been implemented automatically. As well as many other things that turned out to be outside of Zyuganov's "rr-revolutionary" "initiatives".

Even at the beginning of 1917 the Bolsheviks were not the leading party of the masses. Formally, they they weren't even immediately after the October Revolution, because in the elections to the Constituent Assembly (a week, by the way, after October) they received only 25% of the country's votes, and the Socialist-Revolutionary Party - more than 50%. However, Lenin was right when he asserted that it was the Bolsheviks that the country trusted, because during 1917 only they were rapidly gaining influence among the masses, while the other parties were losing it just as quickly.

Throughout the country, the Bolsheviks, little known, unlike the Socialist-Revolutionaries, to the peasant masses, won 25% of the vote. But in the capital, in Petrograd, where Lenin had the opportunity to clearly communicate their position to the people, despite the strong positions of the metropolitan bourgeoisie, bureaucracy, aristocracy, the Bolsheviks scored in the elections to the Constituent meeting 50% of the votes and got six seats out of twelve!

That is, Bolshevism was indeed the core of the country's aspirations. That's why Lenin and his comrades-in-arms were ultimately able to win the hearts and minds of the mainstream masses and won in a fierce internal struggle.

The Communist Party of the Russian Federation, under the leadership of Gennady Zyuganov, cannot stand the slightest comparison with the Bolshevik Party. The Communist Party of the Russian Federation in its leading part cannot be named in any way. The Communist Party, not by its legal name, but by the essence of its policy.

***The current Communist Party of the Russian Federation in that part of its leadership that supports Gennady Zyuganov, is the worst edition of the renegade social democracy, defending the interests of the people in words, but in reality fully serving interests of the ruling elite.***

The Zyuganov leadership of the CPRF went through a long evolution along the paths of ideological and business rebirth. So, communist general Makashov was one of those who supported Zyuganov at the II Unity Congress of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, but by 2004 General Makashov was actively opposed Zyuganov and convincingly argued his position, pointing out, for example,

measures on:

- errors in ideology and the rejection of the concept of "class struggle" in favor of vague concepts ty "nationality", "sovereignty", etc.;
- the transformation of the Communist Party into a sluggish parliamentary party;
- Zyuganov's support for the entry of the Russian Federation into the Council of Europe and such an agreement with Ukraine, according to which the Russian Federation legally lost Crimea and Sevastopol;
- Zyuganov's mediocre one-man personnel policy, which allowed such renegade figures as Rybkin, Seleznev, Tuleev, Goryacheva, Pod Beryozkin, etc., to enter the leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, and at the same time planting toadying, rejection of talented, extraordinary people, which led to , in particular, to the exclusion from the Communist Party of such major figures, like Teimuraz Avaliani (by the way, a Russian wartime orphan, adopted by a front-line Georgian who gave him his last name) and Richard Kosolapov;
- removal from the daily work of leading the party and faction, nobility, incapacity for serious and independent conceptual work, etc.

Today, such features in Zyuganov's activities have only intensified and developed. In fact, with his policy, Zyuganov completely blocks the possibility of uniting all healthy forces of the country around the Communist Party as the political vanguard of the struggle to replace the rotten capitalist "Rossiyanina" with a new socialist Russia.

And that is why today the leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation Gennady Zyuganov is the main one, ***if not the only*** obstacle to a new socialist Russia capable of recreating a new Soviet Union.

What to take from the current "Kremlin inmates"? The snake cannot but sting, the Kremlin cannot but destroy the country.

The country needs a completely antagonistic to the Kremlin, but not a marginal, but a serious, meaningfully acting political force - leading and guiding. Need effective and authoritative political avant-garde of the society, rigidly, in real time, raising the question not about certain reforms of the regime, but about its political replacement in favor of the new socialism.

***Zyuganovism does not allow healthy forces to unite, it, on the contrary, repels everything living and active.***

Instead of using the bourgeois parliament to fight for a new socialism, for agitation and propaganda, and to expose the criminality of the regime, the leadership The Communist Party of the Russian Federation preferred the role of a pocket "systemic opposition", which the current secretly totalitarian regime uses to camouflage itself under the "parliamentary" one.

Communists go to bourgeois parliaments not to take part in the creation of the laws of bourgeois society, and then, in order to use parliamentary opportunities for the political enlightenment of the masses, the exposure of capitalism and the struggle for political power of the masses. But from the moment of his arrival in the State Duma of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation Zyuganov, *through the mouths of her leaders*, began to publicly talk about the "professional Duma", about "building a new Russian statehood", about "growing into power", etc.

All this, speaking bluntly, Lenin, following Marx, defined as **parliamentary cretinism**.

Is it possible to imagine Lenin at a palace reception with Emperor Nicholas or Stalin, who came to Hitler's headquarters during the war with congratulations on his birthday or to receive the Iron Cross?

Gennady Zyuganov appears intrepidly at the "presidential" residence Zavidovo and in the Kremlin in the company of the most rabid and antisocial politicians from the Liberal Democratic Party, "United Russia" and "Fair Russia" ... Appears, including easily - "without ties." Present and posing at "state" receptions, accepting awards mode.

But the "Kremlin inmates" are even more indifferent to the fate of Russia than the unlucky "royal" Colonel Romanov! They have done and are doing in relation to Russia is what Hitler never achieved with his aggression against us! This is not hyperbole, but statistic fact.

Nevertheless, Gennady Zyuganov agreed to the pathetic role for the Communist Party of the Russian Federation of some kind of "systemic opposition". He views the current inhabitants of the Kremlin not as the worst enemies Russia and, consequently, as their **personal and mortal** enemies, but as some kind of "social partners" with whom some kind of political dialogue is possible.

At the same time, Gennady Zyuganov no longer even imitates the struggle for political power. workers. He frankly and sluggishly "is serving a number", knowing that the trust in the Communist Party of the "electorate" and the urgent need for the Kremlin to have the "power" of the parliamentary "left opposition" guarantee him a permanent presence in the chair of the head "one of the opposition factions of the State Duma of the Russian Federation" - more or less groups of "communist deputies".

***Vladimir Lenin considered it possible for the communists to go to the bourgeois Duma in order to fight within the walls of the bourgeois parliament against the power of private proprietors in order to establish the power of the working people.***

***Gennady Zyuganov came to the bourgeois Duma in order to live quietly within the walls of the bourgeois parliament year after year and "grow" into the power of private owners.***

The leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation every day, taking advantage of every opportunity and, let's not forget, parliamentary immunity, over and over again should have warned the peoples of Russia that they can change their fate and take it into their own hands only if the people will bring the Communist Party to constitutional power.

The leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation should resolutely, without stuttering, proclaim that in order to ensure a worthy future for Russia, it is necessary not to "social content" of the "reforms" being carried out, but to give a decisive end **to** all capitalizing and debilitating "reforms" of the country, it is necessary to eliminate private property in national wealth and means of production and the transition to a new socialism.

But Gennady Zyuganov and the leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation do not do this.

I already wrote about this, but it doesn't hurt to repeat ... At the end of the twentieth century, on one of meetings of Gennady Zyuganov "with the people", he was asked from the audience a very intelligent question: "What would you take it into the 21st century?"

Gennady Andreevich, after some hesitation, answered at length and in general in such a way that the audience sincerely applauded him. Like, I wouldn't take illness, injustice, wars, etc.

But a communist must answer such a question without hesitation for a moment, and the communist's answer can be the only one: "Yes, I would not take capitalism in the 21st century ! Because if there is no capitalism in the new century, then there will be no injustice, no hunger, no disease, no wars, no blood, no grief, no tears in the world!"

In an indistinct answer to a question that is crystal clear for a true communist and Marxist - all Zyuganov, very far from a truly communist ideology, tactics and strategy. Addressing the Kremlin,

Zyuganov says: "Do it!", while from the very beginning of the destructive "reforms", the communist had to say to those who sat in the Kremlin: "Go away, and we will do it ! "

Alas, those words that the Communist Party of the Russian Federation is obliged to say, but does not say, are intercepted by such a political harlequin as Vladimir Zhirinovsky. His alleged "radicalism" sharply increases in the year of elections for a completely natural reason: Vladimir Volfovich wants to be elected to the Duma once again, and the act of election cannot

do without an "electorate". And now, not Zyuganov, but Zhirinovsky, in his news publication in February 2011, loudly declares to the authorities: "Go away!" And not in the propaganda appeals of the Communist Party, but in the appeals of the Liberal Democratic Party, that political passion is fully present, which must be the exclusive property of a genuine Communist Party!

What a shame for the leadership of the Communist Party, how deep is its fall! Not the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, which is obliged to serve the working people, but the rattle-like one, organically fused with the current regime, but pretending to be a "guardian for the people", the Liberal Democratic Party angrily and rightly (wow!) declares to the authorities:

"People have one common complaint about all of you – you have completely forgotten who you are in this country and why you are in your posts. You, over and over again forging the election results, are so insolent in your impunity that you can't imagine how it could be otherwise. You have ceased to be aware that you, all of you, are servants of the people, and not slave owners ...

The party in

power, the party of bribe-taking officials, traitor officials who keep their money in the banks of NATO countries and buy real estate in the same countries, is the MOST important rapist over

law...

During your "reign", gentlemen, the country has slid into such a hole that it is almost impossible to get out of it (*preserving capitalism and private property dear to the heart, including Zhirinovsky. - S.K.*)

...

When the country is suffocating from fires, you are relaxing in ski resorts... You have reduced education and medicine to the level of the Middle Ages... The people and the dying country are shouting to you:

GO OUT! our society. This monster werewolf... this is a monstrous cancerous tumor on the body of the Motherland...

Think again! Stop drinking the blood of the people! GO AWAY!.."

This is said in the best traditions of Bolshevik party journalism! This Lenin himself, hated by the Zhirinovskys, would have applauded! And who said it?

Zhirinovsky! That is, a buffoon, a master of political "confidence", a political speculator on the feeling of legitimate popular indignation, using energetic phraseology *only in order* to get from the Kremlin he denounces even more for some time a piece of power, the main part of which Zhirinovsky readily gave and will give to the Yeltsinoid monster.

Sometimes you regret that a figure with a political temperament is not at the head of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation Zhirinovsky, and even he himself (which, of course, is impossible for the real Zhirinovsky - it's better for him to be dirty than red).

For a better understanding of the essence of this chameleon that has been politicking for twenty years It does not hurt to recall that in the summer of 1990, in the "Gostiny Dvor" of the magazine of the USSR Ministry of Foreign Affairs "International Affairs", the chairman of the then not LDPR, but LDPSS (Soviet Union) Zhirinovsky declared: "We must become part of the Western world ..."

Become!

But the LDPR of Zhirinovsky is just a "bump" over which the least developed part of the "electorate" stumbles (in March 1996, Zhirinovsky, who verbally claimed to be representation of "all strata of society", frankly admitted: "Ten percent - this is my voter). The fact that Zhirinovsky (who, in fact, there is the Liberal Democratic Party) can only complicate the process of Russia's advancement - to a new socialism, but do not rule out such a process. In addition, in the cadres of the Liberal Democratic Party there is such a stratum that, with a certain development of events, can move to positions communists (not Zyuganov, of course, sense).

Another thing is the Communist Party under its current leadership. This Communist Party in the face of its parliamentary factions became an element of the regime and did not firmly "grow" into power, but *plunged* into power. Wherein the Zyuganov leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation - an obstacle to the new Soviet power is much more serious than Zhirinov's LDPR.

The Communist Party of the Russian Federation can win in the struggle for the new Soviet power only by completely distancing itself from the current regime, publicly calling it only and exclusively morally. and historically criminal.

Turning to Dmitry Medvedev, Vladimir Putin and others like them: "Gentlemen!", Gennady Zyuganov does not understand that by doing so he puts himself in relation to them into the position of a lackey, lackey. But it is enough to observe a minimum of decency, using address: "President Medvedev, Prime Minister Putin", etc. At the same time, real, and not "parquet" communists, publicly addressing all these Putins, Medvedevs, Grefs, Chubais himself, Fursenko, Kudrin and others like them, are obliged to speak not "Understand!", but **"Go away!"**.

And leave as soon as possible, not just so that others, the same, come to your place, but leave the historical arena altogether, along with the whole system of "life", the offspring of which you have become and which you are daily generating.

Leave yourself before the inexorable History throws you into its most cluttered dump!

But Zyuganov and the leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation do not say this to the Kremlin regime. Zyuganov speaks where it is necessary to denounce, gently scolds those who should be openly called social cannibals!

The former faithful Yeltsin Mikhail Poltoranin calls them cannibals!

By the way, about Poltoranin... In 2011, he discovered one of the secrets of the "Kremlin cuisine" even under the "cook" Yeltsin.

In 1995, Poltoranin, together with a large group of deputies, initiated a vote for the resignation of the Chernomyrdin government with the support of the Communist Party faction. "Chernomyr din," as Poltoranin writes, "with a company, he began to collect belongings. But Yeltsin growled, and the State Duma was forced to vote again.

The following is a direct quote:

"– We stand to the end," I said to Gennady Andreevich Zyuganov from group name. - Are you holding on?

- Hold on. Enough for them to undress the country," he said confidently.

The conversation was in the evening. And in the morning the entire faction of the Communist Party, including Zyuganov with Ilyukhin, voted for trust in the government Chernomyrdin ... Apparently, at night they talked with the top commander. Comfort more than principles..."

Yes!

Yes. Zyuganov says a lot of the right words and gives the masses the illusion that there is a Communist Party in Russia fighting for a new socialism. Political the illusions of the masses are perhaps the most terrible thing for the true interests of the masses.

***That is why today it is not the Kremlin, but Gennady Zyuganov, who is the main obstacle to the socialist revival of Russia. Not "Kremlinism" - what with you can take it, but "Zyuganovshchina" does not allow to have an effective Communist Party in Russia, to which all living, intelligent people would naturally gravitate, active and honest in the country.***

The true Communist Party must expose crimes and vices Khrushchev-Brezhnev "edition" of socialism is no less detailed and thorough than this right-wing liberals do.

The Communist Party of the Russian Federation should give an honest analysis of Gorbachevism and admit the guilt of the CPSU for the collapse THE USSR.

However, at the same time, the genuine Communist Party of Russia is obliged time after time to emphasize that, compared with the crimes and vices of the Yeltsin and the Kremlin regime, all the most serious sins of the Khrushchev and Brezhnev "partocracy" and "gerontocracy" - nothing more than childish pranks compared to the deeds of a gang of thugs and killers!

The true Communist Party is obliged to point out to the masses that the masses have allowed themselves to be made accomplices in the criminal destruction of Russia. To the people of Belarus a few years after 1991 were enough to understand where they were being led by various kinds of shushka vichi, and to make the right choice by putting President Lukashenko in power. The peoples of the Russian Federation, on the other hand, have been showing astonishing political blindness for the past two decades, still relying on the capitalists and giving them their vote year after year.

It is the duty of the true Communist Party to point this out to the people and explain that the best guarantee against falsification and manipulation of the election results in the direction that the Kremlin needs is a total turnout at the polling stations and a unanimous vote

for the Communist Party.

In the event that 90% of voters took part in the elections, 90% of whom would vote for communist candidates, even the most adventurous government would not would risk to announce the loss of the Communist Party. The opinion of the people, menacingly and amicably exalted vote in favor of socialism in the course of the constitutional procedure, it would no longer be possible ignore!

The true Communist Party is obliged not to flirt, not to lisp with the masses of the people, but to speak harshly with them. Thus, the disaster at the Rapsadskaya mine is, without a doubt, a national tragedy. But, grieving for the dead and condoling with their relatives and friends, who but the communists - if they are communists - should have reminded precisely miners that in 1990 and 1991 the sound of miners' helmets at anti-Soviet and anti-socialist rallies organized by provocateurs became one of the most important



the ditch of the collapse of socialism without any external aggression, but due to the protests of the deceived "reformers" of the masses.

Where is the sound of miner's helmets today? And where is the unanimous vote of the miners against policy of "United Russia"?

In world history, there has never been a case before when the masses of the people would not simply agree to the elimination of the most important social gains of their grandfathers and great-grandfathers, but excitedly, with burning eyes, would actively contribute to this liquidation!

Only in Russia, since the beginning of the 90s, have the masses not only stood up and are standing up on protect the interests of the rich, but time after time stand up for their interests at the same time, when the rich trample on the most vital interests of the masses.

Who else if not communists - if they are communists - should tell the people this bitter and harsh, but - the truth? However, Zyuganov is actually afraid of the people, afraid to awaken his just anger, and therefore he is afraid of the harsh words of truth that he is obliged to say today the country is a communist.

The current Communist Party is anything but a factor of victory. At the same time, the CPRF this obstacle on the way to Soviet power is not like a concrete wall, an impenetrable ditch or

dead end. The Communist Party of the Russian Federation today is precisely the barrier.

The barrier can both block the way and open it - depending on whose hands control the barrier. So is the Communist Party!

If the healthy part of the leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, the rank and file of the party mass and all the healthy forces of our society oriented towards creation (and therefore towards socialism) are able to transform the Communist Party of the Russian Federation in such a way that the barrier on the way to the new Soviet power and to new socialism is open, the Communist Party of the Russian Federation will turn from the main obstacle into the main factor in the movement Russia to a new, meaningful, creative and just life... To a new all-round power of the Russian state - the basis of the new Soviet Socialist Union State.

Without an effective political vanguard of society, the revival and creative transformation of society is impossible. In Russia, only the Communist Party can be such a vanguard.

But Communist not in words, but in deeds.

Today's Rossiya is an extremely corrupt, criminalized bourgeois society. And the Communist Party in the conditions of bourgeois society is the party of the struggle for political power in the interests of the working people, that is, the struggle for the Soviet Union.

power and socialism.

***Under the conditions of bourgeois society, the Communist Party cannot and should be a reform party, it should only be a party to break the existing political system, preferably by constitutional means.***

By the way, in the conditions of a socialist society, the Communist Party, on the contrary, it should be a party of almost continuous social reforms, that is, a party continuous improvement, reorganization and improvement of society in order to best match the structure and activities of society with the creative tasks that it has set for itself.

Under socialism, it is the Communist Party, as the ideological vanguard society – should also be the initiator of periodical political reforms, i.e. such political and constitutional reforms that, without affecting the foundations the existing socialist system, would contribute to the strengthening and development of this building.

In 1992, immediately after the defeat of socialism in the USSR, Yegor Ligachev, a former member of the Politburo of the Central Committee of the CPSU, who turned out to be one of the unwitting but active accomplices of this defeat, complained: "Well, what politicians we were! We were businessmen!"

Right! Communists in political power must be first and foremost economic organizers, although they must also be politicians, until socialism becomes the only social system in the world.

However, communists who fight in bourgeois society for political power in the interests of the working people must be, first of all, and under certain conditions only

exclusively by politicians.

Communists, who do not have state opportunities to influence the life of society, can influence it only by political methods of agitation and propaganda. This is especially true in relation to the deputies of the State Duma from the Communist Party. By personal example, they must daily show and prove that only the communists **are not and will not be an element of the existing political system**, that the communists openly consider themselves as antagonists of this system and believe that it is not this or that reform of the bourgeois private property system that meets the interests of the people, and its replacement with a socialist system, where the rights to all national wealth and basic

the means of production belong to the workers.

The Zyuganov leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation not only does not explain all this to the country, but, judging by around and does not

understand. Of course, the state potential of the same Gennady Zyuganov is objectively not small, it cannot be compared with the objectively microscopic "potential" of the "biker" Putin or the "lawyer" Medvedev. Unlike the moral and intellectual pygmies in the Kremlin, Gennady Andreyevich Zyuganov could be useful to the new Soviet country. However, the dramatic, almost tragic situation lies in the fact that Gennady Zyuganov and the Communist Party of the Russian Federation under his leadership are not capable and will never be able to lead Russia to a new socialism, to a new Soviet power.

Zyuganov can only be "eternally second", which, however, suits him quite well, not speaking of the current Kremlin.

But even today's Communist Party retains more than a solid development potential. But its political future depends on whether it acquires the ability **it lacks today** to build a system of priorities, goals and actions that meets the fundamental interests of the people. Is Gennady Zyuganov capable of this?

Unfortunately no. But if the

people should say to the current masters of the

Kremlin: "Go away!", then the current leader of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation should say: "Step aside, Gennady Andreevich! Do not be a stumbling block on the path to a new Soviet power. And when we restore it, then there will be a worthy and significant place for you in it."

\*\*\*

I think that all more or less representative "sections" of the current "elite" are given above, and they all look very unattractive. This is a collective portrait of high-ranking traitors to the Motherland, or at least high-ranking spineless compromisers who do not oppose traitors.

***But how to avoid the betrayal of the elite?***

**Yes, it is very simple - do not create it.** At one time, the topical slogan "liquidation of the kulaks as a class" was put forward. This did not mean the physical liquidation of the kulaks, but the systematic liquidation of such a social phenomenon

as the kulaks. Just like a social phenomenon, the "elite" must also be eliminated. The very conditions in which the emergence of "elites" becomes possible, that is, the system of privileges and unbridled income, it does not matter - legal or illegal, must be eliminated. Anyone who has more than average abilities should receive from society, first of all, the opportunity to manifest and develop them for the benefit of the whole society. A scientist should have modern means of research, a great violinist should have a Stradivarius violin, a writer should have a good library and an opportunity to get new impressions, a brilliant organizer should have a position suitable for him...

But in any case, the most talented and brilliant person cannot and should not claim more than comfort. No one has the right to luxury, except for an actor on the set of a "costume" historical film.

History gives us examples of many geniuses who put forward great ideas, created, discovered new laws of nature, created new scientific theories and invented innovative designs in more than modest, and even beggarly conditions. This, of course, is not a reason for such a thing to be the norm even now. A normal society must value, respect and encourage its talents. Actually, otherwise it does not deserve the name of a normal society. However, talents at the

same time must not overestimate themselves and be aware that they can count on all kinds of gratitude from society only as long as they give society **more** than they receive from it. Otherwise, the degradation of both society

and talent itself is inevitable! First, the person degrades. Then the divine spark invested in him is extinguished. Then the society degrades.

## Chapter

# 6 On the complicity of the people in the crimes of the "elite"

The criminality of the regime is now often spoken and written about. But is it so often and so loud they say that ***even the people are not without sin***. Yes,

and even if not without sin ... In the

mid-90s, one old Muscovite, not very loyal to the then already fallen Soviet power (and how could she not be old and be loyal if she was a young princess in 1917 ) declared angrily in front of me: "The Soviet government gave the Russian people everything. And he missed this power ... I. Well, rude, but to the point. In this book, I often quote Mikhail Poltoranin. Today, the

Yeltsin era and its secrets are exposed

by many, and these revelations and self-revelations did not begin yesterday - it is enough to recall the book of Yeltsin's personal bodyguard Korzhakov, etc. However, Poltoranin's revelations and reflections are especially interesting and revealing. It is enough to read his book to understand: how small, stupid and narrow-minded were the domestic creators of the Yeltsin "era" (unlike its foreign creators) and how dishonest, self-serving and vile they all were, on which they floated up. Against their background, and taking into account the fact that he dared to make the current revelations, Mikhail Poltoranin looks almost like Ilya Muromets, who, although belatedly, went out to fight Idolishche the Pogany. So, describing Yeltsin's "victory" in the 1996 presidential election ("victory" in quotation marks because, most likely, Zyuganov was slightly ahead of Yeltsin in the second round), Poltoranin correctly notes:

"When journalists today recall that period to the Zyuganov team, reproaching it for a cowardly rejection of the power it has won... Gennady Andreevich and his comrades begin to rage and mutter something in their own defense. Instead of directly asking journalists: were they themselves ready to defend the Constitution on the barricades?"

The question is, no doubt, true. However, it should be addressed not only to journalists, but to the whole people. Moreover, if the people had behaved then cleverly, then no barricades would have been required. In that situation, after

all, there was another subtle moment. If the people skillfully used the pen, there would be no need to take up the Kalashnikov assault rifle (an idea, by the way, not outdated either today or tomorrow). Yes, if in 1996 the peoples of Russia had not succumbed to the call "Vote

with your heart!", If they had not succumbed to the cheap populist verbiage of "General" Lebed, and if at least in the second round they all came to the vote and at least 85% votes would be cast for Zyuganov (which would be in the interests of approximately 95% of the population), then the most corrupt and intimidated Central Election Commission would not dare to declare Yeltsin's victory.

True, Poltoranin further reflects as follows: "Imagine the

incredible: a failure occurred in the machine debugged by the Kremlin and the Central Election Commission announced the defeat of Yeltsin. What [would] be the reaction of the despotic Boris Nikolayevich and his friends from there?

I do not rule out that all members of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation would have been swept up overnight, loaded onto a plane and, by agreement with Clinton, sent [would] be sent to the American Auschwitz - Guantanamo prison. Opposition parties would expect kirdyk.

The world media of the All-Planet Oligarchy, including television of domestic nouveaux riches, would begin to accuse the victorious communists of preparing terrorist acts ... eating babies - yes, of anything. Western leaders would come out in a group in support of ... Yeltsin, the beacon of democracy. And Russia..."

And here I will temporarily interrupt the citation.

The picture of the past, present and future "Russian" democracy is described above juicy and unsightly. And everything described could become quite possible - in that real situation of 1996, when the people, even after five years of Yeltsin's disastrous "reforms", still voted with their "heart" and "thought" with another part of the body, thinking about who was "beautiful" - Zyuganov or Yeltsin? In reality, in 1996 Zyuganov

won, presumably, but he won by such a meager margin that it was a trifle to falsify Yeltsin's "victory". And even if there was a malfunction in the machine, which was debugged by the Kremlin, and the Central Election Commission announced the defeat of Yeltsin, then exactly the "kirdyk" that Mikhail Nikiforovich described could well come. After all, we did not have **a clearly expressed** will of the people then.

Now let us ask ourselves again: what would have happened if Zyuganov had won in 1996 with at least 85% of voters, with an approximately 90% voter turnout, that is, with the constitutionally fixed support of more than three-quarters of the population of the Russian Federation? Poltoranin writes about

1996 (I continue the quote):

"... And Russia (*after the hypothetical arrest of the Central Committee of the Communist Party*. - S.K.) would yawn half-awake and indifferently scratch its empty belly. What would you do with the voting results? They would be annulled, and new elections would be postponed

indefinitely. Yeltsin could not lose. I didn't have that opportunity."

It's true, he couldn't, he didn't have to... But this is said about a situation where Zyuganov's advantage was no more than two or three percent. The Central Election Commission could "not notice" them. And what if Zyuganov's advantage in 1996 was, say, **seventy** percent? After all, this would mean that Russia no longer yawns half asleep, but rubbed her eyes **and woke up!**

And no one would risk joking with an awakened Russia. Hasty loading onto aircraft in this case, no doubt, would begin, but not forced - members of the Central Committee of the Communist Party, but voluntarily-forced - "families" headed by the former "popularly" elected in 1991 Yeltsin ... And he would be followed by the head of the Yeltsin the campaign headquarters of First Deputy Prime Minister Soskovets, the nouveau riches of domestic television and other domestic "nouveau riches" ... It did not work out! **But it did not work out because the people**

**did not come out.** He did not come out to

barricades that were not needed either then or now. The people did not come out to the polling stations - in order to really popularly, calmly, cheerfully, amicably, without hiding either from each other or from the members of the *precinct commissions* of their choice, vote not just for Gennady Zyuganov (he was already not very then daring), but for the new Soviet power, for the communist president! Yes, the fact that this did not happen in 1996

is to blame for the nouveau riches of domestic television who fooled the people, Zyuganov himself is to blame, not in a militant mood and not striving for supreme power.

***But is it the fault of the people  
themselves?***

***Guilty indeed! And guilty to this day!***

To this day, the past popular misunderstanding of their interest is replaced by a misunderstanding misunderstanding, but a different type of

misunderstanding. Alas! After all, even in the presidential elections of 2008, the election of the candidate of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation Zyuganov - for all his personal and political vices and shortcomings - the election of three-quarters of the population of Russia would have dramatically changed the situation for the better. On the one hand, Zyuganov and the Communist Party would have been forced to pursue a policy in the interests of the people, and on the other hand, the strong support of the people might have cheered up even the sluggish Zyuga

Instead, the people voted again - some with their "heart", some with something else, and eventually brought Medvedev to power. He,

like Putin, like Yeltsin, was brought to power by the people themselves! Myself!!

It's a

fact!!! The fact that

***ultimately*** Medvedev, like Putin and Yeltsin before, was brought to the highest state power not by shadow clubs of the world elite, not by electronic means of manipulating public consciousness, not by the notorious "administrative resource" – although all this somehow took place. Several tens of millions of adult citizens of Russia were made President of Russia Medvedev! Moreover, even those who did not take part in the elections took part in this! Power to Medvedev and Putin was given by the people themselves. And now he spits and pitifully justifies himself - for the umpteenth time - they

say, "what depends on us?" Well, well ... Everything

depends on us - if we want everything to depend on us! There are many moral criminals in "Rossiania" at all social levels

and in all

strata of society ... However, it turns out to be especially sad and vile that in the

current "Rossiania" the morally criminal "elite" makes the widest masses of the people accomplices in their moral crimes.

The election of a morally criminal power by the people is a collective moral and political tic crime of the whole people. Mass conciliation

with this government is also a collective crime of the people. So, for example, not only the participants of the "millionaire fairs", but also the most ordinary, most modestly living citizens of "Rossiyania" today are guilty of a national waste of national wealth, unprecedented in the history of the world. After all, the government that sells Russia outright and drives its national wealth through pipes to the West is elected by the people

themselves! Then he considers the power to be criminal, and who created it, this power? Imagine a bank whose managers decide to appropriate its assets, but want the embezzlement to be discovered as late as possible. Then the best option for

them is to involve the entire staff of the bank in the waste.

With this long-term embezzlement, the president of the bank will have a villa in Nice and millions of dollars in his personal account, but even an ordinary cashier will be able to buy a new fur coat for his wife and a "foreign car" for himself. But both

commit crimes. At the head of the bank is

a bunch. The staff of the bank is already a considerable number of people. If they wish to act as a single collective, embezzlement can be made public, suppressed and

save the bank. However, this can only be done through joint efforts. If there is no such effort, the waste continues. When the

embezzlement is discovered, the bank will burst and its staff will be out of work and, therefore, without means of subsistence, because the average worker will not steal much in reserve. That is, participation in embezzlement for workers, whatever one may say, is ultimately unprofitable. But do people

understand this?

No. It's time to understand! A rich bank in the hands of robbers - this is the figurative expression of the radiance", a society **of total** embezzlers. We

produce less and less, and the number of "foreign cars" is growing. From what, sorry,

shisha? Yes, from such that now grandparents are wasting what belongs to their grandchildren and great-grandchildren, and fathers and mothers recklessly burn through the property of their

children. That's what we live.

Do we live? And how long will we live like this? **The peoples of Russia are a particularly malicious and stupid collective squanderer**, but today the forces of World Evil are turning the whole world, all of humanity, especially that part of it that is called the

"golden billion", into the same squanderer. The world, the environment around us, is being destroyed today by all the inhabitants of the Earth, although to varying degrees. Everyone needs the planet. The poorest person consumes no less oxygen per day than the richest person. And oxygen is forests, especially tropical ones. And if humanity, being engaged in global waste of the environment, loses its "green lungs", then the "golden billion" and the richest people on the planet, as well as the poorest, will

have nothing to breathe. Of course, the richest will be able to burrow underground like gophers and breathe the artificial atmosphere there. Many fantastic novels have been written about such a future. But it is unlikely that such a "life" - not in a novel, but in life - can be called life.

Nevertheless, today there are already "theoreticians" - "futurologists" who convince people that they do not need to care too much about future, unborn generations, because these generations do not have a chance to take care of us. It's called - lived!

This is not even the

camp psychology "You die today, and I will die tomorrow"! This is the "psychology" of a madman turned inside out, who is not able to value either his or someone else's life and, in a fit of madness, destroys all life. The moral crimes of the

current "Russian" and, in general, the entire world liberal "elite" are immeasurable. But the moral crimes of the masses are also great. Ordinary people not only do not want to unite in the struggle for their unspent future, but they do not even want to hear about it. "You can't change anything anyway" - this refrain can still be heard most often today. Well, well ... Nobody - if he is not crazy, does not carry a

spoon to

his ear and does not try to put a shoe on his hand, and a glove on his foot - no one in his right mind, having received a salary, will become a city

square to distribute their labor money to all the counter-transverse.

And in political affairs, the people are doing everything so far as in a madhouse. Let's say politics grabs any woman by the scruff of the neck every time she enters a store, whether it's a grocery store or another. And the woman brushes it off: "I'm not interested in politics."

Well, until the people become interested in politics, politicians will still don't care about the people.

And rightly

so! Prices are inflated two or three times compared to reasonable... And they are inflated only because people come to the polls and vote for United Russia, for Putin, for Medvedev, that is, for the continuation of capitalism... After all, then it was United Russia, Putins and Medvedevs adopt such laws, according to which traders can be assigned any price, but the people cannot be called to account for speculators in Mercedes.

The current regime is ready to abolish all but arithmetic in schools. Children are raised to be idiots. But who, if not the fathers and mothers of schoolchildren, put Putin, Medvedev, their ministers and the United Russia party in power? In fact, the people still want him to made a collective social idiot.

Isn't it time to collectively get smarter?

Yes, today (not in general, but today, at the current moment) effective unification of the masses is almost impossible, because there is no organized political force that would act in the interests of the people.

The Communist Party of the Russian Federation did not become such a force, but this is not only the fault of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, but of the whole society, with the exception of its part of the thieves. Thieves need capitalism, which means that thieves need the United Russia party, its leader Putin and other Medvedevs, Kudrins, Gref, Fursenkos ...

The worker needs only a genuine Communist Party. Now it is not there, but even Zyuganov's Communist Party could become an acceptable option for the people for the transition period. Not receiving powerful popular support, the Communist Party turned into a hopeless swamp. Today, to unite around the Zyuganov Communist Party is a sad occupation, but without uniting at least around it, the peoples of Russia and the USSR will simply perish, will be destroyed.

The image of a broom from a folk parable should be remembered by everyone. A broom is easily broken along a twig, but if the rods are tightly assembled into a broom, no one can break it! This image should be before the eyes of all honest people in Russia - from the janitor to the doctor of physical and mathematical

sciences. The first step towards unification is **the desire** to unite, it is **the desire** for unification. So far, this desire is not found either among the workers, or among the peasants, or among engineers, or among teachers, or among scientists. But all together they make up the working masses of the people. So far, this mass comes to the polling stations, elects mostly crooks, and then declares: "I don't trust anyone!" But if you don't trust anyone, why are you going to vote? After all, no one buys rotten meat in the market just because they came there for meat, and apart from rotten meat they offer nothing. Empty polling stations from morning to evening and white, unfilled "sheets" of electoral lists could frighten politicians of the whole spectrum - from United Russia to the Communist Party. And if he came - what to think? Look for the list of the Communist Party. And to come is still better and smarter than not to come. Other reasonable

options are also possible, but necessarily leading to socialism and

Soviet power. So far, however, the people stubbornly

prefer the line of social idiocy. And this is becoming more and more criminal simply because as a result of such behavior of the people, criminals are in power, who hide behind the results of the "nationwide"

"vote".

Condoning a crime is also criminal. The "Russian" "elite" commits direct crimes against the people and their future in the sphere of economy, defense, science, culture, education, social and interethnic relations. And the masses of the people condone these crimes and, it turns out, they are also involved in the crimes that are being committed.



Each person has a choice - to be an honest person or become a criminal. The criminal also has a choice. A criminal can either remain a criminal and stagnate further in the crime, fall lower and lower and lose the Human in himself more and more. But a criminal can realize his crime, and then embark on the path of repentance.

Repentance deed.

Isn't it time for the people to realize the criminality of the behavior of not only the "elite", but also their own behavior? Otherwise,

our deeds are bad! The

"Russianization" of Putin-Medvedev's "United Russia", Nemtsov's liberals and other capitalists can only lead the peoples of Russia to what the peoples of the Earth were warned about already in the 70s by the enlightened bourgeois historian Arnold Toynbee: if capitalism is not discarded by mankind, if mankind and will continue to live according to the laws of World Evil, and will not move on to life according to the laws of World Good, his lot will be "self-destruction or constant anarchy, which can only end in self-destruction

zhenie"...

It was not I who said it, but

Toynbee. The Gospel of Matthew (chap. 7, verse 12) also says exactly:

**"... In everything you want people to do to you, do the same to them."**

No one in their right mind wants to be robbed, humiliated, undermined by the martyrs themselves, deprived of the opportunity to give a good education to children, deprived of the opportunity to have good medical care, rest, etc. Nevertheless, the masses of the people today vote for those who creates social conditions just for all this, for the complete and comprehensive decline of society. And this is worse than madness, this is a crime against oneself and even more so against children and grandchildren.

Both collective criminals - the "elite" and the people - have the opportunity to repent. It can hardly be expected from the "elite". But to hell with her! As for the people, if they want to have a normal, and not a crazy future, they must take the only path to a normal life - the path of repentance, and then atonement for the memory of their fathers and grandfathers, before themselves and before the future.

Once the guilt was redeemed with blood, but the peoples of Russia can and must redeem it with deeds - by creating a genuine Communist Party and uniting around it in the struggle for the political power of the working people. In the meantime,

Russia itself crucifies itself on the cross ... And even on the cross it does not atone for its own sins.

## Chapter 7

### Russia on the cross

In the second part of this book, in chapter 3, "Down the Down Stairs," you already say  
elk about what constitutes the current "country" in comparison with the USSR.

Let's get back to this one more time.

What we have today is in front of everyone's eyes. Outrageous facts from everyday  
the life of the country and statistical data is a verdict on the regime. And the state of affairs is so  
it is terrible that information that is fatal to the regime is reported even by semi-official television channels.  
Therefore, there is hardly any need for a large amount of digital data on  
the kind of Russia we have today.

Nevertheless, two figures should be recalled. In 1985, the USSR ranked 5th in  
world in terms of quality of life, today the Russian Federation occupies 53rd place and more and more rolls further down.

And three more numbers. In 1913, the Russian economy was 10% of the US economy.  
In 1985, the USSR economy was 60% of the US economy, and now, in 2011, -  
again less than 10%. In other words, we were thrown back in our development by 100 years.  
back!

Such can only be the results of a terrible, destructive, inhuman war. AND  
this means that the figures themselves show and prove that Yeltsin and his successors in the Kremlin are two  
For the last decades they have waged and are waging a total war of extermination and annihilation against  
Russia and its peoples.

The successes of this war of the capitalist Kremlin against its own country and its own people are such  
that Hitler's greatest successes pale in comparison. Economic and social statistics prove that the current  
regime cannot be equated with Hitler, because it is *ten times* Hitler.

Today Russia is crucified like Jesus Christ. However, it did not take Christ even a day to expiate the  
accumulated sins of mankind by suffering on the cross. Russia  
been crucified on the cross for twenty years.

And sins keep growing and growing.

N-yes...

## Episode 1

### A little about the Constitution

The Russia that we have is not only Russia humiliated by itself, not only Russia half-ruined and half-  
plundered, not only Russia anti-people, but, in addition,  
it is also **an unconstitutional** Russia - even from the standpoint of the current bourgeois  
Constitution of the Russian Federation.

However, in many of its parts, this "constitution" itself is also unconstitutional.  
For example, Article 2 of the Constitution actually lays a legal mine under the stability of society. This article  
proclaims "*man*, his rights and freedoms" as the highest value, while a society that wants to preserve and  
develop cannot but proclaim (at least in words) the interests of **society**, the nation, the people as the highest  
value. After all, if  
the interests of society, the interests of the people are ensured, then this automatically means that there will be

actually - financially, morally and legally - the interests of each law-abiding member of society.

Already paragraph 1 of Article of the Constitution of the Russian Federation logically and legally conflicts with Article 2 of the Constitution, since it states:

**"The bearer of sovereignty and the only source of power in The Russian Federation is its multinational people.**

So, article 2 proclaims the individual as the highest constitutional authority, and article 3 - the people. How to combine it?

Moreover, regardless of this contradiction, there is a question - how are things in RF deals even with the rights of the individual, even with the rights of the people? And in principle, ***the people themselves, according to the constitutional procedure, can and must answer the question: "Are th***

*in the Russian Federation the rights of the individual and the rights of the people?*

The Constitution of the Russian Federation provides for such a possibility by paragraph 3 of Article 3:

**"The highest direct expression of the power of the people referendum and free elections.**

In the spirit and letter of the law, paragraph 3 of Article 3 of the Constitution is the fundamental constitutional norm after paragraph 1 of Article 3. In theory, it should ensure that the foreign and domestic policy of the state is in line with the interests of the people, as well as periodically adjusting this policy through periodic referendums.

Paragraph 3 of Article 3 also creates a constitutional guarantee for the implementation of paragraph 4 of Article 3 of the Constitution:

**"No one can appropriate power in the Russian Federation.**

**The seizure of power or the appropriation of power is prosecuted federal law."**

In accordance with the letter and spirit of paragraphs 3 and 4 of Article 3 of the Constitution, an exception from In the political life of Russia, not only free elections, but also periodic referendums can be qualified as a seizure of power or the appropriation of power.

However, only two referendums have been held in the last twenty years: in

1991 in the USSR and in 1993 in the Russian Federation.

Neither the Putin administration nor the Medvedev administration organized a single referendum! However, during the period from 1993 to 2011, a number of such fundamental questions have accumulated in Russia, the public answers to which can only be provided by a referendum provided for by the Constitution.

Why did the administrations of Presidents Putin and Medvedev never bother to to put to a referendum not a single issue of the state life of the Russian Federation?

Moreover, the Constitution will comply (under paragraph 1 of Article 3 of the Constitution) only such a state of affairs when **any** question can be submitted to a referendum state and public life - up to questions about changing the political building, nationalization, impeachment and election of a new president by referendum!

The absence of referendums in the political life of Russia in itself makes the existing regime virtually unconstitutional. But to no lesser extent, the unconstitutionality of the current regime is manifested in the roughest and almost non-stop

twenty years of violation of paragraph 2 of Article 8 of the Constitution:

**"The Russian Federation recognizes and protects equally private, state, municipal and other forms of ownership".**

Really Yeltsin and the current Kremlin regimes, paragraph 2 of article 8 of the Constitution trampled, trampled on and intend to trample on in the future, because ***the state and municipal forms of property not only are not protected by the state, but are constantly infringed in favor of private property***. Almost all of the current private property in the Russian Federation is not the result of the activities of private owners, but is the result of state-organized and state-encouraged ongoing privatization state and municipal property.

State property rights are not recognized by the Kremlin "state" itself. On the contrary, state property is destroyed by this "state" by transferring it to private ownership.

At the same time, society is deprived of huge revenues to the public budget, labor productivity is reduced, productive property is exploited by new owners predatory (the most striking example so far is the disaster on the Sayano-Shushenskaya HPS).

Not infrequently, the national capacities, created by the labor of the whole society, pass into the hands of foreign private owners.

The material basis for the well-being and prosperity of society is the level of development and the state of the economy, and the systemic, political basis for the well-being and prosperity. Societies are such social relations of production that ensure a fair distribution among the members of society of the total social product. The current regime's violation of paragraph 2 of article 8 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation has especially negative, catastrophic consequences for the life of the peoples of Russia.

Many, many interesting things can be learned from the text of the Constitution of the Russian Federation, but not so easy read this text. At one time in Soviet secondary schools - in all cities and villages - taught a special subject "The Constitution of the USSR." Today the Constitution RF is not so easy to buy even in a large city.

And that also says something.

## Episode 2

### Mosaic of Russian "society"

Anyone, having heard: "In Russia today, wherever you poke, everything is rotten," immediately nods in agreement head. However, this is of course not the case. Even in Moscow, not to mention Russia as a whole, there are still honest business executives, generals, security officers, policemen, judges, prosecutors, teachers, doctors, university lecturers and even journalists.

Even in Moscow there are millions of completely honest and kind people, and in Russia their number should be tens of millions.

But this is today.

And tomorrow?

Let us take a quick look at a few elements of the modern mosaic of the present "society", and then it will be easier for us to understand what the answer to the last question will be if the general the picture will continue to be composed of similar elements.

The nature of upbringing and the education of young generations determine the future of society. So how does Minister of Education Andrey Fursenko see the future? After all, today in school implements what was in his language several years ago.

And he had, according to the Deputy Chairman of the Committee on Education and Science State Duma Communist Oleg Smolin, that's what:

"The disadvantage (*Wow!* - S.K.) of the Soviet education system was an attempt to form a human creator, and now the task is to nurture a qualified consumer capable of benefit from the creativity of others.

Fursenko said this at the annual youth forum on July 23, 2007. However, in Literaturnaya Gazeta (No. 32 of August 8, 2007), his idea was stated somewhat differently - Fursenko allegedly complained about the Soviet education system, which stubbornly tried to prepare a human creator, while the main thing is to nurture a consumer who can correctly use advances and technologies developed by others.

Actually, that in the forehead, that on the forehead. The bottom line is clear: creators are not needed, consumers are needed what creators create. It is not clear, though, who and where will train the creators?

There is a good principle: "Demand the impossible, you will get the maximum." Yes, Soviet mass and higher schools set themselves the very difficult task of educating a human creator. Yes, not everyone became creators, and even not many. But, firstly, when tens of millions of young guys and girls were oriented towards creativity, then even if success was achieved in one out of ten cases, the society received millions of creators! At this and the rest were more or less developed.

And who will the "reforms" of fursenok give to Russia?

The answer is obvious.

And here is a piece of the puzzle from another sphere. At the beginning of 2011, rival groups did not share the gambling business in the Moscow region. A number of anti-social groups also compete in Russian political politics - from two Kremlin groups to a liberal-Nemtsov one.

They differ from each other only in the possibility of satisfying their antisocial desires. The groups that the deceived people put in power, such more opportunities, groups seeking power - less. But in the anti-social desires themselves, all such groupings are similar.

As a result of the war of these groups against Russia, today we do not have Russia in the usual sense, and the horns and legs are from mighty Russia.

The country's resources are so undermined that today, for example, tank engine oil is not produced in Russia, and in the event of a serious conflict, victory over the Russian Federation is ensured by simply stopping external supplies. At the same time, there are already talks about Russia and gasoline produce nothing.

The army is being reduced and will soon be, perhaps, able to fully carry out only one function - to suppress internal mass unrest.

Science in Russia is dying, but at the same time it still nourishes world science with its blood, with its cadres trained by Soviet scientific schools.

Education and socially wealthy upbringing of new generations The Kremlin does not interested, he needs the ignorant masses, confident that the sun rotates around the Earth.

The gradual death of public health, millions of homeless children, the decay of law and order and morality, corruption and arbitrariness of officials, violation of social justice, the Kremlin's encouragement of separatism and the aggravation of interethnic relations, Russia burned out by a quarter in 2010 are similar results of a twenty The "activities" in Russia of capitalism and the Kremlin can go on and on.

And here is another element of the mosaic... In today's "Rossiyaniya" there is an extremely ugly structure of society. So, according to the official data of the Federal State Statistics Service (Rosstat) of 2008, in 2007 among 70 million 813 thousand people,

employed in the economy of the Russian Federation, there were 1 million 567 thousand specialists of the highest qualification level in the field of biological, agricultural sciences and health care, but - 4 million 489 thousand "employees in the field of personal services and protection of citizens and validity".

For 4 million 424 thousand workers in metalworking and machine-building industry, there were 5 million 201 thousand "sellers, demonstrators of goods, sitters (!) and clothing demonstrators.

217 thousand people were employed in the housing and communal services of the Russian Federation, but "workers involved in the preparation of information, paperwork and accounting", there were 1 million 379 thousand people.

In other words, the number of paid idlers and semi-loafers in "Rossiania", freeloaders of productive workers, has already assumed alarming proportions.

And this process is growing. One with a bipod, seven with a spoon! But who in a few years will feed a mob that knows how to work only with spoons?

In the future, the situation with education is catastrophic, moreover, it is also a characteristic only for "Rossiania" way. Let's not talk now, for example, about the collapse of vocational education - it is recognized even in the Kremlin, although this *crisis* The Kremlin cannot be overcome. However, the worse thing is that in the long term the quality itself is catastrophic. education.

And that's why.

The normal scheme for the development of knowledge in society is based on the fact that a grown-up student over time, he goes further than his teachers, knows and understands more than them. It's natural process: "Teacher, educate a student so that there is someone to learn from."

It was like that in the USSR. In fact, this is how it has always been and is the way things are in general. in all countries of the world, but not in "Rossiania".

If we take the level of Soviet professors in the 1970s and 1980s as a unit, then their average student in the late 1990s possessed about half of their knowledge - in the 1990s students not only studied, but earned additionally. Sample university graduate, for example, 1996, who remained to teach at alma mater, by 2006 he was at least a senior lecturer, and even an associate professor, or even a professor (now things are simple with this matter). AND now this half-knower produces, so to speak, quarter-knowers. And they will produce already almost a stranger, etc.

The particular tragedy of the situation lies in the fact that semi-ignoramuses with university and etc. diplomas themselves, as a rule, do not feel their ignorance.

It's one thing - a lazy double. A lazy person can be corrected, and if he is sensible teach, he can become an excellent student. It is quite another thing - a student of two, who was taught by a student of three. In this case, ignorance is irremediable. And with the problem of ignorance of the "teachers" themselves, as well as with the problem, so to speak, of *the kitschization* of society, which will be discussed a little later, Russia will still have to wallow in plenty in that swamp of ignorance into which the current Kremlin starts the country.

At least briefly, it is necessary to dwell on the problem of interethnic relations in Russia. Today this problem is becoming more and more painful. However, one must understand that ***the interethnic problem in its current form is a direct logical result of policies of the Yeltsin, and now the post-Yeltsin Kremlin.***

Exclusively as a result of this policy, several young generations of Russian citizens have already been brought up in the spirit of national hatred, turning into open hostility and hatred. whist.

As a result of the same criminal "national" policy in the national regions of Russia, ugly, self-serving anti-Russian and at the same time antagonistic to their own peoples "national" "elites" arose. The only root cause of the existence and growth of

interethnic strife in Russia is the capitalization of Russia, the existence in it of the institution of private property that corrupts the individual and society and a derivative of this institution - the current Kremlin regime. The mosaic nature of our *capitalized* life only intensifies, because in a capitalized society

certain social ties are more and more often broken, society is fragmented, its members are alienated from each other. And no matter how you put together the Russian mosaic now, the overall picture will be unsightly and ugly. But only three decades ago, even in the Brezhnev era, the picture of the Soviet

society was generally cheerful and kind.

## Episode 3

### From the Soviet Universe to the Land of Fools

Today, not just a great power is being destroyed, not just its peoples and their community are being destroyed - the original Russian world is being destroyed, which already in the time of Catherine the Great, the Empress herself called the

Universe. The Soviet Universe was filled with a meaning even more attractive and beneficial for Russia and the future of mankind than the former Russian Universe. And therefore the Soviet Universe, which came to replace the Russian Universe, was especially hated by the forces of Evil. Now she is being destroyed. Why is the

Russian, Soviet Universe being destroyed? For the sake of strengthening the position of the world's "golden billion" outside of Russia ... For the sake of the oligarchs ... For the sake of the "new Russians", that is, for the sake of a handful inside Russia. But does Russia need oligarchs? They do not manage the economy, but "rule" it. The oligarchs and the "new Russians" are only fit to fill resorts like Courchevel. They need Russia only as a cash cow.

Therefore, it would be correct to say that Russia, which was slipped to us, is also a country which was systematically occupied by internal occupiers and external transnational corporations. That is, the Russia that we have today is not only anti-constitutional, not only divided, but also **occupied** Russia!

Moreover, from Russia, as from any other occupied country, not only raw materials and human resources are exported, but capital is also exported, including to replenish the "Stabilization Fund", which is considered the property of Russia, but whose assets are located abroad and are used there by no means in the interests of Russia.

How many billions of dollars from this alleged Stabilization Fund have been spent on the construction of specific new factories, hospital campuses, schools, residential areas? For the development of higher education? To strengthen the defense?

There is no need to try and look for information on this subject! If the funds of the Stabilization Fund were used for such purposes, then "dear Russians" would be buzzed about this by everyone. ears on all TV channels. In fact, it's

silent. The Stabilization Fund lives up to its name, but only not applicable to Russia. His funds help keep the West afloat.

This is also why thirty years ago people in Soviet Russia laughed and rejoiced, but today they are dying like flies. Average life expectancy in the RSFSR in 1984 it was 69 years for the entire population (men - 64, women - 74 years). IN In 2006, the average life expectancy in the Russian Federation fell to 66.6 years (men - 60.4 years, women - 73.2 years).

Now, in 2011, this figure is even lower, especially for men, while in a normal life, the average life expectancy for almost thirty years should have increased by at least three to four years. However, the Yeltsinoid "Russia", called by its creators *the Country of Fools*, is increasingly turning from a stupid "Fields of Miracles" into the tragic Field of Death.

Here are the data on the population of the union republics of the USSR and the current "independent" "states".

	на 1987 г., тыс. чел.	на 2010 г., тыс. чел.
Россия	145 311	142 053
Украина	51 201	45 822
Узбекистан	19 026	27 488
Казахстан	16 244	16 455
Белоруссия	10 078	9 468
Азербайджан	6 811	9 111
Таджикистан	4 807	6 952
Киргизия	4 143	5 482
Туркмения	3 361	5 110
Грузия	5 266	4 436
Молдавия	4 185	3 564
Литва	3 641	3 329
Армения	3 412	2 966
Латвия	2 647	2 245
Эстония	1 556	1 340

As we can see, for more than twenty years the population has grown only in the Asian "independent" "states". Newly built Baltic members of NATO, as well as "independent" Ukraine, Romanianized Moldova, accredited Georgia and directly Russia, are dying out, and very rapidly.

But even in the fastest growing Uzbekistan, population growth is weak compared to the life of Uzbeks in the supposedly "totalitarian" USSR "under the yoke" of Russia. From 1979 to 1987, in just eight years, the Uzbek people have increased by almost 4 million people. From 1987 to 2010, for 23 years, by just over 8 million people. Decrease in growth by a third.

In Lithuania, the increase in Lithuanians from 1979 to 1987 amounted to 243 thousand people, and from 1987 to 2010, their number decreased by 312 thousand.

In Estonia, the increase in Estonians from 1979 to 1987 amounted to 90 thousand people, and from 1987 to 2010, their number decreased by 216 thousand.

And so on...



The figures for Georgia and Ukraine are especially scary. I suggest that the readers themselves do the calculations on them, adding that in 1979 49,755 thousand people lived in Ukraine, and 5,015 thousand people lived in Georgia.

Such is the human price of "independence", and these millions of premature deaths in the union republics, as well as millions of those who were not born, are also on the cannibalistic account not only of the "national" "elites" of the union republics, but also of the Moscow Kremlin.

However, in Russia itself, this Kremlin "worked" well. In eight years, from 1979 to 1987, the population of the RSFSR grew by 7,760,000 people, an average of 970,000 people a year. Consequently, in twenty years, the minimum increase should have been 19 million 400 thousand people, and the population of the Russian Federation should have reached at least 164,711 thousand people. The Kremlin, on the other hand, ensured a decline of 3,258,000 people in twenty years.

Total on the account of the current Kremlin at least:  $19,400,000 + 3,258,000 = 22,658$  before temporal deaths and non-births as of 2011 only in the Russian Federation!

So is it right or wrong to compare the inhabitants of the Kremlin with Hitler? The filth of the current social situation today is not denied even in the current Kremlin, and even more so outside of it. Even the former liberal-minded journalist Yuri Geiko, in an open letter to his former colleague, Yeltsin's son-in-law Valentin Yumashev, writes in 2011:

"Industry is ruined... – all. Agriculture too. The army is on its last legs... It is achieving a moronic school education, recently the best in the world. In universities, term papers and diplomas are downloaded from the Internet, and before the session, students collect money for bribes to teachers.

The security forces have grown together with the bandits: the FSB, the police, the courts, the prosecutor's office, the tax office, drug control, mayor's offices, and just officials at all levels - everything is corrupt, wherever you poke, rot, bribes, kickbacks, cuts and lawlessness are everywhere ... "

With all the juiciness and accuracy of such a description, it is **fully** characteristic so far only for Moscow itself - as the focus of all "Russian" abomination. After all, it is through Moscow that the main "Russian" financial flows flow and settle in Moscow.

The question is, for how long?

The abomination of material desolation is dangerous and disastrous for the present and future of Russia. But an even greater danger is the threat of intellectual and cultural desolation. **Kitsch** in culture, in life and even in science is becoming the norm, and the minimum cultural and intellectual norm is becoming more and more often not perceived and not understood by an increasing part of the population, especially young people. Mind and soul become outcasts in modern society. Well, in fact, is it possible to talk to

a person who is familiar, say, with the work of Gogol, Mark Twain,

Balzac, Tvardovsky, who has an idea about the painting of Rubens, Renoir, Surikov, Botticelli, who knows who Mendeleev, Edison, Homer were? , Korolev, Ilyushin, Kutuzov, Lincoln, Rachmaninov, with a young ignoramus who does not have the slightest idea about all these people (whose names were taken by me practically at random)? What is the saddest and most vile thing is that such **ignoramus breed in society not only because they graduated from a parochial school, but because the ignorance of the mind and feelings of young people is deliberately instilled in them within the framework of the Kremlin's state policy!**

***Such a policy breeds kitsch in society and is itself political kitsch. In some ways, the modern Kremlin is an offshoot of the notorious television reality show Dom-2.*** The word "kitsch" [from *English*.

kitsch - cheap, reading (about literature), daub (about the picture), *neglected*. - sentimentality (as a synonym for the underdevelopment of the mind and soul and undemanding)] explanatory dictionaries define as mass media, primitive and deliberately bad taste trends in literature, art, etc., as well as appropriately executed items of mass use, clothing, applied "art", etc.

But today the world society as a whole and Russian society in particular are turning into the realm of kitsch in everything - in values, in politics, in culture, in everyday behavior, passions, leisure...

The specific sign of modern "Russian" kitsch can be vulgar in spirit, phonetics and meaning, it is not clear where the word "cool" came from and who put it into circulation. It is truly worthy to replenish the dictionary of the famous Ellochka-cannibal from Ilfo-Petrovsky "12 chairs" and one could replace at least a third, or even half of this dictionary of thirty words.

And the threat of the transformation of Russian society - from academic to kindergarten level - into the collective Ellochka-cannibal is quite real.

## Episode 4

### Who and how creates a revolutionary situation

The concept of "revolutionary situation" revolves around today's Russia, like a satellite around the Earth in an elongated elliptical orbit, now moving away from us, now approaching.

But what should be understood by this

concept? The classic of the revolution, Lenin, gave not only the classical, but also the complete formulation of the revolutionary situation, so we can only recall it. According to Lenin, there are three main signs of a revolutionary

situation: "1) The impossibility for the ruling classes to maintain their rule unchanged; this or that crisis of the "tops", the crisis of the policy of the ruling class. For the onset of a revolution, it is not enough that "the lower classes do not want", but it is also required that "the upper classes cannot" live in the old way. 2) Exacerbation

of the above-usual needs and calamities of the oppressed classes. 3) A

significant increase in the activity of the masses, due to the indicated reasons, in a "peaceful" era, allowing themselves to be robbed calmly, and in turbulent times they are attracted both by the whole situation of the crisis, and by the "**tops**" **themselves** (*bold italics mine*. - S.K.) to an independent historical performance.

World history knows, perhaps, four such revolutionary situations, which led to successful revolutions that were of *epochal* significance for world history.

Four epochal revolutions, initiated by the most important revolutionary situations are:

1) The Great English Bourgeois Revolution of 1640–1649, during which King Charles I was executed and led by Cromwell. 2) The Great

French Bourgeois Revolution of 1789–1793, during which King Louis XVI was executed and which had a succession of leaders from Mirabeau to Robes Pierre.

3) The Russian bourgeois revolution of February 1917 by Milyukov and Kerensky, trans. marching later in the Great October Socialist Revolution of Lenin.

4) Restoration capitalist "revolution" in the USSR in 1985-1991 with Gorbachev and Yeltsin as its main puppet "leaders".

The first three revolutions were historically justified because they replaced less progressive political system to a more progressive political system. The "revolution" of 1991 was completely ahistorical because it replaced a more progressive political order with a less progressive one.

In the first three revolutions (and other bourgeois revolutions of this type), that part the propertied class, which possessed property and had economic influence, sought to acquire political power in addition to economic power, for which it was necessary to change the political system.

In 1991, in the USSR, the situation was reversed - with political power The "elite" did not have private property and wanted to exchange political power that did not bring large legal incomes for private property, for which it was also necessary to change the political system.

As in all four revolutions that had world historical significance, so in the vast majority of other, less significant cases, a revolutionary situation, preceded the revolution, had all three of Lenin's features. At the same time, Lenin, although he himself noted that the "tops" were participating in the creation of the revolutionary situation, unfortunately, he did not **categorically** formulate the thesis that all three serious revolutionary situations (and other less significant ones) that led to successful epochal or local revolutions, **almost completely** created the activity not the masses, but the activities of that part of the "top" that had a great economic and financial power, but also sought to obtain political power, which she did not have before the revolution.

The old "tops", which were swept away by revolutions, also naturally accepted participation in *the gradual* formation of a revolutionary situation, but this participation was involuntary, unconscious and was explained by the historical blindness of the old "tops" and their greed. One of the French enlighteners aptly remarked: "Sensitive people, shedding streams of tears over the horrors of the revolution, shed at least a few tears over the horrors that gave birth to it.

That's right - for decades and centuries, the horrors that have been created, gradually giving rise to revolution, the old "tops" are responsible. The source of **the long-term** horrors that give rise to the revolution has always been the self-interested policy **of the ruling**, old "tops".

But the exacerbation taking place in a **short** time is "above the usual need and disasters oppressed classes" is, first of all, the result of the deliberately destabilizing policy of those new "tops" who **are just about to come to power** as a result of the revolution. lucia.

And each time the new "tops" rushing to power created a revolutionary situation. in their own interests, and the subsequent revolution ensured the interests of only these new "upper".

The "lower classes", as a rule, turned out to be unable to create independently or use the revolutionary situation created by the "top" and bring it to a successful revolutions in the interests of the "grassroots". Proceeding from the internal fragility and rottenness of the existing system, the revolutionary situation is consciously created by the new "tops". They, having in their hands, large finances and influential personnel, deliberately destabilize life in country, they deliberately exacerbate **above the usual** need and misfortune of the masses of the people and thereby encourage them to take active actions in the interests of the "tops" striving for power.

Thus, food problems in pre-revolutionary Paris in 1789, in pre-revolutionary Petrograd in 1917 and in "pre-revolutionary" Moscow in 1991 were artificially created by the "top" themselves!

In the winter of 1917, about a thousand steam locomotives were simultaneously put out of action in Russia (during frosts, water from the steam cooling system, consisting of many thin pipes, was not drained), and the food supply of the capitals was immediately disrupted. This promotion was carried out not by the Bolsheviks, but by bourgeois technocrats like Comrade (Deputy) Minister Professor Lomonosov from the tsarist Ministry of Railways. Lomo Nosov, on the other hand, was a member of the same party as the historian Professor Miliukov, the Cadet Party of the big bourgeoisie.

In the spring and summer of 1991, food stamps were in circulation in Moscow, while near Moscow every now and then they found ravines littered with meat carcasses and sausage. So already Gorbachev's "tops" created a massive "protest" base for successful dismantling of socialism in the USSR.

Each time, the possessing "tops" created a revolutionary situation themselves, and they themselves enjoyed its fruits. For the only time, a revolutionary situation created by the "tops" was successfully developed to the benefit of the "bottoms". I have in mind, of course, the revolutionary situation created by the bourgeois democrats in February 1917 "for themselves", but used against them in the interests of the poor in October 1917.

This was done by Lenin and the Bolshevik Party led by him. However, this case was the only one, because Russia was lucky then with such political leaders, who firmly, honestly, sincerely and intelligently stood on the side of the masses, revolutionized bourgeois "tops".

For example, in Germany, a country much more developed than Russia, with much more more numerous than in Russia, the working class, the proletarian revolution collapsed primarily because the Social-Democratic "leaders" of this revolution, such as Friedrich Ebert (system analogue of Gennady Zyuganov) almost openly admitted that they did not believe into the principles they preach from the stands.

It follows from the foregoing that any "elite" competing with the current Kremlin the group can replace the current "Kremlin inmates", most likely, after this rival group artificially creates in Russia a new revolutionary situation. And this means for the peoples of Russia a new turmoil, grandiose "Manezhki", a frenzied and objectively unjustified rise in prices, empty shelves, the rumble of banditry in broad daylight even in the center of cities, etc.

It is impossible to say in advance who exactly, when and how can start creating a revolutionary situation in the current "Rossiania". How specific political, economic, and social upheavals are linked to the interests of the "elite," how these upheavals planned and organised, has always been, and still is, particularly careful protected secrets of the haves class all over the planet.

But for our future, it is important and essential that today the very fact of the existence of such secrets are **no longer a secret** for a sufficiently large number of people from the class of the have-nots (under the have-nots here are not understood to be the poor, but those who do not have private property that allows them to appropriate part of someone else's labor to its owner).

Having learned what has been said above, one can understand that Russia, which we can already soon to receive, which *they can slip* us, is Russia, artificially stirred up, rebellious, destabilized. Then Russia can begin, at first torn into pieces. parts, and then - destroyed or self-destructed.

Competing privately owned "Russian" groups of any orientation need great upheavals. And the peoples of Russia need a great, stable, united and indivisible Soviet Russia.

In principle, knowing this, the masses of the people are quite capable of resisting the antisocial plans and desires of selfish "elite" groups. ***So far, the Russian "lower classes" have no objective need to move towards a new revolutionary situations. Objectively, we need not a new revolution, but a mass understanding by the people situations and holding free elections without violence against the people's will electronic Mass media and electronic systems for "counting" votes.***

But in order for free elections to become possible, we need - both according to Lenin and from general considerations of common sense - the presence in the country of an effective political vanguard, which could unite and organize the masses for the legitimate defense of their interests. In other words, there must be a real Communist Party in the country.

But this has already been said, and more will be said.

## Episode N

### Russia we can get

There are many more sad episodes from the "life" of Russia on the cross, so I will end this chapter immediately with the Nth episode.

So, today's Russia is an anti-constitutional, divided, stupid Russia and systemically occupied. And what kind of Russia can we get if legalized lawlessness and the systemic occupation of Russia continue?

Well, it has already been said above that we can first get Russia destabilized torn, ***rebellious***, and then - and Russia, dismembered and ***destroyed***.

Destroyed not only as an original civilizational phenomenon of world history, and destroyed in the truest sense of the word - as a state acting on the international arena, as a single multi-million society, composed by the peoples of Russia, as a single economic organism.

In parentheses, I note that in the spring of 2011, the United States and the West showed how they can do, on the example of the Arabs. And now even China is beginning to worry about its fate and is assuring everyone that attempts to destabilize China along the lines of "Arab" "revolutions" cannot be successful. Well, God forbid the Chinese "calf" successfully repel the onslaught of Western "wolves" - if it happens.

These "wolves" are already tormenting Russia. And, in fact, the process of physical destruction and Russia's self-destruction is already in full swing. There are thousands of examples of this even from the official and liberal press. Let me remind you of two... According to official reports, the depreciation of Russian energy networks reaches 70 percent or more. The energy system of the Russian Federation, increasingly transferred to private hands and corroded by corruption, may collapse in a matter of years, and this is a collapse.

In a number of places, things are no better with the transport system. Yes, spontaneous the collapse in the spring of 2011 of a major railway bridge across the Abakan River in Khakasia is quite a revealing fact. In socialist Russia, this could not have been because this could not be (and never was) in her. Today Russia is destroyed from outside and from within, not only politically, but physically, infrastructurally. Pipes, rails and the wires may burst before the patience of the people snaps.

The first attempts to destroy **Soviet** Russia date back to the Soviet Russia. But Lenin's Russia defended itself, and Stalin's Russia developed itself, then defended itself, restored and began to develop itself further.

On Stalin's reserves of strength of mind and spirit, Russia developed until the end of the 60s.

Since the beginning of the 70s, the "fifth column of the West" in the USSR initiated a hidden, but an already active process of discrediting and disintegrating everything Soviet in Russia.

Since 1985, this hidden process has been especially intensified due to the bringing to power of the "pro-slaves" of Gorbachev's capitalist "perestroika".

Since 1991, an open process of the destruction of everything Soviet by the highest Yeltsin anti-state power began.

Twenty years of covert preparations for the liquidation of socialism in the USSR until 1991 and twenty years of open liquidation of his achievements after 1991 - this is the basis on which today it is possible to start destroying not the Soviet backbone of Russia, but the destruction of Russia as a still powerful sovereign state.

Moreover, if we mean *the internal* occupiers, the destruction of Russia will most likely mean **their** rapid destruction, since there will be no national a sovereign state capable of protecting material interests by armed force and the property of the "new Russians". This juicy moment has already been said and more will be said.

The proposed division of the Russian Federation into several separate regional "states" does not solve the problem - such "stumps" will be even less stable than the current Russian Federation, and will also self-destruct.

Neither will the attempt to create a new "feudalism" with a tough police force solve the problem. Such "projects" will be killed not even by an unlikely social explosion, but total social apathy of the rapidly and comprehensively degrading former "electorate".

In fact, working for the future destruction of Russia, its internal invaders are likened to the Pig from Krylov's fable, undermining the roots of the Oak that supplies Pig acorns. However, it is unlikely that "new Russians" of all sorts, due to their class and intellectual limitations, are able to understand this.

Although there may be exceptions.

But if the complete destruction of Russia objectively does not meet the interests of even its internal occupiers from among the "new Russians", then the complete destruction of Russia is in the interests of its *external* enemies.

Undestroyed Russia can always be reborn as Soviet Russia, and Soviet Russia cannot but become the gravedigger of the living corpse of capitalism and the institution of private property on a global scale.

This main consideration neutralizes in the eyes of the United States and the West even the attractiveness of the idea of the existence of a Russia in the world that will oppose Islam. and China in the interests of the West. ***The West will never unite with Russia because it long ago united against Russia.***

So, the general scheme is as follows: first, the disintegration of Soviet Russia; then - the political destruction of Soviet Russia; then - the destruction of the Soviet potential for rebirth in the decomposing "Rossiania" and, finally, the final and irreversible destruction through the "Russian" "elite" - the "pig" of the "oak" of the Russian state.

The stability of the Soviet Union at a time when the conditions were not yet prepared for its collapse and destruction, was almost absolute. Imagine in the USSR even in the 70s, something like the Kyiv "Maidan" or the "revolutions" of 2011 in Arabic world was impossible.

***The last forty years of weakening and collapse have made everything possible in Russia - up to different variants of "velvet", "mat", "sackcloth" and other "revolutions" according to the "Romanian", "Arabic" or some other type.***

For the peoples of Russia, this will mean no longer limited, as it is now, but widespread great bloodshed; fear - as a phenomenon of everyday life; disruption of normal supply, hunger lines, criminal gangs taken to the streets, police brutality etc.

Not without reason, after all, the Kremlin promises "rations" to the new-born police lieutenant from 2012 45 thousand rubles a month. What can we say about colonels?

A civil war is very likely - at first sluggish, until "concerned instability in nuclear Russia," the "world community" represented by the UN will not demand "leadership" of the Russian Federation for the immediate dismantling under international control and withdrawal from the territory of the Russian Federation of all its nuclear weapons and stopping nuclear production.

Then - the intensification of the civil war according to the classical scheme: "to fight against the Asians Asian hands. The social atmosphere now being created is such that even today at least tens of thousands of young "Russians" (not to mention "citizens" of the CIS) are ready to become mercenaries and kill not only fellow citizens, but also their own mother and father.

At the end - direct occupation "under the flag of the UN", the encirclement of "Rossiyanika" with wire fences in five rows and a machine-gun "sanitary" cordon. Machine guns - from the arsenal of the former "Russian Army", the machine gunners are also from the natives.

At the same time - tight security by private security companies and troops NATO of the entire infrastructure for the production and transportation of energy carriers.

The main part of the "dear Russians" is given the full right to freely army.

That's the kind of Russia we can get.

And this despite the fact that ***the objective*** conditions for instability, for upheavals, for There is no civil war in Russia and there cannot be. Yes, the severity of social confrontation in Russia is great (in the "lower classes" there are tens of millions living almost in poverty, in the "tops" - one hundred "Russian" billionaires), but this witticism is created solely by passivity and social idiocy of the masses and can be quickly eliminated by the activity and insight masses ***without civil war.***

Of course, certain catastrophic options in Russia are not excluded, but all they may become possible not because the country will not have enough food, energy, etc., but because the catastrophe will be needed by one of the political groups - or clinging to power, or wanting to snatch power, or realizing the tasks of Western curators.

A "stable" version of the future is not excluded for "Rossiyanika" – frankly colonial. In this case, Western curators will not initiate catastrophic "scenarios", but will limit themselves to the maximum exploitation of existing production facilities. capacities of the Russian economy until they are completely worn out when using a relatively cheap, but a highly skilled workforce.

***The nuclear status of Russia in this case should be dismantled, this is conditional vie sin qua non (indispensable) for the implementation of any scenario of the collapse of Russia.***

For all that, the behavior of the current "elite" resembles scenes from the theater of the absurd. Take, for example, a seemingly private, but in fact the most important problem. In the USSR there was developed not only *the oil industry*, but also *the oil refining industry*. Behind For the last twenty years, nothing new has been built in the processing sector – Soviet equipment "privatized" by idle owners is running out of steam. As a result

In this case, there is a real threat of a complete curtailment of oil refining in Russia and a transition to the position of a direct raw materials appendage.

In the USSR, a glass of gasoline was cheaper than a glass of mineral water. today and good "Mineral water" is not cheap, but gasoline is not a conversation at all. But for now we have our gasoline, and what if here we find ourselves completely dependent on external supplies?

A reasonable way out of the emerging formidable crisis is obvious even for bourgeois Russia - the immediate nationalization of all types of the oil industry with the imposition fines on former "privatizers" in order to obtain funds for the restoration of domestic oil refining.

However, the Kremlin's liberal "experts" conclude that recycling oil in Russia is economically meaningless and "brings enormous losses."

That's how they slip us a straight colonial future. And after the former the great economy will be squeezed like a lemon, "Rossiyaniya" can be left to its miserable fate, surrounding it for the peace of the outside world with the same wire-machine-gun "sanitary" cordon.

What forces inside Russia can make such options possible?

what about Russia?

Well, in addition to the two Kremlin groups of Medvedev and Putin, it is possible - purely mentally by visual means, without personalization - to single out several competing with them, but also antisocial groups, for example:

1) Puppet capitalist grouping, completely oriented as and the Kremlin, to the West, but so far in the West's reserve.

2) A pro-Western capitalist grouping, oriented, like the Kremlin, towards maximum satisfaction of their anti-social desires, but for the West, for one reason or another otherwise desirable less than direct new puppets.

3) A capitalist group oriented towards the continuation of the current social policy of robbing the people, but not wanting to allow the West to "milk" Russia, but wishing to "milk" Russia on its own until "dear Russians" allow it  
lit.

4) Capitalist grouping with elements of various nationalist illusions, prone to an independent (to one degree or another) foreign and domestic policy and ready (to one degree or another) to mitigate the glaring social contrasts in Russia.

5) A relatively realistic-minded group of quite influential "elites" - "statists" who are aware of the disastrous course of the Kremlin in the next perspective, prone to partial nationalization, to relatively broad social reforms and a certain improvement of society, but while maintaining a privately owned political system in Russia.

In the latter case, I would venture to name specific names, assuming that the grouping "statists" includes figures like ex-deputy Sulakshin.

Of course, open or secret defections of certain members of one or another group are possible. grouping into another competing grouping, as well as an association or partnership groupings. However, none of these groups is concerned about the interests of the peoples. Russia and none is able to ensure stability in Russia and the development of Russia.

By the way, there is one more point that has already been mentioned, but it is useful to recall - not so much to ordinary "dear Russians", but to the "new Russians" led by the "golden hundreds of "Russian" billionaires.

All the billionaires and millionaires of the world, *except for the "Russians"*, do not work against their national states. All billionaires and millionaires of the world have their own reliable national "roofs". Of course, this does not mean that the fortune of the first rich man in the world



- The Mexican TV magnate Carlos Slim Elu will be protected from certain US or UN actions by all the armed forces of Mexico to the last warrior. But the probability of

such actions is zero. The proletarians of all countries could not unite in the struggle against the capitalists of all countries, but the capitalists of all countries united in the struggle against the proletarians of all countries. However, it must be emphasized that it was not just the owners of large fortunes who united, but the "elite" circles of various **countries**, various **national** states. It's just that an

association of owners of large fortunes is either a gang or a *shadow* club of the "elite" (which, however, differs little from a gang). But only such associations of the owners of large fortunes or their trusted political persons, who are overshadowed by the multicolored **national** flags in front of the international headquarters of these associations, have legal, official international significance.

And the "Russian" flag in the next fifty years may not even be handed over to the archive of history, but thrown into its dump.

Yes, this may not happen. **But it could very well happen!** After all,

"Rossiyanitya" is being destroyed in various ways by "dear Russians" themselves. One Japanese, who knows Russia well, owns a comparison that is not very flattering for us, but, alas, not without accuracy: "Japan is monolithic concrete, Europe and the USA are brickwork fastened with cement, and Russia is a barrel of sand fastened with hoops. Knock down the hoops - the barrel will fall apart.

Here are the "hoops" - geopolitics, patriotism, morality, statehood, economic power - from Russia and knock down. They shoot down the whole world in the literal and figurative sense of the word, but the "Russians" themselves and, above all, the "new Russians" with their greed unbridled by nothing and no one, are especially active in

this matter. But, using just the "new Russian" vocabulary, we can recall that the greed of the "fraer ruined."

Acquaintance with a certain passage from the comments of the notorious Anatoly Wasserman once again convinced me that the question is ripe - since two such different people as Wasserman and Kremlev look at the problem in

the same way. Wasserman writes:

"... the current leadership of Russia, apparently, has lost the only quality that I still hoped for in my political calculations - the instinct of self-preservation. I considered it quite obvious that a statesman can count on a long and healthy life - including after retirement - only as long as a sufficiently powerful state stands behind him.

But, unfortunately, it is very likely that the name of the former Prime Minister of Ukraine Pavlo Lazarenko, who is serving a term for embezzlement of several tens of millions of dollars of American loans, or the fate of the former president of the Soviet Union says nothing ... Gorbachev, who at one time had to earn extra money advertising pizza (*well, this, presumably, did not come from need, but from Mikhail Gorbachev's petty greed. - S.K. .*). They sincerely hope that they will continue to be

welcomed in the West, that their supposed savings (I say

"supposed" because there is no evidence of their existence

assets abroad, for obvious reasons, no) will remain with them forever centuries."

As you can see, even the liberal Wasserman warns the "new Russian" fraers, and this significant. "Rossiania" is doomed to perish one way or another, and stable historical it has no prospects as a sovereign nation-state, a member of the UN!

But, I emphasize, I do not mean Russia, but precisely the capitalized liberal naya "Russians".

She is doomed!

By the way, this is true to some extent in relation to all other "CIS countries". Even Belarus, as a sovereign state, the West can slam in the future - albeit for reasons different from "Russian" and "SS" reasons.

Today, the Kremlin is actively destroying, within the framework of treaties with the United States, and also most strongly, the main material guarantee of Russia's national sovereignty - its strategic nuclear missile weapons. Kremlin propaganda claims to the contrary are intended only to hide the process of dismantling the material base of the nuclear deterrence regime from the people, as well as from the remnants of public opinion in the Russian Federation.

Therefore, the most likely future destiny of "Rossiyaniya" is intervention and occupation in one form or another during the transition of various territories of "Rossiyaniya" under the jurisdiction of those or other leading national states of the world (however, large territorial handouts are not ruled out to a number of non-leading, but neighboring countries such as Poland, Romania, Hungary, Turkey).

If there is no longer a national Russian state, then, most likely, there will also be legal international protection of large proprietors in this **former** national state!

All major fortunes in "Rossiyaniya" have, to put it mildly, dubious legal status. origin even according to the norms of bourgeois law. No wonder the American bourgeois Property expert Joseph Kolb looked in 1991 on the nature of Soviet "privatization"—even within the framework of the values of a capitalist society—in exactly the opposite way. the way Chubais and Co. looked at this problem. But the important thing is that even those young people who believe that they do not want to live under socialism, they do not really want to live under the current mode.

Under socialism they "don't want to live" because they don't know it.

Under "Yeltsinoid" "capitalism" (and there can be no other in "Rossiania"), they do not want to live because they know him.

One of the tasks of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation and all the healthy forces of society is to give the younger generations a well-founded picture of the new socialism that can and should replace Yeltsinoid "capitalism" with its corruption, criminalization, unemployment. and blatant social inequality.

Young people should know: "Without breaking eggs, you will not fry fried eggs, and without choosing Red thought, oriented towards the new socialism, you will not know how you can live differently than this hour".

You can pretend as much as you want, you can deceive yourself and others as much as you like and deny the obvious... But the historical truth for the peoples of Russia is as follows: any creative processes within the Russian geopolitical space in general and the Russian Federation in particular, any projects "Russia ", any modernization, etc. do not begin with Skolkovo, not with investments and innovations, but with the Red thoughts!

A red thought is quite possible, as are possible after this a quick and sharp decline prices, a sharp increase in wages and all social benefits with a real suppression of inflation, etc.

The transition period is unlikely to be easy in everything. But he might not be the same harsh from the standpoint of reasonable consumption.

Let's say today retail prices for everything - from bread to furniture sets - are inflated by two, three or more times compared to economically justified ones. Therefore, even with serious confusion caused by fundamental changes in the principles of state administration, management, trade, etc., the level of mass consumption of basic foodstuffs, clothing, footwear, etc., will not only not decrease, but will increase, because prices can be reduced.

On the other hand, an effective economic blockade of Russia by the outside world in the transitional period is excluded already because not only Russia for the first time in its history turned out to be massively tied to the supplies of the outside world, but for the first time the outside world in world history, it turned out to be large-scale represented in the domestic market of Russia.

In fact, we have a situation: "Ivan, I caught a bear! "Then bring him here!" - He won't let him!" The stability of the outside world today depends to a large extent on will it be able to supply its products to Russia and receive Russian raw materials and energy carriers. In other words, the outside world depends on Russia more than it does on him, and this fact must be attributed to the most important.

Most likely, the new Soviet socialist Russia will have to face sabotage and sabotage organized by the former owners - this is in our history. already been. Quite effective countermeasures are also possible here, and by no means only punitive nature.

However, the transition period cannot be easy. It has already been said earlier that the modern world and, moreover, Russian society has actually become involved in the cadaver of capitalism in a collective global crime against the present, and especially the future of the planet. It was also said that the criminal has only two ways: to continue to stagnate in his crime or embark on the path of repentance **and correction**.

But another analogy will be true! Modern world and especially Russian society can be compared to a drug addict who has seriously undermined his physical and spiritual health. The addict also has only two ways.

One way: continue what the addict is already doing, degrade more and more and die inglorious and untimely death.

The second way: realize the fatality and dullness of the first way, give up drugs and return to a normal, fulfilling life.

Of course, the second path is associated with difficulties, with "breaking", with suffering, but the only alternative to temporary difficulties is death. Also, by the way, torment body.

Unfortunately, a person who has overcome drug addiction will no longer be who he could be. would be if there was no period of drug addiction in his life. It is the same with the world in general, and with Russian society in particular. Even if Russia and all the union republics surrounding it tomorrow together take up the construction of a new socialism, still a third burned out forest Russia will not be covered overnight with forests that grew dozens and hundreds of years.

Those hundreds of thousands of houses that were not built for twenty capitalist years.

The economy, defense, science, health care, education, culture, law and order, morality, and stability, which have been half-destroyed over the past twenty years, will not be restored instantly.

But if we do not put an end to capitalism in Russia, if we do not put an end (preferably calmly, constitutionally, civilized) with the institution of private property, with social stratification and the Yeltsinoid regime inseparable from them, then our social fate and our personal destinies, which depend on fate societies will be no more bright and joyful than the fate of a drug addict who has not managed to overcome his vice.

A protracted anti-Soviet and anti-socialist experiment in the vastness of the Russian Federation and the destroyed Soviet Union must be stopped as soon as possible!

At one time, the concept of "socialist experiment" was in vogue. This bold, unprecedented experiment in the social history of mankind was carried out **by the country itself**, it was supported and carried out by all the healthy, cheerful, intelligent, talented and honest forces of the then society. The current capitalist experiment is being carried out

**over the country**, and the forces of the World Evil have attracted all the base, vile, rotten, greedy and depraved in the country to participate in it. And it's time to stop this - in the framework of the implementation of

paragraph 1 of Article 3 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation, which reads: "The bearer of sovereignty and the only source of power in the Russian Federation is its multinational people." At the same time, with everyone who bears political responsibility for the processes and

results of the last two decades of our history, as well as with those who formed the basis of the class of "new Russians" (it's hard to call them a class of owners, it's more like a class of usurpers of public property), with all of them only negotiations are possible. Negotiations on the ways and methods of the most painless for all their **complete withdrawal** from the political, social, economic and historical life of the peoples of the Russian state

stva.

It will be possible to agree on a reasonable "compensation" for the historical "new Russian" bankrupts - in the name of social stability. It is possible and necessary

to create legal conditions for turning the situation in Russia towards a comprehensive state and social revival on the basis of new socialism. A "Red" State Duma and profound social and economic reforms in the interests of Russia and its peoples are quite possible. However, all this is not automatically programmed.

And if 2011 does not become the year of the "Red Duma", then the question will naturally arise: "What to do in 2012 and subsequent years for all the healthy forces of Russia?"

Well, the answer is obvious: "If in 2011 we do nothing, then in the future we will have to do what we should have realized and done in 2011, but did not did and did not realize.

If a person, in order to achieve something, needs to cross a river, but he does not cross the river, then until he crosses it and finds himself on the right bank of the river, he, naturally, will not achieve anything. That is why, in the event of the passivity of society and the Communist Party in 2011, conscious citizens of Russia in 2012 and subsequent years will have to do what they did not do in 2011 - the movement towards the "Red Duma" as a factor in the transition to the new Soviet power.

But then we will have to act in more difficult conditions. If the Communist

Party of the Russian Federation fails to quickly find a "second wind", this will not lead to the collapse of the Russian state, but its revival will then be much more difficult, since

this revival will become possible only after the Communist Party of the Russian Federation acquires it, this is the "second" communist wind.

Responsibility for this or that option is borne not only by the leadership of the Communist Party, but also by the entire Russian society. Particularly great is the responsibility of all those potentially constructive forces that are capable of helping the Communist Party of the Russian Federation in its new development.

At the same time, it is necessary to emphasize once again the special role of the Russian Academy of Sciences and trade unions, so far shunning open support for the Communist Party and socialism.

But first of all, scientists are obliged to see all the attractiveness and creative power of socialism!

## Chapter 8

### Attractive look of the future

An outwardly efficient capitalist economy does not provide the world with joyful future even within the countries of the "golden billion". Already in the near future, it provides the world with a cesspool and a world garbage can. Brought almost to perfection technological side of life due to the skillful use of the entire previous array of scientific and technical knowledge of mankind, the modern capitalist economy does not have and cannot have constructive goals aimed at creating a sustainable world of common good suitability.

The showcase of modern capitalism, its *casual side*, so to speak, looks attractive today. But the shop window is always attractive - that is its premise. appointment.

A careful study of the inner life of the capitalist "building" leads to conclusions, for the future of this "building" deplorable. Capitalism has created and is constantly exacerbating very painful problems. Actually, all the problems created by modern society are sick. Behind the brilliance of "Mercedes" lies the poverty of both the spirit and the body of billions earthlings.

Twenty years have passed since these billions included hundreds of millions of former citizens of the USSR. The question is: are they ready to leave this dubious company in order to find a new Russia - free from poverty of spirit and poverty of body?

In 1999, one of the authors of the Kommunist magazine, Boris Panteleevich Shevchenko expressed a thought that is true in its obviousness, which today's Russia, alas, has not mastered:

"In 1917, the Bolsheviks won by no means with the help of a rifle and not at all thanks to the propaganda of the negative. Their main efforts were not aimed at exposing the ruling regime and mediocrity reign of Nicholas II, everyone saw this without propaganda. Bolsheviks attracted the masses to their side with their POSITIVE PROGRAM ...

Today, not a single party, not a single association PRESENT positive program, nor slogans equivalent in their effectiveness against the Bolshevik masses, which would lead not to destruction, but to CREATIVE movement millions.

Ultimately, only that political force will win,  
WHICH IS ABLE TO ENGAGE THE MASSES IN AN ATTRACTIVE WAY  
FUTURE..."

That's right! At the same time, one must understand that for a developed person, a truly attractive image of the future is associated not with lard on lard, but with a hand-held squirrel on the hand. in the middle of the earthly Garden.

However, one must also understand that in today's country, where the system of values has long been shifted towards material consumption, communists must also give material an attractive image of the future, explaining how this can be achieved.

To be able to do this means to win over the broadest masses to one's side. But mothers The real position of the broad masses in Russia can be improved almost instantly.

Quite rightly, noting that during the Great Depression of the 1930s, President US F.D. Roosevelt used the experience of the USSR, the same B.P. Shevchenko recalls such obvious, ***immediately facilitating the lives of the masses***, measures such as:

- the cessation of foreign economic robbery of the population at the expense of the state monopoly of foreign trade (in the United States, Roosevelt, this was ensured by a moratorium on the export of gold and capital); – cessation of financial and speculative robbery of the population due to the nationalization of banks with the abolition of trade secrets (in the United States, Roosevelt, this was ensured by strict control over banks and financial flows, as well as by excluding "making money out of thin air" and eliminating the concealment of large incomes from taxation);

– ending the price robbery of the population by controlling the rate of profit (in the United States, Roosevelt, this was ensured by the introduction of a federal list of prices and rates for all goods and services, with severe punishment for exceeding them). Immediate nationalization in 24 hours, the immediate introduction of a progressive tax with an increase in the social expenditure side of the budget several times while suppressing inflation, including through a sharp expansion of domestic production; an increase in housing and social construction, the elimination of unemployment on this basis - this is a very real and quite attractive future for Russia under the "Red Duma" in the very near future, immediately after the "Red Duma" becomes a reality.

**A minimum** pension of 15,000 rubles, **a minimum** wage of 20,000 rubles **at stable prices** should become the first materially attractive features of the image of the new Red Russia.

In its first socialist stage in Russian history, Russia achieved a lot by professing the principle "The public is higher than the personal." However, today it is possible to build a new, more perfect socialism, immediately declaring that the public is identical with the personal, that the new public property is almost immediately capable of giving a significant increase in personal well-being. We have everything for this - if we have the will and desire for it. "Right",

"left-liberal", "liberal", etc. "reformers" and "thinkers" are trying to find a way to build capitalism in Russia with a human face. And he cannot have a human face - only a mask, and under the mask - a mug. Only socialist Russia can have a human face. And if we go forward - towards it, then an attractive beginning can and should be followed by an even more attractive - in all respects - continuation and development.

Which?

And at least this... A

free and stable country from the Carpathians to Kamchatka and from Dikson to Kushka. Six-, and then a five-hour working day with a four-day working week. Holidays with pay, increased by one week for every ten years of honest service for the first twenty years, and by two weeks for every ten years thereafter. After thirty years of work - walk for two months. Or maybe three, having the opportunity to take two-thirds of the total vacation at the same time. The streets are flower beds, there is no burning and smog, because there is no need for herds

of private cars - in cities, public transport is developed in such a way that it takes no more than ten minutes to walk to any stop and no more than five minutes to wait. Intercity transport is also well developed - better than it was in the USSR, where

pensioners and students flew thousands of kilometers on airplanes.

The food is healthy and tasty. Medicine is caring and developed. The only one at the privileged class are the children.

And again - confidence in your future until the last day, confidence in yourself and in your (your!) country.

And again - the cult of knowledge, the cult of education, which makes a person free and develops  
tym.

Today in Russia, lawyers and "make-up artists" are spawning like mushrooms after the rain. But if the number of lawyers, notaries and lackeys increases in a society, this is a sick and stupid society. In a healthy and intelligent society, the number of scientists, teachers and doctors is increasing. And this is quite real in the new Russia. The Russia that exists, that is, "Rossiania", can and should be rejected. We need a new socialist Russia that has grown wiser on the bitter experience of its own and others' mistakes.

This, by the way, means that we need a smart, kind, sincere, fair Russia... And, of course, a cheerful one. After all, genuine communism is, first of all, a merry, cheerful business. Can there be anything more interesting and fun than the friendly life of people

free from anxiety for tomorrow? In Dahl's explanatory dictionary, in the article "fun", the Russian proverb "Fun is better than wealth" is given, and there are also adjectives "cheerful", "cheerful-wise", "friendly-cheerful", "cheerful" and in the same row - "friendly and joyful".

This is the kind of Russia we need to find - friendly and joyful. And this can be only a new socialist Russia.

It will also be a Russia devoid of petty-bourgeois calculation. Here is how, for example, it was once written by a certain author about the relationship between a man and a woman under socialism:

"...what will come to replace it? This will be determined when a new generation grows up: a generation of men who will never in their lives have to buy a woman for money or other social means of power, and a generation of women who will never have to give themselves to a man for any other motive than true love, nor refuse intimacy with the man she loves for fear of the economic consequences. When these people appear, they will throw all the hell out of what, according to current ideas, they are supposed to do; they will know for themselves how to act, and will themselves work out their own social

opinion about the actions of each individual, - and the point.

Isn't it said hilariously? And it was said by the communist Friedrich Engels in his Origin of the Family, Private Property and the State. The new socialist generations are only a possible future for

Russia so far. But if there will be no such generations in it, then there will be no Russia itself.

The hypocrisy and hypocrisy of Brezhnev's edition of "socialism" of the 1970s and 1980s, carefully created by the future "foremen of perestroika", made him dull and senile, although even then the country did not live despondently. The real face of socialism is the lively, impatient smile of youth next to the calm and confident smile of maturity. On July 18, 1939, the Supreme Soviet of the USSR established the All-Union Day of Physical Education,

and on the same day a grand sports parade was held on Red Square. In the days of the celebration of the 66th anniversary of the Victory **of the Soviet** (in the Kremlin they are afraid of this word, like hell incense) people in the Great Patriotic War, the Kultura television channel first showed a film about that parade, and then about the first post-war physical education parade on Krasnaya square on July 18, 1945. These documents of the era are amazing in their vital and historical strength. The parades of the physically developed Soviet youth were not a varnishing of reality - in 1945 it was still very, very bitter and sorrowful for tens of millions of Soviet people.



No, such parades were a landmark. Looking not only at the brand new screens of city cinema theaters, but also at the patched and patched screens of rural cinemas, where films about sports parades were shown, people understood: today, although this is true, it's all more like a fairy tale. However, tomorrow this fairy tale will become a reality for all countries - if we want it and work for it.

Today, the attractiveness of the appearance of socialism in the eyes of the broad masses has been seriously undermined, on the one hand, by the apparent collapse of real socialism, and on the other hand, by the visible prosperity of real capitalism in developed countries.

Nevertheless, looking at the visible successes of capitalism: shiny cars, meter-long flat-panel TV screens, the world of the Internet squeezed into the size of a human palm, the Hubble space telescope, etc., one must understand that this is the result of a non-capitalist image. life, and the result:

- all previous world scientific, engineering and technological development;
- concentration of Western efforts on a very specific set of technologies;
- systemic (raw materials, economic, cultural, etc.) exploitation by the West

countries and inhabitants of the Earth not included in the "golden billion" of mankind;

- brain drain from various countries of the world (including from the collapsed to this day USSR) to the leading scientific centers of the most developed Western countries.

We must not forget that the artificially caused collapse of socialism in the USSR is actually froze the development of science and technology within the Russian geopolitical space, the boundaries of which coincide with those of the USSR. "Russian" "science" actually lives on the still unspent interest on the achievements of Soviet science and technology.

Even the West enjoys a percentage of these achievements. But the Soviet achievements are already at least twenty, or even thirty, or more years.

If the development of Soviet science and technology, the development of the Soviet system of higher formations were not at first artificially inhibited in the 70s and 80s, and after 1991 were forcibly interrupted, then the scientific, technical and technological successes of real socialism would be at least no less than those of a developed Western society. But these successes would have been ensured through exclusively national, and not international, as in the West, efforts and achievements.

At the same time, an objective analysis of the realities of the twentieth century proves just a huge, almost miraculous vitality for society only and exclusively socialism, and it is on the example of Russia, the USSR!

Let's remember how it was...

New, previously unprecedented, having no experience and previous social infrastructure, the socialist system for its formation and development was given a moment by historical standards. Not earlier than in 1930, a comprehensive the socialist reconstruction of Russia, and by the end of the 70s, the hidden dismantling of socialism by the internal "fifth column" began.

At the same time, the truly creative period of the socialist transformation of social relations fits into an even shorter time interval from the mid-1930s to mid 50s. Already in the second half of the 50s, Khrushchev's mediocre "initiatives" began, initiated by agents of influence from Khrushchev's entourage, and from the second half of the 70s, the subversive work of the "fifth column" of the new, Gorbachev generation intensified.

Only thirty-odd truly creative years, of which four years have gone for a devastating war and five years for the post-war restoration of socialist economy.

In total, ***History let the Leninist-Stalinist Bolshevik Party and the layers of Soviet society united around it for more than two decades of relatively peaceful years for enthusiastic and unrestrained creation! And even then - not in a row, but in total, in two short stages before the war and after the end of the post-war reconstruction!***

***During this historic moment, the country, lagging behind the developed world for many decades, has transformed fabulously.*** Not only its material, but also cultural achievements are striking in scope. Looking today at how much has been done in all spheres of society in such a short period of time with such modest starting conditions, it is impossible to believe that it was done! But it's been done! Why? Due to what? I already gave an answer to this question earlier, and now I will repeat -

due to the new people's feeling -

the feeling of the owner, as

well as due to the leadership of the people by the highest power that worked for the people. Two songs of those years today can be called key, symbolic for understanding the nature of the successes of socialism: "My native country is wide" and,

perhaps, to an even greater extent, "March of Enthusiasts", which in Stalin's USSR became not so much a song as a program of action:

Should we stand still? In our  
daring, we are always right. Our work is a  
matter of honor, There is a  
matter of valor and a feat of glory. Are you  
leaning towards the machine, Are  
you digging into the rock, - A beautiful  
dream, Still unclear,  
Already calling  
you forward!

We have no barriers  
Neither in the sea nor on  
land, We are not  
afraid of Neither ice nor clouds ...

This is how, feeling the free infinity of her possibilities, she sang and thought "in the everyday life of great construction projects, in a cheerful roar, in fires and ringing" the Land of Heroes, the Land of Dreamers, the Land of Scientists, unprecedented in the history of the world!

Over a total of ten or two years of *relatively* calm peaceful labor, the builders of an unprecedented society have shown what people can achieve by burying the cadaver of private property in the ground and rejecting the private-property social relations of masters and serfs in favor of the collectivist and fraternal relations of comrades in ***life*** and labor! For some ten or two years, the world has seen and made sure how much *the people can do*, in which their leaders believe,

living in the interests of the people, and how much *power* can achieve if it really leans on the creative, constructive forces of the working masses, acting in the interests of these masses.

I already wrote that in 1948, in a memorandum by B. Wood, an employee of the Rockefeller Foundation, it was noted that the USSR gave the world an attractive example of a "successful development plan", while

while the US has not developed "no counter-plan". Well, the North American Evil Empire took into account the criticism and created its own counterplan for the collapse of the USSR and the withdrawal of mankind to ways of globalization destructive for the planet and humanity in the American manner.

But capitalism has not and cannot provide a creative counterplan for the world.

All this allows us to talk not about the collapse, but about the objective success of real socialism. in those short periods of time when it developed unrestrictedly, not yet poisoned by the betrayal of the "domestic" "elite".

In the summer of 1930, Stalin, speaking with the political report of the Central Committee to the XVI Congress of the CPSU (b), said:

"The great thing about competition is that it produces a radical change in people's views on labor, for it transforms labor from a shameful and heavy burden, which it was considered earlier, in a matter **of honor**, in a matter **of glory**, in a matter **of valor** and **heroism** (*everywhere allocated by Stalin*. - S.K.) ...

There, with them, with the capitalists, the most desirable thing, deserving public approval, to have an annuity, to live on interest. Be free from labor, which is considered a contemptible occupation. We, in The USSR, on the contrary, is the most desirable thing that deserves public approval, it becomes an opportunity to be a hero of labor, an opportunity to be a shock hero surrounded by a halo of honor among millions workers."

This was said not by a poet (although Stalin was not alien to a subtle poetic gift), but political leader. But what he said begged for a good song and song became:

Our work is a matter **of honor**,  
There is a matter **of valor** and a feat **of glory** ...

How politically, historically and humanly microscopic they look on against this social background, all the rantings of various "presidents", "premiers", "Secretaries of State", "Ministers", "Parliamentarians", "Commentators", "Experts", "Analysts" and other petty "masters" of "life", more and more impregnated with bacilli of social death.

Today, through the efforts of the post-Yeltsin Kremlin and rabid dogs from among the television executioners of the mind and soul, work in Rossiyanie is again perceived as a shameful and heavy burden, as a despicable occupation. Although even for Western liberals, the lessons of the USSR Stalin turned out to be useless in this respect. There, if they don't glorify labor, they don't blame it. More Moreover, in Western educational programs, voluntarily or involuntarily, the attractiveness of human creative activity is shown. It is another matter that no propagandistic sophistication of the world, where the stock exchange rules, is capable of bringing Labor to the position of the Lord of the World.

Work, by the way, really ennobles. Look at the facial expression a person engaged in any real labor process. Even not very attractive and expressive faces acquire significance and expressiveness at such moments, because a person is collected, concentrated, thinking, and all this is reflected in his external and internal appearance.

Today, in most countries of the world, a large share of GDP is created in the service sector, and this "kholuy-office" share is increasing more and more. So, in the USA in 1973 it was equal to 51.5%, in 1983 - 54.1%, and by the beginning of the new century increased to 75%.

In microscopic Barbados, by the way, this share is now even 76% (in fact "record"), but in Finland, for example, 56% (in "tourist" Turkey - 56.6%).

The world is assured that this is a natural process in a supposedly "post-industrial" global society, although in the United States, there is more and more talk about the need for a new industrialization of the United States, lordly scattered their "dirty" and unprofitable industries around the world.

But the intensified washing out of the life of the working people who are directly engaged in material production is by no means a natural process. This state of affairs encouraged by the world capitalist "elite" insofar as it is vital for them. ***People are deliberately taken away from material production to the service sector because the psychology and social attitudes of a worker and a lackey are fundamentally different, although both worker and lackey work.***

It is easier, more convenient and more reliable for the global "elite" to have a world where the service dominates, and not production of material goods. (However, it should be clarified that, for example, the development of software for the economy cannot generally be classified as a service, in contrast to for example, from the development of computer games, the production of pornographic films and etc.)

Today in the world, billions of people are deprived of the elementary benefits of civilization, and the world's production facilities are massively idle, not loaded. On the other side, the working week has remained unchanged for decades, or even creeping, sly, increasing while real paid holidays remain unchanged or even reduced, and raising the retirement age. But Marx proposed to measure the perfection of society by the amount of free time that society provides to its members.

for their development.

If world production capacities were fully loaded, if instead of surrogates like genetically modified soy, instead of dyed cheap ones harmful dyes of jeans, etc. the world economy produced mass quality products, and if the working day were reduced to four hours, then the need for labor force employed in material production would increase by two or three or more once. The production of goods needed by billions of earthlings, which these billions are now deprived of, would increase several times over. At the same time, wages could only increase - if the payments that a handful of in the form of capital gains.

What about the objective need for an increase in the number of teachers, doctors, scientists, and engineers on the planet? After all, now humanity is very, very lacking!

But an increase in the number of creative workers, as well as an increase in reasonable consumption to the size of the whole of humanity, carries a potentially deadly threat to the power of the "elite" over the world. After all, if working people feel themselves to be the most important strength of society, they can understand the redundancy for society of the "elite" consisting of idle owners. The "elite" cannot endure the general welfare, and the concentration of the creator is deadly for the "elite" - in contrast to the on-duty smile of the servant her or a crowd of footmen.

Of course, a normal society cannot fail to have a developed service sector. However it must be a sphere very different from the present one. The service may not be lackey, but friendly. But the basis of the welfare of society and normal social

Life can never become a Service, only Labor can do it. If Labor is in subordinate position in relation to the Service, the people are gradually turning into a crowd.

Indicative in this regard are the successes of Lukashenko's Belarus. Unfortunately, Lukashenko's political and human scale turned out to be smaller than the era requires. And so no less, his example shows that a direct appeal to the people and respect for the interests of the working people immediately give the highest state power and the leader of the country very broad opportunities for the constructive development of society.

This example of Lukashenko is instructive for all political and state leaders who want to serve the people, and not the desires of the "elite" and their own desires.

But the example of Lukashenko is also instructive for the peoples. He shows that if the people are capable of amicable constitutional removal from political power riffraff like the renegade Shushkevich and to replace him with a fairly honest, normal person, imbued with the interests of the country and the people, then the people thereby provide themselves not miserable, but a worthy life and destiny.

During the French bourgeois revolution, it was rightly noted: "The right to harm has never been associated with freedom." Around the same time they were these words were uttered, the outstanding figure of this revolution, Mirabeau, said: "I know only three ways of existence in society: a beggar, a thief and a worker.

From all that has been said above, it indisputably follows that the Russia we need is Russia, which once again placed on a pedestal the free Labor of workers free from robbery. Labor inspired by Vera's "Worker and Collective Farm Woman" Mukhina.

***In this Russia, newly acquired by us, thieves will never be able to turn workers in the poor, and the government - at all levels and all kinds, will be really, legally responsible to the masses.***

It can be said in another way, repeating what has already been said, that the Russia that we need, that we need to find, is Soviet, Socialist and, of course, Union Russia. once again uniting the peoples of the Russian geopolitical space around itself.

Any projects of "development", "revival", "modernization", etc. of Russia, which are considered within the framework of the Russian Federation, are inherently vicious, not vital and doomed to failure. Russia has a historical perspective only if it will pursue its domestic and foreign policy exclusively in the interests of the working people of Russia, namely:

- restore the constitutional rights of workers to the entire volume of the national wealth properties (subsoil, means of production, gross domestic product, etc.);
- through nationalization liquidates all positions of capital of privatization origin, as well as foreign capital in Russia;
- will ensure the progressive recovery and growth of economic, scientific and technological the economic and cultural potential of the country;
- will create conditions for the restoration of that interethnic, if not harmony, then other femininity and stability that were characteristic of the Soviet era;
- will ensure the guaranteed exclusion of the threat of any external aggression against Russia through a reasonable restoration of defense power and a full-fledged nuclear

living.

All these tasks can only be handled by the socialist and Soviet Russian Federation.

But if the Russian Federation becomes Soviet and socialist, it will be able to

- due to a wise line of conduct - to quickly and successfully restore a single union state in the form of a new USSR on a voluntary basis.

Therefore, any successful projects of modernization and development of Russia are possible for Russian Federation, ultimately only as part of the restored Soviet Union.

And these are not some "imperial" hopes. In addition, even the Russian Empire is an empire, in the classical sense of this concept, has never been - I have already spoken about this. Just Great Peter, who won the Great Northern War, received from the Senate the sonorous and such a "European" title of emperor. In its essence, Russia has always been not a conqueror, not a ruler, but a **gatherer** of peoples.

This was also already mentioned: yes, Moscow was precisely the gatherer of peoples and lands. We did not conquer the Crimea (under Potemkin, ordinary Tatars lived there more freely than under the khans), did not conquer Livonia, but returned it to the natural geopolitical borders of Russia. But - in modern terms, national-cultural autonomy under this was provided. Otherwise, by the beginning of the twentieth century, the same Balts and Crimean Tatars had already forgotten would have their own customs and languages, or even completely disappear as nationally distinctive communities.

We did not conquer the Caucasus of the same faith (Georgia and Armenia have long been asking for a Russian hand), but fought against terrorist-oriented wild tribes, which Turkey and England provoked to fight against Russia, as the West is doing today and USA.

Widely known are the assessments of Marx and Engels - who passionately hated tsarism - the progressive role of tsarist Russia in the Central Asian region, where the geopolitical vacuum would inevitably fill, if not for Russia, the same England.

Russia lay within its natural borders, without infringing on any of the peoples who linked their historical and national destinies with it. Rus' - from Brest and Odessa to Bely the sea and the Pacific Ocean preserved them, and Soviet Rus' developed and glorified them.

That is why the new, newly communist Kremlin will not only have the right, but also will be obliged to actively and consistently proclaim the ideas of a new reunification of peoples on a voluntary basis, of course. Proclaim as promising, saving for all the line, proclaim officially and unofficially, publicly and privately, proclaim, addressing the leadership of the national republics and directly to their peoples!

This is not just the right of the future communist Kremlin, but also its holy, holy **duty**, strictly determined by the entire thousand-year history of the Russian state. and the peoples who created and created it!

This is especially true in relation to the Slavic core of the Union and Russia - Great Russia, Ukraine and Belarus. Russia's future can only be shared with all three "branches" of the Russian national "tree".

At the same time, it does not hurt to remember that the long-term adviser to American presidents Zbigniew Brzezinski, in an interview with the Segodnya newspaper (No. 157, 1994), frankly admitted: "The Soviet Union was the historical Russia called the Soviet Union."

Correctly reflects the situation and expressed at about the same time, the opinion of the Western political scientist, sociologist and lawyer, former chairman of the Swedish Compliance Committee of the Helsinki Accords, Director of the Center for Refugee Research in New York, Nikolai von Kreitor. When asked about the main goals of Russia's foreign policy in future, he replied:

"The goals are very clear: this is the restoration of the Soviet Union in borders confirmed by international law, namely, within the borders 1945".

It must also be understood that the new Soviet Union is not only a decree era, but also the most legally justified in real time form of state existence of peoples within the Russian geopolitical space. WITH  
On the one hand, this is confirmed by the results of the union referendum in 1991, but moreover, by the fact that the West and the United States after 1991 actually destroyed the system of international law, having hastened to recognize the "Baltic countries" even under the existing USSR. With this, the West crossed out the results of the Helsinki Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe, which consolidated the European territorial "status quo". But this same West untied the hands of the future Russia, which is no longer obliged even from a moral point of view to look back at the West.

However, the new USSR is not the first, but the second stage in the acquisition by the peoples of Russia of such state of affairs in the country, when all public structures will act in the interests of workers, and not parasitic and semi-parasitic sections of society.

The first stage in our acquisition of a new Russia is the reconstruction of the Soviet socialist Russian Federation through the effective consolidation of all the healthy forces of society around the idea of new socialism and on the basis of the support of the renewed Communist Party of the Russian Federation.

It is hardly necessary now to dwell separately on the rational political structure, etc., of the new RSFSR - a lot has already been said about this in previous chapters.  
sections. But about one, extremely necessary, state feature of the new RSFSR and then the new USSR should be said right here. ***A woman organized into a women's organization of planning, control and assessment of the state of society, should acquire a constitutionally fixed position of one of the elements of the state being.***

All the vicissitudes of the last decades have given rise to the question of many: "Is it possible socialism resistant to social viruses?"

Well, today we can give a confident answer to this question: "Yes, it is possible!" A new socialism resistant to social viruses is already possible because, having recovered from a serious social illness (it can perhaps be called "Yeltsinoya"), socialism, firstly, must acquire reliable immunity to its relapses.

Secondly, as has already been said, the new socialism is the main condition for ensuring of its stability must make constitutionally and legislatively introduced reverse communication between the rulers and the ruled. The mechanism of these connections can and should be such that, as soon as possible, the removal from management of those who do not meets the requirements for managers by their position and people.

The new socialism is able to solve all urgent problems in a short time, including including ***the most important*** problem of the fair distribution of the social product.

I will dwell on this separately and in detail.

In a private property society, the profit from owning property is only its owner to the extent that he owns it (for example, depending on the number shares). The rest of the members of the society - not the owners - receive only more or less wages (ownership of several shares of the system essence of the situation in this case does not change).

In the past socialist society, the totality of income-generating property was formally owned by the entire society, but in fact the income was controlled by a layer leaders and officials of various levels (although they did not legally own public property and did not receive legal dividends from this actual ownership). At the same time, all members of society, including the stratum mentioned above, received only higher or lower wages, and the national income is not effectively redistributed through public funds.

As a result, irrational and dubious projects such as the diversion of northern rivers and the activities of dubious institutions such as Ministry of Water Resources of the USSR. These projects and institutions, without benefit to society, absorbed a significant part of the social product that could have been used for the benefit of society. for the personal consumption of the Soviet people.

In conditions where the legal collective owner was replaced by the de facto a group manager who does not own the property that he disposed of, it became possible and effective activity of the "fifth column" to undermine socialism in the form of the implementation of dead-end directions in science and technology, in economic activity. quality, etc.

In the new socialism, the efficient distribution of the total social The docta will have to acquire a more and more perfect character.

In the August issue of the journal of the USSR Ministry of Foreign Affairs for 1991, in the article "Psychological the burden of Soviet privatization," written by Joseph E. Kolb, senior vice president of the American Security Pacific Seacore Group, an development of global business and marketing, interesting ideas were put forward.

In 1991, Kolb proposed to make all Soviet citizens the owners and wrote then: "The Soviet economy belongs to the people. The whole question is how within two years to return it to the people.

This question is still relevant today. The American businessman was ready to make every an average Soviet family with property worth more than two million rubles in early 1991 prices (approximately 200 million rubles in 2011 prices) through certificates backed by all the national wealth of the USSR!

This meant, in the foreseeable future, a complete and final solution to the housing problems, achieving a very well-provided existence for every Soviet person, maintaining confidence in the future, etc.

Of course, a businessman from the United States thought in very narrow categories - a full-fledged and a full-fledged inhabitant of the Soviet Universe needs not only financial guarantees and certificates, but this universe itself. Nevertheless, the Kolb project was fundamentally different from cheating "voucher" projects of Chubais, etc. by the fact that it was in good agreement with the ideas justice and goodness.

Here's something to think about today!

In fact, the socialist economy can be imagined as a kind of giant supercorporation, the founders and "shareholders"-owners of which are all members of society. Therefore, some features of the corporation can be taken into a new, unshakably stable socialism.

So, for all available and valued in monetary terms, national wealth new Russia, it is possible to issue a certain number of "share" public (non-state) papers (they can be regarded as shares), which are distributed among the members of the society according to the principle of the same Kolb. These shares cannot be sold, bought or etc. As the individual matures and his contribution to the production of the total public product, its share may increase.

If each member of the new socialist society receives a certain "block" of shares, secured by the total wealth of the country, then he will be able to receive dividends annually from this **personally** part of the total **public** property and, **in addition**, this or that other wages depending on his personal contribution to the activities of the company.

Wages will be the monetary equivalent of personal **efforts**, and annual dividends will be the monetary expression of the personal **right** of each member of society to a part of the total public **property**.



And then the feeling of the master of the whole country will be **visibly and annually** reinforced by material confirmation of this right when each member of society is paid his personal dividends from his ownership of part of public property.

Every member of society will see that he is truly the master of the economy. Let his personal dividend will be small, but it will be his dividend. Public funds at the same time, of course, should also be preserved.

At one time, the West tried to give capitalism a more attractive appearance by issuing a few shares to workers - this was the idea of so-called "people's capitalism". Such a move did not make capitalism popular, but the rational psychological it had grain. So the new socialism must become truly popular socialism, where the right of every citizen to his country will be enshrined in a system of shares-certificates, giving the right to personal dividends from the activities of the national economy.

At the end of each year, the leaders of the state and the economy will have to publicly report to the country on the work for the year.

Then, in the course of general meetings held throughout the country of full-fledged "shareholders" (that is, all adult members of the society), a preliminary decision should be made as to how much of the public income should be directed where. How much to allocate private consumption through the payment of personal dividends on social capital, how much - for the development of the economy, the replenishment of public funds, the strategic reserve, etc.

The final decision must be approved by the people during the annual referendum.

This scheme is not easy to implement in specific economic forms, but to implement (most likely - through a series of successive adjustments) is possible. This scheme will also reliable guarantee of the stability of the new socialism. After all, now every member of society will have materially tangible, expressed in dividends paid to him personally confirmation that he is a partial owner of public public property.

In **a developed** socialist society (which so far in reality has never and nowhere has not been implemented) each member of society will work with full dedication just because that work will become for him the same need as the need to breathe, eat, move. In such a society, the just distribution of the total social product will become as simple as the problems of distribution are easily and simply solved in nice and friendly big family.

However, **for the transitional period**, taking into account the fact that a considerable part of society is seriously corrupted by Yeltsinoids, visible, understandable to anyone, the introduction of an approach to distribution by analogy with a corporation will contribute to the socio-economic strengthening foundation of the new socialism.

Further...

The political system of the new socialism in the new Russia must be built on the principle of a regular council of Soviet power with society. In this regard, it is advisable to use the experience of a number of foreign countries and, above all, Switzerland, where citizens sometimes up to ten people come to the polling stations to resolve certain issues and more than once a year.

Already in the 1950s, with a population of 5 million people, at the request of 30,000 citizens, referendums on any issues were **mandatory** in Switzerland, including: replacement of the Federal Assembly, changes in laws, etc. In the small cantons of Appenzell, Glarus and Unterwalden with a population of 40-60 thousand inhabitants, the general assembly of citizens was the legislative body! And this is not in the city, but in an area similar to our rural areas.

An interesting example is the United States, where in the 80s there were up to 18 thousand municipalities, that is urban settlements (from megacities such as New York to 5,000-strong towns) with their own charter, giving them the right to manage their own affairs **as long as** these cases affect **only the interests of the community** that makes up the municipality.

I would call this system the jug-pan-basin system. Everyday problems are poured into the "jug" of the community-municipality, and as long as the "jug of tyres" is not overflowing, the community itself solves its problems. An excess of problems pours into "pan" of the area where there are many "jugs". The "pots" of the regions, standing in the federal "basin", pour out an excess of their problems into it.

With the liquidation in society of the conditions of exploitation of man by man, the scheme "jug - saucepan - basin" and will be the realization of socialist democracy.

Each US municipality chooses **its own** power structure, although the main the systems are roughly the same in different places. In small towns, the system is often "Commission" when they are governed by a commission of several people elected by the general voting.

A very popular form of government in the United States (more than 50% of cases) is the Mayor - Advice". In 40% of cases, the principle of "City Manager" is used when the city there is a mayor, but the city council hires a manager who runs the city administration. The Municipal Council and the mayor are political bodies. They determine the general political line, adopt city ordinances and the budget, but the steward puts them into practice and bears responsibility for it. Actually, this structure is similar to the former Soviet structure "1st Secretary of the City Committee of the CPSU - City Council - Chairman of the City Executive Committee.

At the same time, an expert on the problem, French professor Roland Drago, reports in his book "Administrative Science", that the municipal administration of the United States "is trying, as as a rule, to act in close contact with public opinion.

Not a bad approach!

It will be extremely difficult to restore the planned management of the economy. IN In the USSR, a potentially very effective management system was created over the decades, many of the principles of which were implemented in the West more consistently than in THE USSR. ***In fact, the market today dominates only in the sphere of the global virtual economy, that is, in the sphere of anti-social scams of various kinds of stock exchanges with their artificial hype, with their game of "raising" and "lowering", etc. What As for the real economy: mines, mines, plants, factories, transport, energy, etc., their activity has long been subordinated to completely planned principles.***

Today, the planned economy in the Russian Federation has been destroyed and, which is especially regrettable, by twenty The qualified cadres who organized real planning in the USSR have aged for years. The restoration and then the positive development of the situation in the sphere of economic planning is one of the most important priority tasks of the future new Soviet Union. authorities.

Thinking about the new Russia, one must also ask, perhaps, the question: "Is economic category of mass honesty?" Remembering how much it costs society mass dishonesty, such a formulation of the question can hardly be considered naive, stupid and unpromising.

In general, about certain aspects of the life of the new socialist Russia, one can need to argue. However, we can only talk about certain priorities and proportions, but not about the main thing - does Russia have any other constructive alternative, except for the socialist one?

S. Kremlev. "Against the Kremlin. Beria is not on you!"

---

To such a question, History itself has already given and more and more insistently gives the only answer: "No!"

And now, here's what...

## Chapter

### 9 Can the army be out of politics

In Mikhail Poltoranin's book *Power in TNT equivalent*, which I have already mentioned more than once, quite a few pages are devoted to General Rokhlin, the history of his attempts to change the situation in the country, as well as the history of his assassination. Actually, the entire final chapter of Poltoranin's book entitled "LEV ROKHLIN, or OPEN, STALIN IS KNOCKING!" is devoted to the historical collision with the general.

Alas, Stalin did not knock on the minds and souls of the majority of "dear Russians" then, he reads them to this day.

And it

would be time! As for the chapter from Poltoranin's book, this reading, I must say, is sad, but not useful, especially for the generals and officer corps of the Russian armed forces.

forces.

No, I don't mean calls for the violent overthrow of the existing system, but so, for the coming dream, it doesn't interfere with reading a book "for people with a gun and rockets" ... Not in order to lose heart in advance, but in order to sleep better and so that in the morning, which is wiser than the evenings, you can wake up with a clear head and in a cheerful spirit.

Unlike Mikhail Poltoranin, who almost prepared almost a coup with Rokhlin, I knew Lev Yakovlevich Rokhlin very little - only on Duma cases of opposition to the "treaty" of START-2. However, I can confirm that Rokhlin was a good and honest person. And at the same time, he was, unfortunately, a politically naive person, which, presumably, let him down.

I recall the description of the successful anti-American military coup in Panama in 1968 led by General Omar Torrijos Harrer (1929-1981). Despite the seemingly complete US control over Panama and the Panamanian army, the CIA representative in Panama became worried only when trucks with soldiers drove through the streets of the capital. The American went to the General Staff of the Panamanian Army to ask the question - what, in fact, is happening? And the tension and awareness of success then resulted in a sharp answer from the young lieutenant: "Yes, a military coup, you fool!"

To General Rokhlin, as M. Poltoranin writes, the generals flew in helicopters for "gatherings" and even laughed when, during landing, they managed to throw Yeltsin's "treadmills" with binoculars from the pines to the ground.

Y-yes ... However, I do not intend to say that. What *was not*, is gone. But is it possible, speaking about the search for a new Russia, not to say at least a few words about the possible role of the

army in this search?

And in general about the army. The capitalist Kremlin regime does not need soldiers in Russia - the heirs of Suvorov's eagles and Stalin's falcons. He is akin to a two-headed crow and fat decoy ducks in the roles of Russian swans. Therefore, on the one hand, the "new Russians" are afraid of the participation of the army in political life on the side of the people and they want to convince everyone that the army should be away from political events. On the other hand, the Kremlin, having almost finished off the army as the most important public institution designed to protect the country from external threats, intends to appease the officer corps and thereby turn the Russian army into a means of potential suppression of

its own people. The regime is trying to chain the army circles to itself, and even directly drag those generals and officers who are inclined to trample on their officer honor into politicking. But

At the same time, the regime would like to turn the rest of the military from the idea of serving the people with the thesis of the supposedly "natural apoliticality" of the army.

Is it so?

It is enough to recall the classical thesis of Clausewitz that war is only a continuation of state policy by other means in order to understand that the main instrument of war, the army, cannot but influence the political situation by the very fact of its existence in society. A cursory excursion into world history shows that all major military leaders and generals were at the same time major politicians, starting from Aristotle's disciple Alexander the Great, the great Caesar, Pompey, Pericles, Hannibal...

Yes, about thirty years ago, the generals of the Soviet army had enough to do without politics: it was necessary it was necessary to assess the possibilities for the development of weapons, prepare and conduct exercises and inspection reviews, do not forget about the preparations for the winter of cabbage for the personnel, take care about housing construction in military camps, etc. Stand, clenching your fists, in front of the isuro finished bodies of boys and girls who met death at the dawn of their lives from various there were no other "militants", the commanders of districts and armies. And, of course, they could not imagine that their successors (and they themselves) would serve under a flag that together with the Nazi standard, their fathers threw them to the steps of the Mausoleum.

In stable times, the army of the working people should not be engaged in politics, but by its direct business - the improvement of combat and moral-political training. What about unstable times? And in an unstable society?

In unstable times, it is important to understand: to what extent is politics also a matter for the military? And are the military obliged to understand politics? Hereditary career military officer, Colonel Bogislav von Bonin, former Chief of the Operations Department of the German General Staff, former major military official in Adenauer Germany, spoke on June 20, 1955 in Munich like this:

"The type of senior officer who hides like a mouse in a hole is also bad, barely hearing the word "politics", and who knows nothing but blind execution of stupid orders, sometimes contrary to common sense, and even against one's conscience."

This is how an intelligent professional looked at the matter, even from the supposedly apolitical caste of German officers. Yes, and how can a representative of the profession, in which politics has been in the forefront, think and act differently? reflected the most?

If you think about it, at the origins of all the major events in the world political stories have always stood war! The English bourgeois revolution is also inseparable from General Cromwell, as the French - from General Napoleon Bonaparte. The United States of America was created not only, and not so much by the military efforts of General Washington, how much by its political efforts! Marshal of France Bernadotte had tattooed "Death to kings!" on his chest, but became the Swedish king Charles XIV Johan, and the "marshal" dynasty reigns to this day.

The hired commanders of medieval Italy - the condottieri, owned a political craft is no worse than most of today's professional politicians. For the imperial generals of Britain and Prussia, their political roles and duties are always were taken for granted.

The 20th century was no exception in this sense: Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek, Generals Tojo, von Seeckt, Ludendorff, Marshals Blomberg and Hindenburg, Imperial Chancellor of Weimar Germany General von Schleicher, "Commandant of Poland" Marshal Pilsudski,

Marshal Pétain, General Mannerheim - this is just a pre-war list of politicians of the first row from the military.

After the war, the situation did not change: the new France owes its rapid revival to General de Gaulle, General Eisenhower successfully served two presidential terms in such a "democratic-republican" country as the United States of America, etc.! We can recall the Young Turk officers and their

leader Kemal Atatürk, we must not forget the young officers of the Egyptian army, led by Gamal Abdel Nasser, who overthrew King Farouk in 1952, the Panamanian general Omar Torrijos, already mentioned above, who said that he wants enter not into history, but into the Channel zone, and who entered both the Channel zone and History. However, maybe only the peoples of the countries, so to speak, civilized, were

lucky? And in the "silo-paw" of Russia, semi-literate warriors could only shout with re-singing and rewinding: "For God, the Tsar and the Fatherland!" or - "For the Motherland, for Stalin!"? To listen to the former workers of the ideological front of the Central Committee of the CPSU such as the "Ostankino" "front-line soldier" former member of the Gorbachev Politburo Alexander Yakovlev - that's how it was, but ...

But it was said long ago (by a Russian officer, by the way): "To be Russian by birth and not to know Russian history means not to be Russian!" And those who know the history will remember: Prince Yaroslav the Wise both fought and administered, the bright prince Alexander Yaroslavich Nevsky not only beat the knight dogs, but was also an outstanding Russian diplomat.

The Cossack ataman Ermak Timofeevich knew how to be a politician, and the Cossack hetman God Dan Khmel'nitsky, who at the dawn of his military career, flashed with valor in Richelieu France, for decades almost unmistakably navigated the tight knots tied in Ukraine by the Commonwealth, Sweden, Turkey, Crimea, Wallachia, Semigradie ...

Russian pride, clever Pyotr Romanov, an outstanding commander and naval commander of a new, complex type, created a new Russian diplomacy in a new state. After all, he, and a warrior, and a diplomat, in such an episode, which every Russian should know.

Having entered into an alliance with the unscrupulous (and what to do!) Saxon elector and the Polish king Augustus the "Strong" (he bent horseshoes with his hands), Peter presented him with a precious sword. In a time of failure, Augustus betrayed Russia and concluded a secret peace of Altranstadt with the Swede Charles XII, and in confirmation of the sincerity of his betrayal he presented Charles with a Peter's gift. Peter's sword was with the Swedish king until the Battle of Poltava, and there - with all the royal belongings - again came to us. The former "ally" also ran over to us, and Peter, without saying a word, restored the union and celebrated it with a holiday. And during the feast he asked about the fate of the gift. August zayulil - they say, I save an expensive gift in his residence in Saxony. And then Peter, only moving his mustache, said: "Well, here you have *one more, new one in addition to that one!*"

And... gave the elector a trophy.

Under such and such a leader, his comrades-in-arms, "the chicks of Petrov's nest," fought well, while at the same time engaging in politics. Under the spiritual successor of Peter, the great Catherine, this tradition was only strengthened by the deeds of Rumyantsev, Suvorov, His Serene Highness Prince Potemkin-Tauride. And later it continued: at sea - by Fedor Ushakov, who stood at the cradle of the Ionian Republic, and on land - by Mikhail Illarionovich Kutuzov, who not only beat the French, but on the very eve of World War II brilliantly held (in the same places where the general treacherously "commanded" Lebed) first military operations, and then political operations. The result was a much-needed peace with Turkey for the Fatherland.

The participation of the Russian guards in the accession of Empresses Elizabeth I and Catherine II is classics of Russian political history.

Haven't actions (unsuccessful, but potentially quite successful) become classics? former Kutuzov subordinates, on the December Senate Square in St. Petersburg who stood up against Emperor Nicholas? You can't take away their political feeling in any way, but after all, the Decembrists knew how to fight.

The same must be said about the Minister of War, General and Politician Milyutin, who revived the Russian army, and about the greatest tactician and administrator, General Dragomirov, about the untimely deceased (not even forty-year-old!) General Skobelev, whom some did not unreasonably read in the leaders of the military coup!

As for the Civil War, the leaders of the "white" camp were exclusively professional military men: Kaledin, Krasnov, Kornilov, Denikin, Kolchak, Yudenich, Vrangel ... They tried to defend the wrong cause and remained figures in objective history odious, but they did not stand aside from politics.

The Soviet era, it would seem, divided the military and political functions into military sphere, but this was due to a sharp increase in the role of the latter. And the military leaders themselves, formed by the Civil, and later the Great Patriotic War, of course same, were the flesh of the flesh of the political situation.

External forces and internal stupidity have brought unstable times to Russia. Let's remember August 1991, October 1993! Let's remember Colonel Lebed, generals Shaposhnikov, Grachev, Evnevich and their "comrades-in-arms", or "accomplices". So or otherwise, but they also did not stand aloof from politics, violating their oath and becoming the executioners of their own people.

At the same time, it's time to clearly say this...

Any state system defines an attempt at **violent** change (overthrow) of the system as the gravest state crime, and this is understandable. Most anti-people, the most mediocre and most savage system wants to defend itself with all means, including legal ones. But an attempt to forcibly **preserve** the existing system (if it is not directly anti-popular) not only cannot be regarded as criminal, but is, in fact, a civil duty of every legally capable member of society. For the military, any of their actions to protect the existing constitutional order in the event of a threat of its violent overthrow are all the more sacred. legal obligation - by military oath! ***The only exception is the state of affairs recorded in the UN Declaration of Human Rights, when nations rise up against tyrants.*** This has already been discussed.

The White Guard officers waged an armed struggle by no means out of loyalty under the oath, because the tsar himself freed them from the oath, having abdicated the throne. On the other hand, Soviet officers and the leadership of the Armed Forces of the USSR in 1991, being legally obligated to defend the dying USSR by all means, became unambiguously passive violators of the oath, and some, such as Colonel Lebed or General Shaposhnikov, and active violators.

"Democratic" commentators enthusiastically sang their praises and chatted about civic responsibility and the "political courage" of the generals. But then what happened Undoubtedly, this is, perhaps, a piquant ***precedent for refusing to defend the existing government.***

Is not it?

And if political responsibility was demanded from the generals in a situation where even in an atmosphere of hysteria and provocations, everything ended in 1991 with three dubious victims transported along Novy Arbat, so why did the officers and generals of the Russian

armies should be Olympically impassive at the sight of millions of truly innocent victims of the regime? Don't they have the right to wonder - who is to blame?

There is no call for violent action in what has been said. But there is a call to think.

The destruction of the economy and the army, the debauchery of the people, the collapse of the country... Various television channels now and then broadcast serials or programs in which the Russian army vilely exhibited by a bunch of cretins ... And somewhere in the archives of the Russian Ministry of Defense are leaflets with the signatures of a considerable part of even today's officer corps under the oath according to which the generals and officers were legally responsible to the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics!

And no one released them from this oath!

I do not call anyone to anything, except for the fact that both military and non-military what they thought about. Is it possible to think in a state of law?

The painful problems of Russia are not solved with bayonets, no matter which way you turn them! However, the presence in the country of a "man with a gun" is a fact, and a fact that should be ignored impossible. Including the people themselves "with a gun."

It is also a fact that it is dangerous, immoral and criminal not in itself participation of the army in politics. For the people, nurtured and equipped by the people, the army is participation, on the contrary, is completely natural! Dangerous and criminal is the participation of "a person with a gun" in a dirty, anti-people policy.

But is it possible to define the policy of the current "leaders" of Russia otherwise than as an anti popular, if under their leadership, in an almost bare place in twenty years, to this day, bloody (just think!) battles flare up on their own territory, and the country is actually going into bondage to the West?

On respectable shirt-fronts and well-groomed hands of "Russian" politicians remains there are more and more bloodstains, and blood is alien to a real military man, because he is better others knows her price.

The people's army at the beginning of the twenty-first century should develop a philosophy not bloodshed, but reliable, politically and military-politically secured stability. However, at the head of formally legal (becoming, however, more and more illegal) political institutions of the largest state in the world, there are still people guaranteeing stability.

This is also a bitter fact, and no demagoguery of the media, even in led by hardened demagogues, this fact cannot be thrown out of our lives.

That is why, in the final analysis, honest, loyal politicians, but loyal to the Fatherland officers and generals, instead of worries about the peaceful day of subordinate troops, life itself forced to think...

About what?

Well, they see it better. And it is possible that the imminent reality of our days will be that the Russian army will be able to play an important positive historical role. role, not going to suppress the masses herself and not allowing the police to do it regime forces. The problems of Russia are solved not by water cannons, not by tanks, but by the recognition by the authorities of real responsibility to the people or by the replacement of this government by another government, ready for real responsibility to the people.

Even such a dubious historical figure as General Denikin was convinced of that the Russian army is flesh from flesh and bone from the bone of the Russian people. If you remember that Soviet history has developed this idea to the thesis "The people and the army are one", then we can hope that both the army and the people themselves, whose sons make up the army, will think about this most important moment.



In the end, the people chose their own blood-thirsty (thirsty, thirsty!) leaders. Therefore, he himself has the right and is able to calmly, without storming the Kremlin and the halls of the Aurora, send these "leaders" into political oblivion in a completely constitutional way. At the same time,

this or that participation of the army in the political process is somehow inevitable. In an open letter to Yeltsin's son-in-law, Valentin Yumashev, published in early 2011 by the Sovetskaya Rossiya newspaper, his former colleague at Komsomolskaya Pravda, journalist Yuri Geiko, writes:

"... And you, up there, believe that such your power will stand?

Believe. Believe, but be afraid. This

can be seen from the fact that you are preparing the police against us. That the army was promised a decent

salary. By the fact that it, the regular army (!), And not just the troops of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, began to receive rubber "democratizers" - batons and similar "pacifying" equipment.

Frightened by Egypt, the authorities began feverishly coaxing the army with promises: "Already next year, the lieutenant will receive 50 thousand rubles." The authorities realized that the loyalty of the army to it is a guarantee

suppression of the masses.

Well, over these words, too, does not prevent everyone from thinking. Including the army, which really seems to have only two ways in the future: either unity with the people, or, at first, butchery over them, and then an inglorious end, dissolution and vegetation on a meager pension, cut from tens of thousands rubles to the classic thirty pieces of silver. Finally, one more thing ... Mikhail

Poltoranin in his book, referring to General Rokhlin, wrote:

"The general did not have these thoughts (*terrorism, etc.* - S.K.). He relied on the UN-recognized right of any people to rebel against a tyrannical system. If the junta, which has usurped power, has blocked all democratic paths to regime change, the nation (*Nation or people? There are differences here.* - S.K.) has no choice but to drive out the enslavers by mass demonstrations, actions of disobedience, general strikes. . It was not I who said this, but the former faithful Yeltsin Poltoranin.

I'll just clarify something... As for the UN-recognized right of any people to rebel against the tyrannical system, it was said correctly and appropriately - this thesis really provides a legal basis for the active actions of the people (and the army!). But all this sounds beautiful in declarations, but in reality, people can easily run into a provocation.

Earlier I already said that, as a rule, a revolutionary situation is created not by the "lower classes" themselves, but by the "top" striving for power, and they create it in their own interests, and not in the interests of the people. **The people** (not the nation) need to understand this firmly - so as not to succumb to provocations. After all, mass demonstrations, acts of disobedience, general strikes, etc., do not arise by themselves. And if they are organized by half-men of all sorts, then there will be no use for the people. Proc will be one and a

half. Do people need

it? **So people need to be vigilant!** Or here -

Gennady Zyuganov ... For a long time he said that Russia has exhausted the limit on the revolution, and today threatens the Kremlin with a revolution. This is also a bad sign! If a real political vanguard of the masses is created in Russia (so far it does not exist!), And they

can only be a real (not Zyuganov) Communist Party, then it **will be able** to organize the political process in such a way that the replacement of the Kremlin regime by the new Soviet power can occur without violence and legally - without revolution. Of

course, Zyuganov, one way or another, is only able to talk about the revolution, but, I repeat once again, in the current situation in Russia there is no objective need to think about the violent overthrow of the *existing* system! The regime is already rotten enough to, **under certain conditions**, quickly collapse on its own or be replaced by constitutional means.

Another thing is

that the regime can take unconstitutional (as in October 1993) actions to forcibly, *against the will of the people*, preserve the existing system. And here the situation - including for the army

- can be determined by the UN Declaration. At the same time, one must know and remember that paragraph 4 of Article 15 of Chapter 1 of the current bourgeois Constitution of the Russian Federation gives priority to the principles and norms of international law over the internal laws of the

Russian Federation. That's about such a - acute - hypothetical situation and about his choice of the army in

it, you should think in advance. A?

## Chapter 10

### A couple of words - about the Russian leader and something else

The topic of Russian leaders and the future Russian leader deserves a book, but for now we will limit ourselves to one

chapter ... If people of action come to power in a society, then even in a bourgeois society they are able to quickly achieve even a small, but improvement, and not worsening the situation. Here is what Academician Yevgeny Tarle wrote, for example, about Napoleon:

"The 30-year-old general, who until now had never done anything except war ... turned out to be ... the ruler of one of the greatest European powers, which he did not know at that moment, and did not have time to find out ... Before him were mountains of old-regime debris and a lot of new ones ... a lot of started and unfinished, started and abandoned, started and taken back; everything was, as it were, in chaos and fermentation ... With curiosity and not

without irony, politicians experienced in business were waiting for how the young Corsican would emerge from these most difficult, confusing, most dangerous circumstances ...

Bonaparte began by organizing a new government... The poet Goethe correctly said about Napoleon: for Napoleon, power was the same as a musical instrument for a great artist. He immediately set this tool in motion as soon as he had time to take possession of it. First of all, he made it his task to stop the civil war ... and the extermination of the highly developed banditry in the south and north, closely related to this ... Robber gangs ... acquired the character of a huge social disaster ... The collapse and disorder in the police apparatus by the end of the Directory rule made these gangs almost invulnerable and their deeds with impunity. The first consul decided first of all to put an end to them. He dealt with robbery in some six months, but the main gangs were broken already in the first months of his reign ... He moved from one urgent matter to another: from robbers to the Vendée, from the Vendée to finances, and money in the treasury

(real, metal money ) didn't turn out at all - the management of the Directory led to a complete lack of money from the treasury ... The heavy hand of the new ruler ... speculators and embezzlers felt very soon.

Napoleon was not the leader of the masses, but still he was a man of action and rely on people did it! And already this, as we see, meant a lot for France.

The above passage could be offered as "information for thought" to the leading Kremlin officials, but this would hardly have had any positive effect - after all, they are not people of action, and even not people of their word. But for the future leaders of the new

Russia, it will be useful to comprehend the methods of leadership and management not only of Lenin and Stalin (this goes without saying!), but also the methods of the outstanding managers of capitalism Henry Ford and Ludwig Erhard, the experience of a number of major bourgeois reformers - the same Napoleon , Bismarck, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, de Gaulle... It does not prevent the new Russia from remembering

the Russian good girl - Tsar Peter. In the 20th century, some people called him "a Bolshevik on the throne", maliciously trying to emphasize the rigidity of Peter's policy, but in fact - additionally highlighting the greatness and history with this comparison.

the need for Peter for Russia. After all, Peter acted not only, and not so much with a club, as with his mind and was strong not only because he held a scepter in his hand, but primarily because he led a well-chosen "team" of "Petrov's nestlings". Yes, and Peter lived not for himself, but for the Fatherland, handed over to Peter. And at the same time, he knew how to launch a *hedgehog* into the pants of his comrades-in-arms - with a caustic and precise word. What is worth one of his famous Decree, which even in Soviet times smart people did not consider it superfluous to keep it in a conspicuous place in the office:

"I order the gentlemen senators to keep their speech in their presence not according to what is written, but only in their own words, so that everyone's foolishness is clearly visible to everyone."

Today, however, the foolishness of the "rulers" is manifested primarily in their deeds. But also in speeches. **No, a**

***new policy in a new country needs new people. And they exist in the country, but so far they do not have the necessary support corresponding to their social and state potential.*** It is clear that the top leadership layer of the "Kremlin" -

"Skolkovo" "state" is unsuitable for the purposes of competent management of society and the state. In fact, there is not a single bright, significant and creative figure in the leadership of the Administration of the President of the Russian Federation, the government, the Federal Assembly of the Russian Federation, and the regional leadership. Exceptions only confirm the rule. But all of these are still persons occupying the highest state position, and this cannot be ignored even by the

most potentially bright and saving for Russia possible social reformers. After all, mediocrity is still in the Kremlin, and clever women are most often still far from Moscow, and even more so from the Kremlin. The current "Kremlin rati" must leave, but how? Changing it by choice

As a result of the mass enlightenment of the people, it is possible, but the process of enlightenment may be delayed, and historical time does not wait. Therefore, we must look for other ways to change.

But how to find those new competent people who are still in the country in well-to-do, but which are unknown to the country?

What to do? How to find a way out?

While not very specific about my thoughts on this matter, I will only say that for everyone - for officials in the Kremlin, for the Zyuganovites, for the "new Russians", etc., and also - let's not forget - for the peoples of Russia, the USSR and the world there would be useful, perhaps, a variant of a broad social compromise.

A bad peace is better than a good quarrel, and this was well understood even by such an uncompromising person as Lenin, who, nevertheless, emphasized that even a very broad compromise can be made, it is important - in the name of what. If the ultimate goal is the political power of the working people and for the working people, then a compromise is quite acceptable, if it is possible.

This is about a possible compromise between those who have the ability to manage, but do not yet have the opportunity, and those who have the ability to manage and govern, but clearly proved that they do not have the ability to do so. The goal and task of a compromise is a smooth transition of management from one hand - mediocre capitalist, to another - talented socialist, without serious social upheavals, etc. Incapable of ***living for society and leading society in the name of interests***

***societies must give way to those who know how and are ready to live this way.***

As life itself has shown, all the last twenty "Russian" years have shown that bureaucratic Yeltsinoids, Zyuganovites, "new Russians", etc., do not have the ability to manage society in the interests of society, and not in the interests of certain "groups".

On the other hand, there are still forces in society that have a huge potential for social creation, but do not have the opportunity to realize it for the benefit of society in the conditions of "Rossiyaniya". Is it possible to combine the

capabilities of some and the abilities of others so that those with the ability get a real opportunity to take the country into their own hands - to take it without fail with the consent of the country itself?! At the same time, those who lost their opportunities could receive *compensation* from society - in the name of stability.

Is it really possible to ensure that those who do not have state abilities, but those who now have real state opportunities have moved away from "leadership", and would they have moved away in the most painless way for everyone, including the political bankrupts themselves, in a way?

Will these bankrupts compromise - even for a reasonable "compensation"? It's also a matter of questions. But it's also

time to ask. Those who

have been in session, failed and thieved have, by the way, a chance not only for decent material and financial "compensation", but also a chance for moral revival. They say that a fool who realizes that he is a fool is no longer a fool. So is a criminal, a scoundrel, a renegade who has realized that he is a criminal, a scoundrel, a renegade, is no longer quite a scoundrel. And it depends only on him that this "not quite" is gone from his life.

everyone.

For a person, if he has retained at least something human in himself, there is always the possibility of repentance. Gogol's Taras Bulba said about it this way: "But the last bastard, whatever he is, even though he was all covered in soot and worship, there is also a grain of Russian feeling in him, brothers; and someday he will wake up and grab his head, cursing loudly his vile life, ready to atone for the shameful deed with torments. This is not only wisely said. This is said in such a

way that it opens the door to a guilty deed to atone for guilt. Moreover, the current "bastards", who wallowed "in soot and worship", do not need to atone for their shameful deeds with torment - it will be enough just to take the actions necessary for the country. For those who repented in the new Soviet socialist Russia, there could be many interesting and serious things to do. Why, then, should anyone, but experience be wasted in vain?

Where can and should we start - if the necessity and constructiveness of a compromise will be realized by the authorities and capital in time?

A person who understands that he has reached a dead end in life, and who wants to get out of it, begins by holding advice with himself and - if he has any - with friends, relatives and loved ones. A society that has

realized that it has not even reached a dead end, but is moving towards an abyss, and which does not want to fall into this abyss, should also start with consultation with itself in conditions of unfettered discussion and genuine publicity. The concrete form of

such a council can and should be **the official national Round Table**, which has already been discussed in this book. And the very formation of the composition of this Round Table should become an event in the life of Russia. And a national discussion, if it is

properly prepared, can become one of the ways to identify competent leaders, up to a true national leader.

Belarus managed to find and support Lukashenka! One way or

another, we all need - *for starters* - to sit down for an open, public, live broadcast on all major television and radio channels of the Round Table ... It is necessary to ask the country questions and answer the country to questions that have never been officially asked to the country so far.

This should be a substantive "article by article" conversation, and not some kind of passionate discussion, carried out hastily. It is possible and necessary to gather various social forces around this table, but the Round Table can give rise to a creative, creative transformation of Russia only if two forces are represented at this Table on an equal footing, first of all: the current Kremlin on the one hand and Labor at the head with **the updated** Communist Party - on the other. In principle, a broad

compromise is possible. Is it possible in reality, will show the very future and already imminent reality.

Otherwise?

Otherwise, either the imminent growth of social collapse, or ... Or

- the uprising of Man to protect himself and all who, together with him, constitute a common stvo.

Yes, modern Man must rise up one way or another - not necessarily on barriques, but necessarily - in the depths of his soul, which is now being killed. If this happens massively and in an organized manner, both Man in man and Mankind itself will be saved.

Of course, a skeptic, reading this, may remark: "It is said with feeling, but ... But efforts millions are successful when they are led by an effective leader, but where can one get one?"

In Dumas's famous novel Twenty Years Later, the ex-husband of Constance Bonacieux, who became a beggar Mallard, told the coadjutor de Gondy that popular discontent would remain discontent if there was no person who would lead the people behind him. Well, organizing the

identification of a leader is also an urgent task of society. How can a true leader

on a national scale be revealed in our time? If he, with his views, even the most salutary ones, begins to walk around the bazaars, thousands will hear him. And only these thousands recognize him as a leader... Even at the largest rally, no more than two or three hundred thousand people will be able to hear him.

No, only the press, radio, television can reveal a figure of a national scale... But how can a potential real leader get a multi-million dollar platform if the "leaders" are not real in power? This problem is acute for a potential leader, but it is also acute for the people. How can the people, who have already been deceived many times, figure out: is this THE ONE? Is it HE? After all, in choosing leaders who

can improve life, not worsen, even those peoples who have centuries-old experience in choosing are mistaken ... And one of the features and troubles of Russia is that for centuries it had no choice, did not choose its leaders - except in 1917. This experience the peoples of Russia will have to restore, and the mind must be recruited quickly. Modern Russia made its first choice, in fact, in June

1991. And she did it in favor of Yeltsin, in which - out of inexperience, innocently believing provocations and skillful acting - she made a mistake. But how many times can you step on the same rake? If in peacetime a

real leader comes to power, he immediately achieves, albeit small,

but **an improvement**, and not a deterioration in life. He is not obliged to pour milk rivers into jelly banks in a jiffy, but to make life at least a little better today than yesterday, and tomorrow better than today, he is obliged. Can this be said about the current Kremlin "duumvirate"? It is sometimes said that every nation has the rulers it deserves. But this

is not about the Russian people. The same Napoleon, admired by

Russian soldiers, said that there are no better soldiers in the world **if they are properly led**.

Well, that's right: almost always the leaders of Russia were much worse than the country they had the good fortune to rule. In post-Petrine Russia, as competent leaders, one can only speak of Peter himself and, in part, of Catherine II. One can

only guess what even tsarist Russia would have done in the 19th century, with a personality with **a Russian** soul, but with the guiding and organizing potential of

Napoleon! It did not take place... Three, although to varying degrees, but mediocre Alexanders and one royal actor Nikolai - that's all that Russia received in that 19th century, in which the technological future of the world was laid.

We know what Russia did under the leadership of Lenin and Stalin. A brief assessment of the accomplished: "Russian miracle". Alas, after Stalin, the top leadership of Russia only degraded, now dropping its level below not only the plinth, but even below Chubais. Today, Russia

needs a leader of outstanding human proportions, but he cannot appear unexpectedly, like a meteor in the night sky. Russia must find it, recognize it and choose it! And before electing him by voting at the polling stations, choose him with your mind and heart - so that later you do not regret your choice. On the other hand, it is

necessary to consider in advance the mechanism **of the most severe** rejection of failed leaders. Without feedback, "triggering" the possible rebirth of the leaders, nothing will come of us again.

I think the minimum "qualification" requirement for the desired Russia potent a social leader could be the following, for example, his statement: "I

solemnly promise that I will take part in the electoral struggle for the highest office in the country only when such an electoral law is passed that will ensure the automatic resignation of the elected leader if he begins to violate their promises."

***Yes, before you elect someone, you need to decide how it should be dimity to recall and withdraw!***

The principle "If you don't give a word, be strong, but if you give it, hold on!"

This, by the way, also applies to the deputies of the State Duma. The potential elects needed by the people must first fight for an honest electoral law, and only then for the right to be elected according to this law, which is terrible for deceitful rulers. The future Russian

leader, a genuine candidate for genuine people's leaders, is obliged even before his election to declare that he must be placed under the control of the people and the law. But can the law automatically, without separate referendums, without meetings of the Duma and impeachments, dismiss a leader who has broken his promises? Perhaps yes.

For example, in the electoral law according to which the Russian leader is elected, it should immediately be clearly defined that each candidate for the Russian leader is obliged, even at registration - before the elections - to take a **public** oath, in which there will be the following, for example,

obligations: "I swear that if, after my election, I deviate from the obligations assumed by me in accordance with the normative electoral list, then I agree in advance to be considered automatically released from my duties in this case. If I resist this, I shall be considered outlawed." The guarantors here can be the media, whose

rights in this regard should be determined by law, and large production teams, and ... any citizen, if the supreme leader who openly violates election promises does not resign.

Yes, even one person can become the guarantor of the law if the "leader" who violated his public obligations under the electoral law is declared **OUTSIDE THE LAW**. Then any citizen will not only have the right, but will be **obliged** to kill the outlawed as

offender. It is unlikely that on such conditions the Zhirinovskys, Putins, Medvedev, etc. After all, then a case is possible when, **on the legal** grounds of the "ruler" will be **obliged** to shoot his own guards.

The electoral law must contain a normative list of two to three dozen questions to which each candidate answers in writing with the reading of the answers in a public speech on central television and with their subsequent wide publication.

Among the questions on the List may be, for example, such ...

\* If you are elected, will you raise the issue of replacing the Constitution of the Russian Federation with a new one? socialist constitution?

\* If you are elected, will you raise the issue of adopting a Law on such a Referendum, which could include any issue in the life of society, including changing the Constitution, the resignation of the President and (or) the Government, the Federal Assembly etc.?

\* Do you guarantee the territorial integrity of the Russian state?

\* Will you seek the voluntary reunification of the republics of the USSR within the borders recognized by the Helsinki Act of the Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe 1975?

\* If you are elected, do you guarantee nationalization and state ownership of the land, waters, forests and mineral resources of the Russian state in such a way that not a single private person or a group of individuals will not be able to own a part of the national wealth?

\* Will you guarantee the right of anyone who wants to work? For free secondary and higher education? For free medical care in any amount, required by the state of health of a citizen?

\* Do you guarantee the full openness of the state budget, the annual full article-by-article publication of its draft in the volume in which it was developed by the government?

\* Do you guarantee the exclusion of the export of raw materials, etc., if it is needed the country itself?

\* Do you guarantee that all income from exports of all kinds will be published annually and all of it will go to satisfy the needs of the whole people, and not individual its layers?

\* Do you guarantee the difference between the incomes of the first in prosperity ten percent population and the last ten percent no more than seven times?

\* Do you guarantee the liquidation of erotically oriented television channels and printed publications?

And so on...

Of course, such a procedure is needed primarily for the transitional period. In the future, the mechanism of election and dismissal should be defined in the new Constitution of the new RSFSR. However, during the transitional period, the issues of the normative List in the Electoral Law should form the foundation on which only a truly state leader.

Of course, the candidate may give a negative answer to the mandatory questions posed. And then let the people themselves decide whether to trust such a leader with their fate on some years.

Whose interests will the real national leader of the future Russia have to protect? There is no doubt that he will have to protect the interests of such a citizen who wants to and can receive no more and no less from society than he himself gives to it. cunning businessmen,



on stock market panics in one day making millions, these citizens are not included. The future Russia does not need them, just like the exchanges themselves.

And how should the leader of Russia treat the interests of other Russian peoples, in comparison with the interests of the Russian people (including here both its Ukrainian and Belarusian branches)? Here, too, there can be no hesitation: he will treat all (with the rarest, perhaps exceptions) peoples EQUALLY! But won't such a policy infringe on the Russian people? No. And the explanation

here is simple - today the Kremlin treats different peoples differently,  
and

the worst is the attitude towards the Russian people. That is why the same approach to all peoples is beneficial for the Russian people! Yes, over the past two decades, the "leaders" of Russia have treated different peoples differently. There are

many reasons for this, but each time the differentiation of the Kremlin's approaches to different peoples programmed destabilization.

In relation to, for example, the Caucasian peoples, on the one hand, a line of unemployment was drawn, and on the other hand, the Kremlin encouraged separatism and the infiltration of Caucasians into Central Russia in order to create an atmosphere of national discord. Indeed, in such an atmosphere it is easier to distract some Russians from social problems, which are the same for Russians and non-Russians. On the one hand, Caucasians

were driven into a corner and handed over to national political mafias; on the other hand, they were actually encouraged in their disdainful attitude towards Russians. And this last circumstance also showed that the Kremlin's attitude towards the Russian people is the worst. That is why the growing national strife has arisen in Russia, that is why Russians feel uncomfortable even in Moscow. The main blame for this lies not with the Caucasus, but with the Kremlin. Let's take the problem of the North Caucasus, the "problem" in quotation marks. The two main

The reasons for the growing destabilization there are: -

- the presence of capitalism in Russia, which is destroying the country's economy;
- the presence in Moscow of such a Kremlin that encourages capitalism and thereby excludes

It raises the possibility of stabilizing the North Caucasus and the whole country in general.

To this day in Russia, the activities of its unfortunate "leaders" are directly opposed to the interests of the Russian people, **first of all**, hostile to their national economy, politics, independence, prosperity and culture. But in other republics of the USSR, the problems

are similar - both economic and political... The organizational decision that will allow the plant in Lithuania to work well will ensure the good work of the plant in Tashkent. As, however, and vice versa. The task of preserving national identity is no less acute for the Tajik people than for the Latvian, Georgian, Moldavian and ...

And, note, the triune people of Russia. Only

Belarusians are in a better position in this respect. And for

For them, the problem of the future is no less acute than for the Great Russians, for the Ukrainians.

**Therefore, to build Russia for the Russians means to build it for all honest and skilled workers without distinction of language and customs. Another thing is that every nation, large and small, must build a new Russia, living in its own national "apartment", and not staying in distant lands as a guest worker.**

The real Russian leader must be and will be the leader of all the peoples of the future Russia, but this does not mean, of course, that Russia will subjugate other peoples by force, no. It's just that when the new Russia has a real leader, he will be able to quickly and clearly prove and

to show the rest of the Soviet peoples that only with Russia will they be able to look confidently into the

future. In turn, the national republics are necessary and important for the steady development of Russia itself.

## Chapter

### 11 Beria is not on you!

And now about why this book is called the way it is called. When they say: "Stalin is not on you!" or as an option: "Beria is not on you!", They usually mean the harshness of Stalin's policy in relation to any violations of the norms of public life - from street hooliganism to treason. Like, if there were Stalin or Beria, they would have shown you! Moreover, without clarification, it is clear **to whom** they would show ... It is clear that they mean thieves, corrupt officials, bureaucrats, traitors, capitalists, corrupters of souls and bodies, falsifiers of history, etc., etc. Honest workers: worker, peasant, an engineer, a scientist, a doctor, a teacher - they are not afraid of the return of Stalin or Beria to our reality. For ordinary people, their return would be a boon.

But is it really so true that people "missed a firm hand", that they need it? This is stupidity, which can only be born in the twisted brains of the "Russian" "intellectuals" of the Radzin type.

No one in their right mind and clear memory aspires to a tough attitude towards themselves. **People need not tough, but smart and honest leadership.** After all, this was the main thing in the leadership of Stalin and Beria, and the rigidity of their style was not organic, it was explained by the rigidity and cruelty of the era. Not Stalin, not Beria, but the humanist Gorky said: "If the enemy does not surrender, they destroy him." And Russia had enemies - outside and inside it - in the era of Stalin and Beria, there were oh so many. And they acted against Russia by no means in white gloves. Stalin, Beria and other members of the Stalinist "team" had to answer the same enemies. But if the basis of the Bolshevik leadership style in relation to the people were shouting, threats, violence, then nothing would have come of either the Bolsheviks or Russia led by them. The basis of the Stalinist style, like that of Beria, was, I repeat, a clever and honest approach to the tasks and problems of life.

People need not tough, but smart and honest leadership. They yearned for him. And that is why they increasingly remember Stalin, and now also Beria, washed from dirt and lies, Stalin's best manager. And the more stupid and vile they "lead" the peoples, the more often they remember Stalin and Beria - not in longing for the whip, but in longing for words and deeds worthy of Russia. That

is why I, the author of this book, do not put a "punitive" meaning into its title. "Beria is not on you!" - not a warning to the current "elite". Like, it's a pity there is no Lavrenty Pavlovich, he would erase you into camp dust! Moreover, Beria never erased anyone into the notorious "camp dust", and he didn't use such a dictionary either - slanderers attributed it to him only later, when both Stalin and Beria were killed.

No, I meant something else ... "Beria is not on you!" - this, I repeat, is not a threat to the current "elite", but a statement of its managerial impotence and unsuitability. On the other hand, Beria, the best manager of the 20th century, could show everyone what can be achieved in a country like Russia by leading a people who can perform miracles **with the right leadership!**

Of course, a large part of the current "elite" is showing just examples of skill, deliberately destroying the country. There are enough "moles" in our life. They fully understand what they are doing, and what they are intended for, they do well. At the same time, most of the current "elite" is primarily mediocre. She is destroying the country not because

has such a task, not because he hates Russia or is indifferent to it, but because he is professionally unsuitable!

Unsuitable - unlike Stalin, Beria and other associates of Stalin in work in period of Russian history, the most impressive for its achievements.

At the end of 2009, interviewing me on the eve of the 130th anniversary of I.V. Stalin, the correspondent of Moskovsky Komsomolets asked an interesting question, which, like the answer to it, was not included in the newspaper publication, which is a pity. I was then asked: "What would Stalin do if he found himself in our time and at the head of Russia?"

Well, the question was asked, as they say, in essence. And in its subtext lay, of course, another question - they say, how much blood would be shed **this** time?

Catching, of course, such a subtext, I began by saying: "If someone thinks that Stalin would have started with repressions, then he is deeply mistaken." Repressions

in the Stalin era were not its organic feature, but a forced state necessity. Yes, they turned out to be redundant, but it is not Stalin's fault, and certainly not Beria's fault, that this happened. Beria, having headed the NKVD after Yezhov, set in motion the reverse process - tens of thousands of cases were reviewed, at least two hundred thousand people were released. Thousands of commanders were returned to the ranks of the Red Army and the RKKF alone, including the future best commander of the 20th century, Konstantin Rokossovsky. In 1939, Beria even introduced into the staffing table of the NKVD of the USSR such a unit as the Bureau for Receiving Complaints. As for the repressions,

they were explained by the presence of numerous, massive, real nye internal and external enemies of the country of socialism, and active, active and skillful enemies. For example, the former Kolchak or Wrangel, who in 1919 was twenty years old, turned thirty-eight by 1937 - the age, as they say, is reproductive. And they did not sleep on the eve of the big war. They hunkered down and prepared. But most of the potential "fifth column" could not meet the Germans with bread and salt, because they slurped the camp cabbage soup in the deep Siberian rear. By the beginning of 1939, the mass counter-

revolutionary stratum had been eliminated, and repressions as a mass phenomenon quickly vanished before the war. As soon as the social situation made it possible to abandon the repressions, Stalin immediately refused them, and the same approach was characteristic of Beria. Beria was generally characterized not

by a repressive, but by an encouraging approach, and as an encouragement, he considered not only awards and orders, but also giving people the opportunity to maximize their abilities in large and important matters.

As for the "repressions", if Stalin and Beria had not been vilely killed, then a new wave of them would not have been long in coming, but these would not have been the same repressions as at the end of the 30s. By the mid-1950s, the main threat to the future of the USSR was not open and hidden political opponents, but the prolific bureaucrats, officials, degenerates and self-seekers. They did not need to be sent to the camps, they just had to be returned to their "primitive state", thrown out of the leading "nomen klatura" chairs by the collar. This is what Stalin and Beria intended to do in March 1953.

It did not work out, the skinners preempted

them. The current situation in Russia is such that there is no need for the competent state authorities, who want to bring the country out of the crisis, to resort to repressions, if we mean executions and imprisonment. At the disposal of the competent authorities today there are a number of quite effective non-repressive ways to improve the social situation and neutralize anti-social forces. Television alone, cleverly and constructively used, presents great possibilities in this respect.

Therefore, I am convinced that Stalin, after becoming acquainted with the situation, would not have started with repressions, but from holding a nationwide Round Table, broadcast on TV Denia.

Stalin would have started with a consultation with the people.

Beria would have started with this. Objective arguments proving anti-people and mediocrity of the current Kremlin, a lot has accumulated. And if at the national television screen live in front of Russia turned out to be on the one hand Stalin and Beria, and on the other hand, the former Kremlin "elite", then ... Then the whole country would become it's clear what a fool she's been playing by allowing this "elite" to power and hoping that these miserable mediocrity is able to do something good for the country and the people ...

On that "elite" and would have ended - without any repression. Former "rulers" down the street they couldn't get through - the people themselves would spit on them.

And only then Stalin, and even better - Beria, we would be instructed - the country and the people for good. Moreover, the leadership of Beria would be even more fruitful than the leadership Stalin. And that's why.

At the first plenum of the Central Committee of the CPSU, which took place immediately after the end of the XIX Congress VKP(b), which transformed the VKP(b) into the CPSU, Stalin said that the work of a minister in the USSR this is hard peasant work, and it should be done by people younger than Comrade Stalin. Beria was exactly twenty years younger than Stalin. So, he would have - and the cards in his hands!

Job By pulling out Russia from twenty years old

The "liberal"-"democratic" capitalist "bog" cannot but be *an oxen*, seven-veined peasant work. And Comrade Beria, with his enormous talent as a socialist manager and his efficiency, would be very useful to us in this matter.

That is why my book is called "Beria is not on you!"

Beria is not on you - to show how it is possible and necessary to work in such a great and rich country like Russia!

Beria is not on you to show how it is possible and necessary to work, leading such a great people like the Russian, which is the best in the world **with the right leadership!**

Beria is not on you! Already he, with his energy and bright head, could take in his the country ruined by the Yeltsin and post-Yeltsin Kremlin, would be able to assemble an intelligent "team" devoted to the Motherland and people, would be able to give all rights in Russia to the healthy, the creative forces of society and inspire reasonable hopes in the people, now driven by the Kremlin to stupid social apathy.

Beria could in a matter of years show the country and the world what a real a man of action, heading Russia - the former second and potentially the first power in the world, inhabited by potentially the most talented and active people on the planet.

Yes, no, gentlemen, comrades, Beria, so that, against the background of his talent, your foolishness to everyone was clearly visible.

There is no Beria on you.

And we don't have Beria...

And what do we, comrades, have?

Well, there is our Russia - here and now. And there is - whether we want it or not - tough dilemma: "Either socialism, or cataclysm!" Like it or not like it, but Russia, if it wishes to continue in the future, has no other alternative than the socialist one.

The Soviet government created a huge margin of safety not only for pipelines, rails and power lines, but also created a unique margin of safety in the field the humanity of society. The material heritage of Soviet power has already been worn out by 70 and more than a percent. Much of the spiritual heritage of Soviet power has also been destroyed. However

all the liberal "reforms" have not yet killed in the mass of the people the capacity for compassion, for unity and for mutual cooperation.

At the same time, a lot has been destroyed by the anti-people Kremlin over the past twenty years, the forces of destruction and social meanness have become very strong over these twenty years. and rot.

We need a new socialist Russia. But is it possible, or have we already passed the "point **of no return**" and are now only moving towards a social catastrophe of one kind or another? scale with certain, but necessarily horrifying consequences?

Are we late?

Already today we need to gather all our forces into a fist, but we have neither the strength, nor a fist, nor a confident hand, stretched forward, indicating the right course, in which a part of confused, partly distrustful and apathetic masses.

Today, the most important question is not even the question "What to do?", But the question: "WHO to do?"

The social situation in "Rossiyaniya" is so depressing that they come by themselves the words come to mind: "**There were worse times, but there were no meaner ones.**" These words describe our reality with the utmost rigidity and accuracy, and the key word is "under the ley".

Eh, where are you, Comrade Beria?!

There are plenty of hard times in the history of Russia. And compared to them today it is not necessary, **at least not yet**, to talk, for example, about famine (although in a number of regions, dystrophy phenomena are noted), about epidemics (although their probability is constantly is growing), about the wide spread of blatant poverty (although we already have more than a few examples of it), etc. So, we have had worse times than the present ones.

The situation is different with the scale of social meanness - there is nothing more disgusting and baser than now in the history of Russia. The level of public meanness is determined first of all, the propertied "elite", as well as the "educated" sections of society. The pre-revolutionary Russian ruling "elite" was distinguished by particular impudence, and the pre-revolutionary "racist" "intelligentsia" was not poor in the traditions of social conciliation and servility. However, the "post-Soviet" "Russian" "elite" and "intelligentsia" left their long-time predecessors are far behind and have broken all world records of social and historical meanness.

Everything "red" is declared black, but everything black and vile is declared immaculate white. Unscrupulousness becomes a life principle. Anyone who allows himself the luxury of behaving like a man, remaining himself, is increasingly turning into a red crow in an "educated" environment . Truly: "There have been worse times, but there was no meanness!"

But when were these angry words spoken for the first time?  
That's it!

These words themselves are an exact quote from the first part of the "Anniversaries and Triumphs" of the satire Nikolai Alekseevich Nekrasov "Contemporaries" (1875-1876):

I took the book, having risen from sleep,  
And I read in it:  
"There were worse times  
But there was no meanness."

I threw the book away.  
Are we with you

Sons of such a century,  
Oh, my friend-reader? ..

Of course not! Of course not! Our  
Zoil is slandering . The  
footman brought a bundle of  
newspapers; I eagerly opened them

Bypassing theft and fire And  
a number of suicides,  
I meet the word "jubilee", I read  
the list of faces ...

<...>

I went to the telegraph yesterday.  
Footmen,  
coachmen, Dispatches lifted up,  
Crowded there in the morning.

Large words flash: "The hero is  
many years old ...", "Rejoice,  
Eagle! ..", "Be proud, Moscow! .." "Hello to  
Berdichev ..."

<...>

Such was the "elite" Russia of the second half of the 70s of the XIX century. Nevertheless, it is enough to replace coachmen with drivers in Nekrasov's lines so that the lines look like they were written yesterday.

But what kind of book did the great Russian poet have in mind? Where did you find such accusatory words? They belong to one of the characters in the story "Happy People", written by Nadezhda Dmitrievna Khvoshchinskaya, who wrote under the pseudonym "V. Krestovsky. As if about the present day of Russia, then it was said:

"The devil knows what is being done of us. We are grieved with envy, we console ourselves with hatred, we become smaller - at least look at us through a microscope! We feel that we are falling, and we laugh at ourselves ... Huh? Is it true? There were worse times - there were no meaner times!

All this was said about capitalizing Russia in the second half of the 70s of the XIX century. And any allegedly educated "Russian" of an academic persuasion can immediately notice that after such a bitter statement, Russia experienced a rapid growth of industry, economy, etc. So, it turns out that the "Zoils" were not very right?

Alas, they were right! And  
in order to be convinced of the correctness of the old denunciations in relation to tsarist private property Russia, it is enough to recall the report of *the nobleman* Gurko to the Fifth Congress of the authorized united *noble* societies in **1909**. In my books I have

---

<sup>1</sup> Zoilus is a captious and unfriendly critic (named after the ancient Greek orator, known for his attacks on Homer).

quotes from this report more than once, but they are among those that can and should be repeated  
ryat and repeat over and over again (especially since the quote below is quite relevant):

"Without exception, all countries are ahead of us by several dozen times. We were even ahead of the Balkan states. The consumption of pig iron in the United States is 14 poods per capita, in our country it is 1 pood. The consumption of coal in the United States is 238 poods, while in our country it is about 10 poods... State debt... Our loans, unfortunately, are largely external loans ( inside *Russia, the authorities could not borrow anything from the impoverished people.* - S.K.) . That golden tribute which we annually pay to foreigners lies upon us as a heavy burden. By accumulating external debts, we pass the payment for the satisfaction of our needs to future generations and at the same time increase our economic dependence on other peoples. The vital juices of the country have been depleted."

And here is the final assessment of tsarist Russia, given in 1924 in a private letter abroad by the largest Russian metallurgical scientist Vladimir Efimovich Grum-Grzhimailo (1864–1928). I have also cited it more than once, but it is also not a sin to repeat it (including also as a topical one):

"The whole nation was sick, from the day laborer to the minister, from the beggar to the millionaire - and, perhaps, the intelligentsia was more infected than the common people. She was the spreader of this infection of laziness and laziness... I was always afraid... that foreign interference would prevent the Russian people from being healed of the disease that the Russian people fell ill under the stupid rule of the last Romanovs..."

The social illnesses of privately owned, half capitalist, half landlord Russia were so severe that even people who seemed to be obliged by their profession to have a developed sense of history and be able to make correct predictions, from some moment began to believe that the disease was fatal.

In support of what has been said, I again, once again, remind my fellow citizens the excerpts I have already quoted from the diary of the academician-historian, director of the Rumyantsev Museum Yuri Vladimirovich Gauthier (1873-1943) for ... July 1917, emphasizing once again that it was written in three months before the Great October Socialist Revolution, even during the reign of the bourgeois Provisional

Government. And again, it was written as if today:

"The fate of Russia, having died an iguanodon or a mammoth, is to turn into a weak and poor state, which is economically dependent on other countries ... Soul and heart are taken out, all ideals are broken. There is no future for Russia; we have no present and no future. Life remains only to feed and keep the family - there is nothing else. The final fall of Russia as a great and united power due to causes not external, but internal, not directly from enemies, but from its own shortcomings and vices and from the complete atrophy of the feeling of fatherland, homeland, common solidarity, the feeling of "holy union" - an episode that has little analogies in world history...

... We are really fit only to become *manure* (*bold italics mine.* - S.K.) for the peoples of higher culture ... The Russian people are a defeatist people; that is why such a monstrous phenomenon is possible,



as cash among purely Russian people - people passionately desiring the final defeat of Russia. Defeat has always occupied Russians more than victory and triumph... ...An unusually

ugly phenomenon - the absence of Russian in general and, in particular, Great Russian patriotism. In the so-called Russian state, there are all sorts of patriotisms - Armenian, Georgian, Tatar ... - their name is legion, - there is not only all-Russian ... As if the Great Russians, who at one time created the now dying Russia, were completely exhausted ... "

This assessment turned out to be completely erroneous **for that** historical period! Twice an academician (of the Russian Imperial Academy of Sciences and the Academy of Sciences of the USSR), an outstanding historian, was wrong on all counts of his forecast. Reality refuted the pessimistic assessments of Yuri Gauthier in less than twenty

years! But what saved Russia from the fate of a dead iguanodon or mammoth? What prevented it from turning into a weak and poor state, standing in the economic dependence on other countries?

Who breathed new strength into the soul of Russia and made her heart beat evenly and

powerfully again? Who gave her new ideals and ensured the great future

of Russia? History has answered these questions. In almost instant historical terms, the socialist idea and the Communist Party of the Bolsheviks were able to do all this in Russia, relying on everything healthy and living in Russia and creating new, healthy and living masses who believed in themselves and in their leaders.

The party of Lenin, Stalin and Beria was able to revive Russia **almost instantly**. She believed in the people, and the people believed in her.

At the beginning of the book, I cited the thoughts of the Russian metal lurgist Vladimir Efimovich Grum-Grzhimailo and Vladimir Ilyich Lenin, referring to the 20s of Russian history, echoing each other. At the end of the book, I will repeat them again, because they deserve it, including as good information to think about the future of Russia...

Vladimir Efimovich Grum-Grzhimailo wrote the following about the process of creating a new Russia in 1924:

"... The heads of the revolution, of course, knew where they were going, and now they are slowly but steadily squeezing and shaking the public, forcing the loafers to work. Their task is difficult, so difficult that one should be surprised at their patience and endurance. The process is long, painful, but necessary. It depends on its successful resolution whether Russia will remain an autocratic state or become, to the delight of our "friends", a colony and a colored race, **manure** (highlighting in bold italics, as in a quote from J.V. Gauthier, is mine. - S.K. ) for the prosperity of civilized peoples...

....The iron law of necessity forces us to learn to work, and we will learn to work. And if we learn how to work, then we will be both rich and cultured. Then we will bless the revolution and forget all the grief that it brought us with it. I consider the modern system

historically necessary for Russia. The Romanov Empire brought up a disease in the Russian people, which ended in an explosion - a revolution. The modern government is slowly but steadily leading the Russian people to recovery. Treatment is always

painful, the medicine is always bitter, but you have to take it and do what the doctor orders. As bitter as it is

for us, I am quite sure that the disasters we are experiencing will make us great and bold, cultured working people."

And so it happened!

But why?

Yes, because, and **only because**, that Russia rejected the old private property ideal and believed in the socialist ideal, making it a reality. And also because the socialist leaders of Russia spoke to her directly and honestly, and said things that gave rise to energy and hope among the most active and developed part of the masses.

They spoke as Lenin did, addressing the peoples of Russia:

"The war gave the Russian people a bitter, painful, but serious science - to organize, to be disciplined ... To learn how to work - the Soviet government must set this task before the people in its entirety. We have the material both in natural resources, and in the reserve of human strength, and in the wonderful scope that the great revolution gave to folk art in order to create a truly mighty and abundant Rus'.

Russia will become such if it casts aside every despondency and every phrase, if, gritting its teeth, it gathers all its strength, if it tenses every nerve, tightens every muscle ... "Go ahead, collect stone

by stone a solid foundation of socialist society, work ... on creating discipline and self-discipline, organization, order, efficiency, harmonious cooperation among the forces of the whole people—such is the path to the creation of military and socialist might. We do not need hysterical impulses. We need the measured tread of the iron battalions of the proletariat."

More than ninety years have passed since these words were first addressed to Russia, during which at first all the predictions of Academician Gauthier did not fully come true, and then, from some moment, they again acquired a character, although gloomy, but very exciting. possible, an unmistakable prophecy. After all, today it is precisely what they intend to make of us **as manure** for the prosperity of "cultural peoples", peoples of "higher culture".

Do I need to explain once again - why at one time the forecasts of Yuri Gauthier did not come true foxes? And why are they relevant again in our time?

And is it necessary to remind once again what kind of Russia we need to find, so that this time the old "academic" forecast did not come true?

**New Russia can be found! You can have a new Russia!** But it can only be socialist, and not privately owned Russia. And such a Russia can quickly enough become a natural systemic leader of the world in its progress towards common sense and world socialism.

Today we have no Lenin, no Stalin, and no better manager of socialism, Beria. But there is Russia! But we are! So who is stronger - **we**,

the people of Russia, or *they*, there, in the Kremlin? I think we are stronger. And there is only one pity - Comrade Beria is not on them now.

## Chapter 12 And yet: how can we live on?

Quite a long time ago, during the frenzy of "perestroika", the words rang out in Russian society: "It is impossible to live like this any longer!" By "so" was meant life in the Soviet Union, life with Soviet power. Well,

Russia began to live not "like this", but *like that*...

And it has lived that way for twenty  
years

already. So what? After all, we cannot live the way we "live" **now**. Bananas are in every store  
all year round, but there is

no happiness, citizens. And under  
the current Kremlin will not. And before it  
was - albeit

without bananas. Perspective? Well, the most realistic prospect is multiplying misfortunes, and already  
and at the same time - without domestic gasoline, etc.

But it is not so difficult to return happiness to Russia without depriving it of - to hell with them  
- bananas and other imported edible and non-edible junk. So something

like that, but still: how do we live on? This

question has been answered more than once in my book, and a brief summary fits  
in several lines:

"If we want not to die, but to live, we need to look and go forward into our bright  
*the past*, it is also our bright **future**."

Today, socialism and communism are called utopias. Utopia is a novel by the English statesman and humanist Thomas More (1478–1535), written by him in 1516—almost five hundred years ago. In his novel, More criticized the social structure of *contemporary* England (which is usually forgotten), but most importantly, he painted in the novel a picture of an ideal society based on public property. More described it in a very interesting way, but did not indicate the real paths to it, hence it went: well, they say, this is a utopia - a beautiful, but unrealizable dream. In 1949, again, the English writer George

Orwell (1903-1950) published perhaps the most famous novel in the *dystopian* genre "1984", where he described a certain state of Oceania, whose mottos were: "War is peace", "Freedom is this is slavery", "Ignorance is strength". In Oceania there was a Ministry of Truth, whose task was professional lies; the Ministry of Peace, which prepared the war; The Ministry of Love, which sowed hatred, and, finally, the Ministry of Economics, which had no more "humane" tasks than the first three ministries. Liberals of all stripes and nationalities assured and continue to assure that Orwell had in mind the "totalitarian"

USSR, but this statement is worthy of the Ministry of "Truth" in Oceania. After all, the very name of the country invented by Orwell points to the United States of America! They are located on the planet between the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. Moreover, Orwell himself once remarked:

"A simple book like *The Iron Heel*, written a little over thirty years ago,  
contains a much more accurate prophecy than *Brave New World*..."

*The Iron Heel* is a dystopia already by Jack London, describing the history of the establishment of the bloody world dictatorship of Capital - the Iron Heel, which only after

century was replaced by a free communist society. And Brave New World, written in 1932, is yet another dystopia by yet another Anglo-Saxon, Aldous Huxley (1894–1963). In Huxley's "new" (note - capitalist) world, automation, standard, lack of spirituality dominate, but there is no place in it for feelings of love, motherhood.

By the way, in the real United States today there is an idea of replacing the words "father" and "mother" in documents with "parent No. 1" and "parent No. 2" - so as not to embarrass one-hollow "parents" who take foster babies. Thus, a gloomy fantastic prognosis becomes a fact in the world of Capital.

What is interesting is that not a single major Western master of the word has written a dystopia on a communist theme - all dystopias in one way or another describe a privately owned, capitalist society, and this is not accidental. Capitalism is the social antipode of a rationally, *humanly*, organized society. Perhaps today, Russia is beginning

to understand this in its own skin, which, having succumbed to the calls of Yeltsin and various Oleg Basilashvili, began to live in 1991 *that way*,

*that is, not human.*

I mentioned Basilashvili not by chance. On April 13, 2011, a certain Lyubov Borusyak talked with the vice-president of the Liberal Mission Foundation (I wonder where the money comes from?) Igor Moiseevich Klyamkin, a figure who was popularized in every possible way during the "perestroika" years. Quite a typical (that is, gray and narrow-minded) liberal, Klyamkin recalled Basilashvili's frank statement: "We supported Boris Nikolaevich very much. We brought him to power, and then let him do it. He knows what to do." Yeltsin was led and

brought to power by no means by "intellectuals", but, no doubt, they greatly contributed to this drive (see Part 2 of this book, Chapter 7, Sections 6 and 7). And Boris Nikolaevich began to "do".

And *I did*. All over Russia. And now the Kremlin heirs of Boris

Nikolayevich are already doing it. However, I digress, let's return to our bright future, that is, to the bright past. It was an amazing period in the life of Russia - the years from the

beginning of the 30s to the middle of the 50s! It was then written and absorbed into young souls: "The Soviets have their own pride - we look down on the bourgeoisie" ... Behind these words are the great deeds of the new Russian people, our direct ancestors. After all, Russia has already lived like a human being, and

sometimes you find confirmation of this in the most unexpected way. The book of the former first secretary of the Chernigov Regional Committee of the All-Union Communist Party of Bolsheviks, twice Hero of the Soviet Union Aleksey Fedorovich Fedorov, "The Underground Regional Committee Operates," was quite deservedly popular among readers of the Soviet Union. So, the famous commander of the partisan formation cites in it a letter that was going to be sent to his father-in-law in Berlin by a well-groomed lieutenant captured by the partisans of the Chernihiv region, or rather, a businessman hiding behind a military uniform, specializing in establishing commercial ties in the occupied countries. Recently re-reading Fedorov's

book, I looked at this letter with a completely new with a glance and I cannot but acquaint my fellow citizens with at least extracts from it:

"After three months in Ukraine," wrote the German lieutenant, "I finally realized that in this country many years of human and my professional experience is of no importance. This is recognized by all thinking people. Officers also..."

The lack of comfort is the first thing that struck me. In big cities, in particular in the capital of Ukraine - Kyiv, I stayed in first-class hotels. There I found decent, well-furnished rooms ... But

comfort is made by people. In this country, a rich man can become desperate. There are no ... well-trained servants. In France and here in Berlin, the best lackeys are Russian White émigrés. Those of them that our army took with them are being used for other purposes ... "That's how! "Dear Russians"

are told what a beautiful country Tsarist Russia was, in contrast to the "slave" "Sovdepiia", and the European bourgeois, having even got into the occupied part of the new Russia, complains that it is impossible to find a decent lackey in it.

Can.

Y-

yes ... But further -  
more! The lieutenant wrote:

"Everything here is absurd. To understand what is happening, you need to walk on your hands. In France, in Belgium, in Poland, two days after the army passed, one could find business people - smart, efficient businessmen who understand that time does not endure and capital should not lie idle. A Frenchman, a Belgian, a Norwegian, a Pole can be a patriot at heart and hate me as a German. But if he is a merchant, or a manufacturer, or a banker, or even just an official, you can always find a common language with him. He needs me just as much as I need him. I propose a

batch of peasant haberdashery. I take care of the railroad promotion... He offers wool or oil, or, finally, as was the case with our colleague in Athens, participation in the organization of brothels for soldiers. In Russia, they don't offer me anything. I do not find merchants, I do not find

manufacturers and even officials who have commercial connections. I can't sell our peasant haberdashery... This is unheard of! ... For three months I have not met with a single decent Russian - one to whom the company could open a loan. Russian, or as they see fit here

call, Ukrainian, the administration, that is, the people whom our military attracted to participate in the management - oh, this is a total pig!

These are criminals, these are bandits who have returned from exile, released from prisons. All or almost all of them say that they were rich people in the past ... Only the oldest of them know how to bite off the tip of a cigar. The rest immediately put it in their mouths, and I always make fun when they can't light a cigarette ... "

Reading this and much more in the letter, I could not believe my eyes. The text was so flattering to Bolshevik Russia and so revealing to the world of capital that the letter could have been considered a fake by the then party apparatus, if not for the abundance of such specific details that rule out forgery. I will limit myself to one more extract:

"In France, in Belgium, in the Netherlands and Scandinavia, at the head of the government and burgomasters, we keep politicians known to the layman. Deputies and former ministers are persuading their people to obey us. But imagine that in France the communists would be in power, these politicians without property, how could they then be involved in the management of the occupied territory? Would they collude with us?

Our occupying authorities did not find a single popular Russian, not a single well-known politician who would go with us. Deputies and leaders of the party - in the underground, in the army or at the head of partisan detachments (*like Fedorov himself - a deputy of the Supreme Soviets of the USSR and the Ukrainian SSR. - S.K.*). We call them, we promise them land and estates, we promise them power and wealth. But these people have been brought up in contempt for property: they can only be destroyed!"

If a lieutenant-merchant, shot by partisans, came to "Rossiyania" or "Ukraine" to establish commercial relations today, he would remain satisfied and would arrange his *geshefts* in the best possible way. After all, now people are at the head of the peoples, in everything opposite to both Alexei Fedorov and the commander of one of the companies of his detachment, Sidor Romanovich Gromenko, a former collective farm agronomist, and then head of the control and seed station in Chernigov.

Gromenko kept a diary. After the death of the author in March 1942, the notes were handed over to Fedorov, who partially cited them in his book. Here are two of them:

"February 2. No, this time and love for the Motherland make us commanders. At least Fedorov. Where is he from? He is a working man, and when yesterday he was hewing logs for the dugout together with the soldiers, he became so cheerful. Worker and peasant are always builders. And we are still accustomed to see the future. War, of course, is not the main thing in life. *February*

8. Re-reading War and Peace. I don't understand these people. They do not think at all about the future, how they will build life after the war. They don't talk about work at all."

If you think about it, in such an assessment of the refined characters of Tolstoy's novel by the new Soviet man, in an extremely concentrated form, the whole depth of the difference between the old tsarist and the new socialist Russia is fully expressed.

Fedorov recalls in the book a conversation with Gromenko in the late autumn of 1941. Gromenko returned from reconnaissance to his native village and excitedly said:

"What shocked me. After all, Soviet life continues here in the forest, and the people and the relations between them are all Soviet ... And we never clearly imagined the restoration of capitalist relations ... before the war in our schools, in Komsomol and party organizations, in our literature, hatred of capitalism not enough instilled ... I read a lot, I like to read. But our writers did not push my imagination, they did not show in any book what a horror this restoration is.

capitalism..."

This was said in the autumn of the first war year, and published for the first time almost immediately after the war. As you can see, what became a reality in Russia half a century later, in 1991, worried smart, sincere people who were rooting for the State for a long time - already in 1941. During the war years, the new socialist Russia lost several million citizens who were so necessary for its future as Sidor Gromenko. Last but not least, and therefore the restoration of capitalism in Russia has become possible, and its results have already turned out to be more catastrophic for Russia than the Nazi occupation.

So how can we live on? The

answer is given by our history itself: "We must again live like a human being, that is, in a Soviet way." To live again in such a way that once again it would be possible, without boasting, but with a legitimate sense of dignity, to say: "Soviet people have their own pride ..."

It is possible to live like this - proudly, and at the same time - richly. The new socialist Russia is not Utopia. Even today we have everything for this! If you think about it, in Russia for a long time there has been a process of accumulation of such outwardly imperceptible factors that allow almost instantly, jump, to pass from the negative, capitalist, situation to the positive, socialist.

Now considerable production capacities are idle or not working at full capacity in the country - they must be used. Farming in such a paddock what to raise it  
You can also quickly - you just need to apply your mind, heart and skillful hands to this matter.

Today, hundreds of thousands of our specialists work abroad - scientists, engineers, pilots, sailors... I think many of them will want to return to the new Russia to work there for her and for themselves, because in the new socialist Russia everyone will work for themselves - even when they work for everyone.

The lion's share of the national income (albeit a *jackal* in essence) today goes to a handful, and if all income is returned to its rightful owner - the people, then Russia will immediately will live much richer.

Today, the riches of its bowels, waters and forests are leaving Russia for next to nothing, and even when we need them ourselves. Well, you can raise prices for something - let the West pays, but you can keep something in the country - you yourself have to.

Almost everything - food, clothing and footwear, services, goods for a long time consumables, housing - we overpay nimble businessmen two, three, or even five times compared to a commercially reasonable price. Therefore, as I already wrote, even in the conditions of the inevitable confusion of the transition period from capitalism to new socialism retail prices can be reduced for a start by at least a third, and this will immediately increase the standard of living, especially given the fact that wages can and will need to be increased, for not only are we overpaid in prices, but we are also underpaid in earnings.

Of course, at the first moment it will become empty on the shelves. But again refrigerators will be full, and there we will fill the counters, but not stuffed with preservatives imported rubbish, but a healthy domestic product.

It will be possible to quickly get both abundance and a reduction in the working day with an increase in wages and paid holidays. It will be possible to travel to Egypt, but not the former "office plankton", but a normal honest worker.

We can do a lot of things in **the new** Russia, looking back with surprise at the former own stupidity.

But nothing can be done in the current "Rossiania" - there will be less and less happiness in it and more trouble. And people will be more and more ready to hate the current regime and its personification - the current Kremlin.

However, we should not master the science of hatred. We must learn to despise this regime and figures representing him. First of all, they are mediocre and meaningless - even more than Bironovism during the time of Anna Ioannovna.

But sometimes you want to howl like a wolf at the thought: "How much we could do, on benefit yourself and the world, if you lived the last twenty years wisely! We would have lived in Soviet Russia... Precisely, in Soviet Russia, and not in partocratic Russia!"

Yes, the last twenty years in the history of Russia are our most mediocre years, wasted in vain. Instead of increasing what we have, we have lost and are losing even what had. However, the experience of mistakes is also valuable, especially since not everything in this mediocre two decades was exclusively mediocre and negative - to take something into our new a bright future is possible - the same, for example, cozy cafes, which were so few in the Brezhnev USSR.

Smart people learn from the mistakes of others. Stupid - on their own. And only notorious, inexistent Righteous fools don't learn from anyone's mistakes - not even their own. But we're not round idiots, are we?

Of course, it won't be easy for us. At least

three new generations of professional semi-ignoramus have already been brought up with only high school diplomas. Millions of young people are not only not accustomed to creative work, but by the state itself weaned from it.

The list of losses and disasters can be continued, but is it necessary? The time for a complete recount has not yet come. Yes, it won't be

easy for us. Well, the eyes are afraid, but the hands are doing. And here we

must again return to the factor of the Communist Party. Only

an organized political vanguard in the form of an energetic Communist Party can lead Russia to the right path. And this avant-garde must set itself and the country the task of a convincing victory in the parliamentary elections. In Russia, this is a completely feasible task for a real Communist Party. In 1995, the Communist Party of the Russian Federation achieved such success in the elections

to the second Duma that it became the largest parliamentary faction, although it did not even receive a simple majority. If the leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation behaved like a communist, and not a conciliator, then in the third Duma the communists could get this simple majority, and with it the opportunity to really change the situation for the better. However, the opposite happened - a complete rollback, the number of deputies from the Communist Party of the Russian Federation in the third Duma sharply decreased, and in the fourth they became even smaller. This is not the collapse of the idea of socialism. This is

the result of Zyuganov's leadership. Today, on a purely "protest" vote, the Communist

Party of the Russian Federation is able to get again more than a hundred seats in the new Duma, but the Zyuganov leadership will not be able and will not want to build on its success - this is clear in advance. It is more convenient to quietly negotiate with the Kremlin. It seems that the leadership of a number of regional committees of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation *is already* secretly serving the regime and is almost subsidized by it. Thus, the high salaries of a number of first secretaries of regional committees clearly do not correspond to the financial capabilities of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, etc. The situation in the regions is very mixed.

Here are sample data on 20 regional branches of the Communist Party of the 81 available.



<b>Региональное отделение КПРФ</b>	<b>Число избирателей</b>	<b>Число членов КПРФ</b>
Астраханское	764 445	1 395
Башкирское	2 983 875	3 305
Белгородское	1 228 634	2 581
Брянское	1 044 039	2 764
Владимирское	1 214 806	1 187
Волгоградское	1 954 152	7 241
Дагестанское	1 465 852	5 651
Кировское	1 131 324	1 301
Краснодарское	3 851 636	5 460
Ленинградское	1 302 796	2 441
Мордовское	662 650	1 272
Московское	5 529 531	7 567
Московское городское	7 063 640	6 122
Нижегородское	2 716 999	2 583
Новосибирское	2 118 487	2 612
Санкт-Петербургское (? — С.К.)	3 387 181	2 158
Саратовское	2 008 845	2 167
Тверское	1 158 503	1 312
Челябинское	2 740 544	1 296
Ярославское	1 066 516	784

I invite the reader to analyze these data for himself, only reminding him that in total there are 154,244 members in the ranks of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation today, with a total number of voters (that is, citizens over 18 years old) in the Russian Federation of 108,088,232 people. Members of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation make up 0.143% of the voters.

In the USSR, with a total population of approximately 300 million including infants, the CPSU had over 18 million members (approximately 6% of the total population). If we recall the results of the 1991 referendum on the preservation of the USSR, then even in the Baltic republics the percentage of those who voted "for" approached half, and in the RSFSR there was a qualified "communist" majority in more than

two-thirds.

Today, with the most severe pre-election information terror, and in many places even administrative pressure, about 20% of voters vote for the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, even under the leadership of Zyuganov, and often even more.

And this is an important fact! The stratum of members of the Communist Party among the population of Russia decreased in the Russian Federation in comparison with the RSFSR by dozens (up to fifty!) times, and the number of those voting for the communists decreased by no more than three, or even less times.

And this despite the fact that those who do not go to vote at all - the reserve, in fact, the Communist Party. Almost all of those who vote even for United Russia are also, by the way, a reserve Communist Party - if it becomes worthy of the name "Communist".

Already such, "on the fingers", the calculation shows that the victory of the Communist Party in the parliamentary elections is quite real if the leadership of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation will have communists, and not compromisers, and ***if such a Communist Party is actively supported in its election campaign efforts of non-partisan healthy forces of society.***

So far, the situation is ambiguous. So, in 2011, the Zyuganov leadership of the Communist Party started another allegedly large-scale action - the collection of signatures during the "people's referendum".

Tough - right up to leaving the Duma hall - to raise the question of the need to adopt a full-fledged Referendum Law before United Russia and the Kremlin Zyuganovskaya faction cannot. It is easier to imitate "work among the masses", and the questions of the "referendum" are rather social democratic - within the framework of "capitalism with a human face" - than munistic, rejecting capitalism in general.

As for the results of the collection of signatures as of May 2011, the percentage of those who took part in the "referendum" ranged, for example, from 0.28% of the total number of voters in the Kaliningrad region to 7.98% in the Oryol region, with an average of RF - 1.58%.

In Moscow, this percentage was 0.19%, in the Moscow region - 3.8%, in the Tver region - 0.32%, in Kostroma - 2.29%, etc.

And in the parliamentary elections, the percentage of those who speak for the Communist Party of the Russian Federation increases by dozens of times.

What is the reason for such discord in arithmetic with the support of the Communist Party? Of course, primarily in the policy of the current leadership of the Communist Party. Therefore, to the question: "How can we live further?" - you can answer like this: "To live, fighting for a renewed Communist Party, as a tool future victory in the struggle for a new Soviet power!"

No one has been ordered to participate in this struggle - neither the broad masses of the people, nor the "blue" trade unions, nor the masters of culture, including the two-meter tall Nikita Mikhalkov and Alla Pugachev, nor members of the Russian Academy of Sciences, nor the Russian Orthodox Church, nor mass media, neither the army and the navy, nor the members of the "parties" "United Russia", "Fair Russia" and the Liberal Democratic Party - after the dissolution of their "parties", nor the oligarchs - after transfer (more precisely, the return) of their fortunes to the public property, nor to Mikhail Nikiforovich Poltoranin, not Vladimir Volfovich Zhirinovskiy, not even the current inhabitants of the Kremlin.

It would not be superfluous, by the way, to participate in this struggle and Gennady Andreevich Zyuganov "with comrades" ... But, sorry, - no longer in the first roles.

I invite you to reflect on what has been said. After all, the way we live, continue to live it is forbidden.

And you have to live!

And, frankly, I want to.

## Instead of an afterword

In 1998, I wrote down the following lines in my notebook:

Cold water spring It is useful to  
wash in the morning. To  
understand - what are you doing with  
yourself, Dear country, it's time!

To understand - why do you give to  
the flour of sons, daughters?  
To think - what are you selling, Trading  
your fate?

In a mixture of care and deed  
You lived for many years,  
And sang funny songs, And  
did not lie to your children.

Although the rostrum lied more and  
more, History was making a  
move. But troubles were approaching  
the eve, With a cursed mark year.

That - Marked thief, then - Fingerless,  
And whole packs of thieves ...  
Did you really suffer a little, My  
dear Fatherland?

.....

There are less and less unclear  
questions, The thread of salvation  
is thinner... Will it be possible to wash  
Russia with the blood of the Ross's own?

13 years have passed since  
those days. There are no more unanswered  
questions. The thread of salvation turns out to be no thicker than the horsehair on which a sword was  
hung over the courtier from Syracuse, Damocles, at a feast. And  
blood is shed in Russia more and more often and more and more senselessly. But even the blood does not wash  
the eyes of millions of social blind people.

However, those who see clearly appear, and there are more and more of them. And it is possible that the  
sword will not fall on the "country of birch chintz", on our dear, beloved Russia. So far, Rossiyanina is feasting -  
feasting during the plague sent to us from across the ocean,  
from the Kremlin, from the spiritual vaults of the "Russian" "intelligentsia".

However, at every feast - a hangover. It will  
come after all. But alas,  
not tomorrow...

So far, the political dead like the "Russian" united "democratic" "party" (RODP) "YABLOKO" still claim to participate in the life of Russia ... So far they put forward "updated" programs for building a fair market society (these "programs" are as real as the ideas of raising morally pure girls, par don, in a brothel) and claim that "the communist system has led the country to a dead end." RODP YABLOKO flourishes its program with the words "people", "justice" and etc., but the essence of this "program" is the preservation of the private property of idle property cov.

So far, Mikhail Poltoranin, who has "metted" three times, is still talking about the rights of the "Russian nation", trying to combine in this concept two incompatible things - the "elite" and people. But the essence of his "ideas" is as wormy as the wormy "RODP" of Yavlinsky, who wish to have Russia as a juicy apple with capitalist worms inside.

So far, Vladimir Putin can still nominate someone who simply does not climb into any gates (even "Pokrovsky" or any Kremlin) "idea" about some "All-Russian popular front.

Front against, sorry, whom? And who should unite in this front? How can unite wolves and sheep? Billionaires and retirees? Executioners and victims? Prostitutes and nuns?

Usually, broad political fronts are organized by progressive forces against an incompetent government that is destroying a country. However, now this power is personified by The Kremlin and the "ruling party" United Russia. How does the leader of this "party" imagine fight against the Kremlin? And on what ideological basis? Maybe based on a "new plan Putin" - as absurd and hypocritical as "Putin's old plan", and just as based on the "sacred right" of private property?

The Kremlin prime minister is trying to flatter the workers, but even here he is organically scornful disregard for Labor, declaring that "everything rests, by the way, on their *hump*" ...

Could Lenin or Stalin have said something similar? Addressing the workers directly, they said: "The whole world rests on your shoulders, comrades! And so the world must be in workers' hands.

We live in amazing times. For example, a member of the State Duma from "ruling party" "ER" Vladimir Medinsky talks about "comprador power", being himself flesh of the flesh of this power and entering into it. It looks like the deeds of this "authority" are bad, even if its landsknechts are forced to wave almost the banner of Stalin - in words, in words, of course, but still ...

And someone talks about some new form of manipulation of public consciousness - "Twitter revolution", which, based on Internet technologies, will be carried out first in the minds "advanced" "dear Russians", and then - with their help - through the cities and towns of "Russia Research Institute". But against all sorts of "Mr. Twitters" there is a simple remedy - to ask them: "Are you for Soviet power, for socialism, for the USSR, or for a 'rule of law', a 'civilized market' and other supposedly 'democratic' tinsel?" And then "Twitters" over we will not be in control.

The well-known "analyst" economist Mikhail Delyagin is nominated by some forces for the role of almost the ruler of thoughts, although his "understanding" of the world and Russian situation does not goes beyond the "market" mechanisms", that is, instead of a broad view, it demonstrates its obvious narrow-mindedness. In a May interview with Komsomolskaya Pravda in In 2011, Delyagin talks about why "there is not enough gasoline in the oil country", and argues that "business is just a profit-making machine, and the main duty of the state is to put it in such a framework that it profits from progress, not cannibalism."

Well, the confession as to what "business" is is quite frank. As for the rest, one can only shrug. Do economists like Delyagin understand that the "machine" of business always profits from cannibalism, but in modern conditions the cannibalistic aspect of business manifests itself in the countries of the "third world" (now in Russia), and that is why business can dress up in the clothes of progress in "developed world"? Delyagin sees the way out of the oil crisis not in the establishment of a new Soviet power and the subsequent nationalization of oil production and oil refining in Russia, but in the granting of "real

powers" to the Federal Antimonopoly Service – as if idle owners do not even spit on the President of Russia, who has formal powers" - "above the roof"! Delyagin is sure that it is not necessary to reinvent the wheel, but to do what "thanks to what the developed world could become developed." But after all, the developed world has become "developed" not least because at first it plundered Asia, Africa and Latin America for centuries by military force, and now it plunders them (and Russia to boot) using

neocolonial methods, that is, economic methods, without neglecting, however, , if necessary, and by military methods. If we look even further into the depths of centuries, we can understand that the development of the "developed" world was ensured by the tragedy of Russia, which shielded medieval Europe from the invasion of the Wild Steppe. This is not a loud phrase, but a historical fact. In the local history museum of the old and lovely Russian city of Torzhok, I was struck by simple rusty scissors and an equally rusty padlock with a brace.

Scissors are like scissors - they differ from modern ones only in very strong rust. The padlock is the size of a palm, just like a padlock, almost the same as one can still buy in a rundown hardware store. However, the scissors and the lock were made by Russian craftsmen of the 12th-13th centuries, shortly before a wave of total violence and destruction swept across Russia. In Europe, then door locks, if they did, were the size of a head. And in Russia - from the palm of your hand (before the steppe "fire" burned it out). Advanced Rus' perished in that era, giving Europe the opportunity to develop. As a result, Europe has really become

"forefront", but is it really worth it to rest against the seemingly Russian person Mikhail Delyagin on the "market" base of its progress? And is this "progress" really so solid if Mikhail Delyagin, discussing world problems with his colleagues on a "business" television channel, complains that the liberal model of the

economy is reaching a dead end? To a dead end - that would be nothing! But it seems that the liberal world of the virtual exchange "economy" is gradually going into either a peak or a "flat" tailspin from which it is no longer possible to get out. Russia is also being pulled there. And

so far, Russia is feasting during the plague, and Satan rules the show in it. And so far, misunderstanding is replaced not by understanding, but by just another type of misunderstanding. **And against the backdrop of the vile, cannibalistic orgy that has**

**been going on in Russia for twenty years, 0.2% of "Russian" families have raked in 70% of the national wealth.**

Where to go?

And what to do?

Perhaps, to fight for the understanding of all the people where they are being led, where they have already been taken and how it might end.

So that this *ends* well, and then - even better the new Russia *begins* , I wrote his book - in the hope that it will help to replace misunderstanding with understanding at last .

Only those who think clearly and honestly are able to work out a clear and honest program of **action**. Without smart actions, you cannot have a smart future, but smart actions are always the result of smart thinking. The Arabs say: "An arrow flying past the target has a hundred

thousand ways. An arrow flying at a target has one. And if the people want to achieve what **they** need goal, he must follow the only path - to the new Soviet power and new socialism.

Russia needs them, not that shell of lawlessness that floats in the ocean of stupidity. Only the flame of understanding can dry up this ocean and run aground iniquity.

In the struggle for understanding, my book was born. It could be made more compact noah, but it was possible to expand it by adding new arguments and facts to the existing ones.

But why? Perhaps that has been said enough. He who has eyes, let him read, who has Let him hear ears, having a head, let him think.

And he who has a heart, let him agree.

And I'll end up like this...

Speaking about a possible new Russia, we must remind the whole world and, above all, us themselves that RUSSIA is a SELF-SUFFICIENT POWER! Self-sufficient even today when in many technological positions *we were* again three behind the developed world four decades.

The global position of Russia is determined by the fact that it is the only state of the world, capable of ensuring its existence within the Russian geopolitical space (RGS) at the expense of predominantly (or even exclusively) internal resources: natural, civilizational, economic, technological, human.

The following definition of RGP can be given:

**"The Russian geopolitical space** is a systemically integral complex territories, historical, civilizational, economic, political, military-political relations and interrelations, characteristic of the social life that has developed in for several centuries, groups of peoples and nationalities united around the triune Russian people and, above all, around its Great Russian branch.

The stability of the RSE provides a constructive historical future for both the Russian core of the RSE and the national components of the RSE. This unique civilizational field, in which only the peoples who created it have the right to dominate, has quite specific, historically established, **natural** geopolitical boundaries.

In the West, this is a wide coastal strip of the Baltic Sea (Baltic) and regions with an ethnic predominance of the Russian-Slavic population (Belarus).

In the South-West, these are Ukraine and Bessarabia-Moldova.

In the South and South-East - the Caucasus, Zakazkazie, covering together with Turke the camp of the Caspian Sea, and Central Asia.

In the East, these are the Great Russian Siberian and Far Eastern regions, including Sakhalin, Kamchatka, and the Kuril Islands.

With regard to the latter, it must be said that it is rare that pure "geography" is so clearly comes to the aid of geopolitics. The Kuriles are a kind of nature-created Far Eastern Kronstadt of Russia, as well as those lost by us through the fault of the "royal" renegade Alexander II Aleutian Islands.

Objectively, the Russian State Enterprise was supposed to include Russian America, the sale of which by Alexander II in 1867 to the United States became the most serious state nineteenth century crime.

Our northern geopolitical borders lie in the zone of the ice Arctic up to North Pole.

In other words, the geographically and systematically **natural** Russian geopolitical space **completely** coincides with the borders of the USSR in 1975, fixed by the signing of the Final Act of the Helsinki Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe in 1975.

Within the framework of the RGP concept, Russia is not just a self-sufficient, but the only self-sufficient state in the world. One group of large countries has first-class technological and intellectual potential, but no raw materials; others have the opposite; still others there is no self-sufficient structure of economy and science; the fourth - adequate territories; and so on.

Take, for example, railways... In this area, the USSR did everything itself - from survey and design work on the domestic scientific and instrumental base, from rails, which we ourselves calculated according to domestic calculation methods and produced from steels of domestic design and production on domestic equipment, to rolling stock, main diesel locomotives and electric locomotives, signaling facilities, centralization, blocking, etc., etc.

Similar "domestic" chains were traced in almost all areas of life of society, and the new, reunited at the hand of the new Soviet Moscow, multinational Russia almost instantly, in a matter of years, can become again the leading great power - by territory, natural and raw materials, by the development of the economy and infrastructure, intelligence and education of the population, etc.

But only Soviet Russia, only the new Soviet Union, can do this.

If, as part of a thought experiment, the new Soviet Union is fenced off from any contacts with the outside world as a blank concrete wall within its shelf zone, providing only mutually beneficial trade with the outside world, then even in this case a new The USSR will retain its strategic viability.

And what can be said in the framework of such a thought experiment, for example, about India? About Switzerland, Sweden, Holland? About England, France, Brazil, Germany and even about China? Finally - about Japan?

Will they all be able to exist on their own, isolated from the global financial flows, from the world flows of raw materials, energy carriers and labor force, from harmful or unprofitable industries that have been moved beyond the three nine lands? Can developed countries West to develop without predatory "brain drain"?

Absolutely not, not to mention the United States, which will prove to be extremely unstable state, if the United States is fenced off from the outside world, thereby depriving them of the opportunity parasitize others.

If the whole world began to live overnight according to the standards of the United States, then the explored world reserves of raw materials would be enough for the world **no more than five years**. After that, the world "shopping" would be irreversibly replaced by world poverty.

The new Russia in the form of the new Soviet Union is potentially the richest and most stable country in the world. The US is potentially one of the most unstable.

For the current United States, aggressive systemic expansion and the forceful nature of foreign policies are inevitable insofar as otherwise a stable internal the situation of a country that has actually long ago turned into a kind of huge gang of global "racketeers".

For Russia, the systemic situation turns out to be the opposite: a stable internal position of the country is ensured only if the external peace is ensured and the exclusion of forceful pressure and aggression against Russia is guaranteed.

Therefore, the general task of Russia within the global framework is to exclude a world large-scale war, as well as to ensure the impossibility of external aggression and external interference in internal processes on its own territory, within the RGP. **Objectively, this task coincides with the fundamental interests of mankind.**

Unlike the United States, Russia does not need shocks in order to prosper domestically. outside world, Russia needs control over its own complex potential.

Sergey Medvedev (Russia is "lucky" with the Medvedevs!), professor at the Marshall European Center for Security Studies in Garmisch-Partenkirchen, in the journal *World Economy and World Relations* (No. 7, 2003, p. 29) in article with the obligatory title "Russia: Foreign Policy, Security, Identity" stated: "In

In essence, Putin undertakes nothing less than a revision of the national interest, moving from its spatial definition to a functional one (? - S.K.). For the first time in all Russian history, national interest is not directly connected with power (?? - S.K.) of the country and control over its territory (??? - S.K.)."

This is a reasoning quite worthy of a direct agent of Western influence. Could it be Is the national interest of Russia secured without the power of the country and without control over its territory? And how without them can the permanent, and not the temporary and ostentatious, be ensured? (due to the final sale of resources) the economic well-being of the peoples of Russia?

In the Yeltsinoid "Rossiyanina" provocative maxims of various kinds of Medvedevs turn out to be the general line of the Kremlin's policy. And this general line does not lead to transformation of the national interests of Russia, but to their elimination, as well as to the elimination Russia itself. However, at one time the English writer Herbert Wells presciently warned that if a civilizational "black hole" is formed in the place of Russia, then in this "hole" after Russia will disappear and the entire world civilization.

The fundamental national interest of the new Russia and its dominant national the idea is to ensure national power on the basis of the complete control of the peoples of Russia not over someone else's, but over their own territory, over their own economy and own national wealth in the interests of these peoples.

And what about the concept of "globalism" and its thesis that world economic integration based on the world division of labor makes national interests obsolete? replacing them with some global ones? Well, a global, intertwined world economy is the natural path of human development. But this development itself is already today possible only on the basis of world socialism. And the ideas of "globalism" *in a private capitalist form* are aimed at ensuring the selfish, selfish interests of the group countries of the "golden billion" and, above all, the United States.

The US is a geopolitically vulnerable country. If we adjust the share of the contribution United States in the world economy and the share of their consumption of the total world product, then The United States will collapse in the shortest possible time already due to an insurmountable psychological shock.

For Russia, getting an adequate share means progressive prosperity.

The West and the USA are totalitarian already by virtue of the fact that they are conducting a totalitarian foreign politics inseparable from potential and actual global violence. That's why capitalist "globalism" is an unnatural parochial ideology of "die today, and I tomorrow"... This is the idea of an attempt to save the organically totalitarian West and USA from collapse at the expense of the rest of the world.

For Russia, in order to ensure its national interests, violence in the outside world is not required, since all Russia needs is to secure *full* access to national wealth of Russia. At the same time, the interests of the Great Russians and other peoples of the Russian Federation do not conflict, but are harmoniously combined with the genuine national interests of all peoples and nationalities, both inside and outside the RGP.

The US cannot exist sustainably without robbing the world.

***Russia is able to sustainably exist if it is not robbed.***

"Globalism" is inseparable from permanent external violence, and genuine interests Russia is antagonistic to the ideas and practices of external violence. "Globalism" is inseparable from US selfishness and interests. Russia is inseparable from the ideas of mutually beneficial global cooperation. Therefore, if we look for a new Russia, all living things can help us in this.



and healthy in the world. After all, only by creating a harmonious world around him, free from the spirit of man's profit on man, a man will be able to enjoy the benefits of civilization, without leaving the plastic abomination of the universal landfill "as a legacy" to future generations.

After all, in the end, it's not just about the notorious social justice. Today, 70% of Russia's national wealth is at the disposal of 0.2% of its inhabitants. But if someone thinks that it is possible to correct the situation by increasing the figure of 0.2% by ten, or even by a hundred, or even by two hundred, three hundred times, then the one who thinks so is mistaken.

***A good future for Russia is possible only if all 100% of the national Russia's wealth will be at the disposal of all 100% of its citizens.***

Other - not given! And we have less and less time to realize this. Finally, one more thing about the search for a new Russia... We must understand that we must consider this search as an exclusively constructive, and not a destructive task. We must fight not *against* the old Russia, but must fight **for** the new Russia.

We must set ourselves the task of creating a new Russia, and not destroying the current existing "Rossiyanina" for two reasons.

Firstly, the current "Rossiyanina" exists mainly in the tilted minds of the Russian masses, and not in a material embodiment (materially, the current "Rossiyanina" is a creation of the Soviet era). It is necessary to destroy lies and prejudice in minds and hearts. Secondly, for a normal person, any struggle

"against" is, in principle, not very attractive and exciting - in contrast to the struggle **"for"**. It is not for nothing that the two greatest and most mobilizing appeals of the history of Russia in the twentieth century are the appeals **"For** the power of the Soviets!" and **"For** our Soviet Motherland!". In the 21st century,

these calls are again relevant, but in a slightly different form: "For **the new** power of the Soviets!" and "For **a new** Soviet Motherland!". Then,

when the most active part of society rises under the red banners on which these two slogans will be written and leads the rest, we will find the new one we need - cheerful, powerful, abundant, comradely, that is, socialist Russia.

this.

Then and only then!

May 15, 2011,

19:33 Moscow time, Kremlev (Arzamas-16)

S. Kremlev. "Against the Kremlin. Beria is not on you!"

---